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## PREFACE.

THIS book has been written at the request of the Syndics of the Cambridge University Press, who were of opinion that the time had come for a new edition of the Heraclidae. The notes are intended for the benefit of students in the higher forms of schools, and at the Universities; and I have not scrupled-for fear of displeasing those who consider brevity the only function of an annotator-to give such information as will enable the reader to form his own judgment on the difficulties of the text. Notwithstanding the lucidity of his style, the interpretation of Euripides is far from being a simple matter.

In the critical portion of my task, I have had the advantage of using the recent editions of Wecklein and Murray. They represent entirely different schools of criticism, and I think it will be found that the present text approximates more closely to that of the Oxford editor. The clear judgment and abundant learning of Elmsley make his edition still the most valuable aid which exists for the general study of the play. To Paley, from long familiarity with his book, I owe much; the rest of
the commentators from Barnes onwards I have worked through with varying degrees of profit. But my chief obligation remains to be mentioned: it will be apparent from the Introduction and elsewhere how much I am indebted to the various writings of U . von WilamowitzMöllendorff. If his conclusions do not always compel conviction, he never fails to stimulate thought.

At the same time, I desire to make it plain that this edition is based on an independent study of the text, although the results arrived at have been checked by the use of the existing authorities. Wherever I am conscious of having taken material from others, I have been careful to indicate its source.

> A. C. P.
${ }^{12 \text { th }}$ February, 1907.
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## INTRODUCTION.

## § 1. THE STORY.

IT will be convenient in the first place to summarise the story of the Heraclidue as it appears in Euripides, and afterwards to ascertain the extent of his indebtedness to earlier writers. Our defective knowledge of the sources recommends an inversion of the natural order of exposition.

After the death of Heracles the enmity of Eurystheus was still unsatisfied. Fearing the consequences to his own safety if the children were permitted to grow to manhood ${ }^{11}$, and seeking to compass their destruction, he took measures to have them put to death forthwith ${ }^{2}$. Warned in time, Iolaus, their father's trusty henchman, with the assistance of Alcmena, the aged mother of Heracles, contrived their escape from $\mathrm{Argos}^{3}$. Eurystheus was baffled for the moment; but his next step was to procure the passing of a decree of outlawry ${ }^{4}$, and to prevent the fugitives from taking refuge in any friendly town, by sending a herald to demand the surrender of his runaway slaves to their rightful owner. He expected to gain his end not so much by a reliance on international comity, as by threatening reminders of the power of Argos, and of his intention to use force if his demands were not granted. Thus, the children and their
${ }^{1}$ v. 1000 sqq. 2 v. I3.
${ }^{3}$ No account is given in the play of the circumstances of Heracles' death ( $9^{14}$ ), but there are several indications, e.g. in v. roo8, that the children were then at Argos.
${ }^{4}$ This is implied in $v .186$, unless we are to assume that the status of árula arose automatically from their avoidance of the death-penalty ( $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ 2). It will be noticed that Euripides transfers to the heroic ages the constitutional law of his own times.
aged companions were driven hastily from town to town, until at the opening of the play we find Iolaus and the boys seated in suppliant attitude at the altar of Zeus Agoraios, outside the temple of the $\operatorname{god}^{1}$, within the district of the Marathonian tetrapolis ${ }^{2}$.

When the old man has explained the reason for their Prologue: appeal to the god, Copreus the herald ${ }^{3}$ is seen 1-72. approaching. In words of haughty insolence he commands them to leave the altar, and, when Iolaus protests, he thrusts him roughly aside and proceeds to drag off the suppliants by force.
${ }^{1}$ vv. $657,697$.
${ }^{2}$ vv. 32 , ;o. The exact situation of the temple of Zeus is left purposely vague throughout the play, but there is quite enough to show that Athens is conceived as being in the immediate neighbourhood (Arg. 1. 4, v. 69, etc.)-certainly not as distant more than twenty miles. The ä $\sigma \tau v$ of $v .{ }_{2}$ I r must be Athens rather than Marathon, which is entirely ignored except in the prologue. Probably the only reason why the poet introduced Marathon was its legendary connexion with the children of Heracles. Firnhaber (comment. de tempore quo Heracl. composuisse Eur. videatur, Wiesbaden, 1846, p. 34) is not very successful in his attempt to reconcile the inconsistency by placing the scene in media tetrapoli. Vonhoff (de lacunis, quae exstant in Eur. Heracl., Cottbus, 1872, p. 1o) is inclined to follow Firnhaber, but with some hesitation. See also Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, de Eur. Heracl. comment., Greifswald, 1882, p. xiii, whose conclusion is rather different: cf. n. on v. 70.
${ }^{3}$ Murray, following Wilamowitz (Anal. Eur. p. 185), who thinks that the name was added by the Alexandrian grammarians, prints $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi$ for Kompeús in the list of dramatis personae. Similar suggestions had already been made by Firnhaber, p. 38, and Vonhoff, p. 12. It is clear from a comparison of $v .52$ sqq. with $I l$. xv 639 that Eur. had Copreus in his mind, whether or not the name technically belongs to the character. Hiller (Hermes viri, p. 446) calls attention to a rule almost universal in Greek tragedy, that, when a fresh character comes on the stage, his name is amounced to the spectators. He makes a good point in arguing that Eur. could not have assumed the name Copreus, which occurs only once in the Iliad, to be well-known to his audience.

Startled by the cries issuing from the sacred precinct,

Parodos: 73-119. the neighbours, a body of citizens well-advanced in years ${ }^{1}$, who form the chorus, hurry to the rescue. After a brief scene of enquiry and expostulation, the herald is persuaded to refer his case to Demophon, the king of Athens, who presently appears on the stage accompanied by his brother Acamas.

Demophon enters and begins by expressing his surprise

## First

Epeisodion:
120-352. that any Greek should venture to lay a violent hand upon suppliants. Hereupon a regular debate commences. Copreus, as the plaintiff, opens his case by laying stress upon the supreme authority of a sovereign and independent community over the lives of its subjects; but by far the greater part of his speech is devoted to a comparison between the strength of Argos and the weakness of the Heraclidae, and an open threat that resistance to his demands means war. Iolaus replies by contesting the claims of Argos on those whom she has formally banished ; and appeals to Demophon for protection upon general grounds of honour and religious obligation. But he also interposes a special plea in favour of the Heraclidae, on the score of their kinship and of the hereditary debt which Theseus had contracted in return for the services rendered to him by Heracles.

Demophon quickly decides in favour of the suppliants, and defies Copreus to do his worst. The herald leaves the stage with a threat that Eurystheus, who is waiting on the borders, will quickly arrive with an overwhelming force. Iolaus thanks Demophon for his assistance, but refuses to leave the altar so long as the struggle is undecided. Demophon departs to consult the assembly, and to make

First
Stasimon: 353-380. the necessary military arrangements. In his absence, the Chorus sing a short ode breathing a spirit of defiance against Argos, and full of confidence in the justice of their cause.

Demophon returns wrapt in gloomy self-communing. At

Second Epeisodion: 381-607. last, from his answer to the questions of Iolaus, it appears that the king, after making all ready for the approach of the foe, has been reduced to a painful dilemma; for the seers have made it plain that all the oracles require, as an essential preliminary to success, the sacrifice to Persephone of a maiden of noble birtl. But, even to save the suppliants, Demophon flatly refuses either to give up one of his own children or to lay any compulsion upon his citizens ${ }^{1}$. Thus the hopes of Iolaus are dashed to the ground in the very moment when they seemed close to realisation; nevertheless he does not complain of Athens, nor is it his own sufferings which move him, but those of the children and of Alcmena. But at this point a sudden glimmer of renewed hope appears: why should not his own life be surrendered to the Argives? Perhaps this would satisfy them. Demophon thinks the suggestion useless, since it is the children whose death Eurystheus desires and whose future vengeance he dreads.

But a new development is at hand, by which the knot is to be loosed. Macaria ${ }^{2}$, one of the daughters of Heracles, who had hitherto remained with Alcmena inside the temple ${ }^{3}$, comes out to enquire what is the cause of Iolaus' renewed lamentations. When she is informed of the difficulty, she at once offers herself for the sacrifice. What other course, she asks, is left open-at least for those who are conscious of the obligations of their birth? If the city is taken and they fall into the hands of their enemies, death will come all the same, and in a disgraceful form. Or, if they leave
${ }^{1}$ The situation is stated but not developed, since there is no hesitation in Demophon's mind. The mental agony which Agamemnon endures in a similar crisis is one of the leading motives in the Iphigenia at Aulis.
${ }^{2}$ Her name does not occur in the text; the question which results from this omission will be considered later.
${ }^{3} \% \cdot 42$.

Athens, how can they hope for safety elsewhere? Or what future can she look forward to that does not bring shame with it? Better a glorious death than to cling to life merely for the sake of living. Iolaus suggests that the sisters should draw lots among themselves; but Macaria will not give her life except as a free offering, and only asks that in the ordeal of her fate she may be attended by her own sex. Demophon readily consents, and, after a farewell speech from Macaria, leads her away to meet her doom. Iolaus, overcome by the new misfortune, gives way to grief, and wrapping himself in his cloak sinks to the ground.

A short choral ode follows. Its theme is the instability

## Second 608-62g.

 Stasimon: but virtue alone is a sure stepping-stone to lasting fame. Macaria's death will be worthy of her father and her race.A servant enters enquiring for Iolaus and Alcmena. To

Third Epeisodion: 630-747. the old man he announces the arrival of Hyllus his master ${ }^{1}$; and, when Alcmena has been called forth to share the good news, he explains that the two armies are now drawn up ready for action, and that Hyllus with a large contingent of allies has been posted on the left wing of the Athenian force. Iolaus declares that he will himself join in the fray. The attendant scoffs at the idea that the old man will be of the slightest use; and Alcmena remonstrates with him for proposing to abandon the children and herself. In the end, however, a suit of armour is brought out from the temple; and a curious scene follows ${ }^{2}$, in which the old man is with difficulty armed,
${ }^{1}$ Hyllus and his brothers had been seeking for a fresh restingplace, in case the fugitives should be rejected by Athens ( 45 ).
${ }^{2}$ It is impossible not to feel that Euripides is glancing at the absurdity of the legendary rejuvenescence. This may have been a necessary element in the dramatic material which he had undertaken to represent ; but there is no reason why the feebleness of Iolaus should have been made so prominent: perhaps, if the Heraclidae
and ultimately led forth, supported by the attendant, and lamenting the decay of his former vigour.

The Chorus call upon the gods to rally to the aid of

Third
Stasimon: 748-783. the just cause. The patron-goddess Athena is chiefly addressed, and reminded of the piety of her worshippers.
A messenger ${ }^{1}$ approaches with news of victory, and is
Fourth received by Alcmena. He relates how Hyllus Epeisodion: 784-891. tried to avert the necessity for a general battle by challenging Eurystheus to single combat, and how his challenge was declined. Then, after describing the usual preliminaries to a battle, such as the sacrifices and the harangues on either side, the shock of the actual conflict, and the final rout of the Argives, he passes on to the miraculous deeds of Iolaus, which formed the climax of his story. Hyllus had taken the old man into his chariot, and together they pursued Eurystheus, now in full retreat. Then Iolaus prayed that a renewal of his youthful strength might be granted to him for one day. Two bright stars, said to be Heracles and Hebe, appeared upon the yoke, and the chariot was wrapped in a mysterious
of Aeschylus had survived, a further motive for this feature of the episode would have been discovered. It has often been pointed out that the poet does not venture to put the credulity of his audience to too severe a test by actually bringing the rejuvenated hero on to the stage. Cf. n. on v. 793.
${ }^{1}$ Rassow, in a Greifswald dissertation of 1885 , lays down the rule that in Euripides a messenger only appears in one scene; and that, whenever there are two messengers in one play, they are different persons. Therefore the servant who enters at $v .928$ is not the servant of Alcmena who appears at $78+$ and to whom the name ä $\gamma \boldsymbol{} \epsilon \lambda$ os properly belongs ; rather, he is identical with" $\Upsilon \lambda \lambda$ ou $\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta s$, who appears in the scene beginning at $v .630$. I think that this is the best arrangement, but there has been much difference of opinion : Murray, for instance, holds that one and the same character appears in the three scenes. vv. 936 - 938 favour the identity of the speaker with the $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \omega \nu$ of 630 sqq.
cloud. As this unrolled, Iolaus was seen in the full vigour of youthful beauty. Eurystheus was captured near Sciron's cliff and brought back in fetters to Athens. Alcmena's first thought on hearing the news is to rejoice at the manifestation of her son's divinity; the chideren will now be restored to their rights; only she fails to understand why Eurystheus' life was spared. The messenger explains that he has been brought back in order that she may enjoy the triumph of witnessing his humiliation, and departs asking to be given his freedom as a reward for his good tidings.

The Chorus sing a joyful ode in honour of their friends'

Fourth
Stasimon: 892-927. triumph. Athens is justified of her wisdom ; Heracles at length enjoys his heavenly portion; and the alliance is sealed by the downfall of oppression.

The servant of Hyllus, despatched by his master and Iolaus, re-enters with Eurystheus. Alcmena greets the captive with taunts, reminds him of his past acts of hostility, and declares that a single death is too mild a punishment for him. The attendant breaks in to say that Eurystheus must not die ; and explains that the Athenians do not approve the putting to death of a prisoner taken in battle. Alcmena is bewildered, but determined not to forego her revenge: if no one else will kill him, she will do so with her own handsExodos: no matter how much odium the act may bring 928-1054. her. Then at length Eurystheus finds occasion to speak. He declines to plead for his life, but argues that he has had nochoice, having been throughout the victim of destiny. Forced by Hera to take up the quarrel, he worked might and main to subdue his formidable antagonist. After the death of Heracles, how could he avoid the continuance of the blood-feud? No one in his place would have left the lion's whelps to grow to maturity. As matters stand, he is ready to accept his fate, but his death will bring a curse upon his murderers.

The Chorus attempt to intercede with Alcmena, who
scornfully suggests that she will accept a compromise ; after taking his life, she is willing to give up the body to his friends. Eurystheus, seeing her resolved, prophesies that his grave will be a protection to Athens in the time to come, when the Heraclidae, false to their allegiance, shall come to invade the land. 'Why delay to kill him,' retorts Alcmena, 'if his death will profit you thus?' The scene closes as he is led off to execution.

## §2. THE SOURCES.

Such is the story of the play, and we pass on to examine the sources from which it is derived. Unfortunately, the materials are so fragmentary that a complete explanation cannot be given.

In the Homeric poems Heracles himself only appears incidentally; and the legend of the Heraclidae, which stands as the traditional record of the Dorian invasion, and belongs to the borderland of myth and history, is entirely postHomeric. Thus it is not surprising to find that our authorities go back rather to the chroniclers ( $\lambda o \gamma o \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o u)$ for their information than to early Epic and Lyric poetry.

The first name to be mentioned is that of Aeschylus, who, like Euripides, wrote a play entitled Heraclidae. The remaining fragments ( $69-7$ I Dind.) furnish no indication of the plot ; yet, if we might adopt the plausible conjecture that the words quoted by Plutarch de absurd. Stoic. 2 p. 1057 F (fr. 374 Dind.) came from this play, it would follow that the restoration of Iolaus was described in it. If this could be established, the ironical tone which is prominent in the latter part of the third epeisodion would find a ready explanation.

Not later than Aeschylus is Hecatacus of Miletus, from whom pseudo-Longinus (de sublim. 27, 2) quotes part of a speech of Ceyx, the king of Trachis, refusing to give succour to the fugitives. More to the purpose is an extract from Pherecydes of Leros (c. B.C. 450), preserved by Antoninus

Liberalis, a mythographer belonging to the middle of the second century A.D. ${ }^{1}$ This gives the story exactly as it appears in Euripides up to the point where the incident of Macaria is introduced; after that it diverges, and Eurystheus is slain in battle by Hyllus. From this time onwards the reception of the Heraclidae is quoted as a familiar incident in the Athenian tradition, and is often coupled with the story of the assistance given by Theseus to Adrastus, when he was asked to further the burial of the chieftains who had fallen before the gates of Thebes ${ }^{2}$. In this connexion it is put forward by the Athenian speaker in Herod. IX 27 amongst the claims of his countrymen to the post of honour at the battle of Plataea. Thucydides, in his abstract of early Greek history, alludes to the death of Eurystheus in Attica (19); and the orators freely quote the rescue of the suppliant Heraclids as one of the glorious achievements of their ancestors ([Lys.] 2. $11-15$, [Dem.] 60. 8, Isocr. 4. 54-60, 5.34).

As might be expected in a familiar legend charged with local associations, we meet with considerable variety in detail. Thus, whereas Pherecydes says nothing of the place or circumstances of the battle, Apollodorus, who wrote about ito B.C., supplies (bibl. II 8, I) the following par-ticulars:-(I) the Heraclids took refuge at the altar of Eleos ${ }^{3}$; (2) Eurystheus was slain by Hyllus, when fleeing in
${ }^{1}$ c. 33 (Westermann, Mythogr. p. 230, 28). Wilamowitz (comment. p. xiii) maintains that Pherecydes is only responsible for the marriage of Alcmena and Rhadamanthys, and that the story of the Heraclidae is derived from some mythographer who depends on Euripides. But the account differs from Euripides in important particulars, and cannot be taken from him.
2. Euripides used this story as the plot of the Supplices.
${ }^{3}$ Apollodorus is the source of this statement, which appears also in Schol. on Ar. Eq. $1_{5}$ s and Zenob. if 6r Schn. See Wilamowitz, u. s. pp. v, vi. For the altar of Mercy see Pausanias I $I_{7}$, I (Frazer, vol. II, p. I43). Eur. followed the Marathonian tradition and was therefore unable to introduce it. For the altar of Zeiv'A 'Aopaios see on $\%$, 70 .
his chariot, just as he was passing the Scironian rocks; (3) his head was cut off and given to Alcmena, who gouged out his eyes with кєркióєs. Strabo (viII, p. 377) apparently makes Marathon the site of the battle, and states in addition that Iolaus cut off the head of Eurystheus near the fountain Macaria close to the waggon-road, and that the place is known as Eurystheus' Head. He adds that the body was buried at Gargettus, but the head at Tricorythus. In Pausan. I 32, 6 it is Theseus and not Demophon who refuses to surrender the suppliants. In Pausan. I 44, 10 we find, as in Strabo, that Eurystheus was killed by Iolaus-not by Hyllus-but his tomb is placed in the neighbourhood of the Scironian rocks. The account in Diod. iv 57, p. 181 is more elaborate. After leaving Ceyx and being refused admission in several communities, the Heraclids were allowed by the Athenians to settle in Tricorythus, one of the cities of the Marathonian tetrapolis. Several years later Eurystheus attacked them, but was defeated by the combined forces of Theseus and Hyllus. In the flight after the battle the chariot of Eurystheus broke down, and he was overtaken and slain by Hyllus.

Enough has been said to show that the main features of the plot depend upon a widespread tradition, and are in no sense the invention of Euripides. Ultimately derived from the memories and lips of long past generations, and with continual accretions due to local or personal influence, they passed through the medium of chroniclers and guide-book makers-especially writers of Atthides-into the pages of the later mythographers. But for certain particulars Euripides has been thought to be more directly responsible, and these will require examination in detail. They are (I) the sacrifice of Macaria; (2) the miraculous restoration of Iolaus; and (3) the capture, death, and burial of Eurystheus.

1. The most important witness to the story of Macaria, if we leave Euripides out of the question, is Pausanias, who relates $(132,6)$ as follows:- 'In Marathon there is a spring
called Macaria, of which they tell the following tale. When Hercules fled from Tiryns to escape Eurystheus, he went to reside with his friend Ceyx, king of Trachis. But when Hercules had departed this life, and Eurystheus demanded that the hero's children should be given up, the king of Trachis sent them to Athens, pleading his own weakness and the power of Theseus to protect them. But when they were come as suppliants to Athens they were the occasion of the first war that the Peloponnesians waged on the Athenians; for Theseus would not surrender them at the demand of Eurystheus. It is said that an oracle declared to the Athenians that one of the children of Hercules must die a voluntary death, since otherwise they could not be victorious. Then Macaria, daughter of Hercules and Dejanira, slew herself, and thereby gave to the Athenians victory and to the spring her name ${ }^{1}$.' Now it is noteworthy that this account cannot be derived entirely from Euripides; for Theseus is introduced in place of Demophon, and Macaria is not sacrificed but dies by her own hand. It seems reasonable to conclude that Pausanias is indebted-directly or indirectly-to a local legend attaching to the spring Macaria. Further, it is not improbable that the legend existed in the time of Euripides, and was adapted by him in such manner as we have seen.

But the trustworthiness of the record has been impugned by Wilamowitz, whose conclusions may be stated thus:(I) that Euripides gave no name to the daughter whose sacrifice he records ${ }^{2}$, and that this did not cause inconvenience subsequently, because Heracles was believed to

## ${ }^{1}$ Frazer's translation.

${ }^{2}$ Elmsley (on $v .475$ ) was the first to notice that the name of Macaria does not occur in the text, and concluded that it was introduced by the grammarians into the list of dramatis personae. Hiller in Hermes viri, p. 446 thinks that some lines have been lost before \%. 474. So also Usener in Rhein. Mus. xxili, p. 157.
have been the father of one daughter only ${ }^{1}$; (2) that long after the time of Euripides the spring Macaria became famous, and its name was transferred to the heroine in popular story ; (3) that one of the later Atthidographers preserved the report, which was thus passed on to Pausanias and the grammarians ; (4) that Euripides invented the story of the sacrifice for dramatic purposes equally with the incident of Menoeceus in the Phoenissae.

Apart altogether from the question of a priori probability, the basis of fact upon which these conclusions are built up is very slight. Pausanias, it is said, cannot be a direct witness of what he relates, since by placing the spring at Marathon rather than at Tricorythus he shows entire ignorance of the locality. But, however much weight be allowed to this error, which after all may be merely the result of carelessness in expression, it should not be used to discredit the genuineness of the story as a whole. Now, either the daughter of Heracles and the spring of Tricorythus were originally connected, or the maiden sacrificed and the nymph of the spring were entirely distinct in the time of Euripides. Wilamowitz argues that the latter alternative must be accepted on the authority of the Scholiast on Ar. Plut. 385, who mentions a painting of Apollodorus (c. 408 B.C.) in

 the end of the fifth century the daughter of Heracles was not named Macaria. Further, the absence of any allusion to Macaria in the greater number of the authorities which record the fortunes of the Heraclidae in Attica favours the conclusion that her sacrifice was invented by Euripides. The reader must judge whether the cogency of these arguments is such as to outweigh the probability that the passage of Pausanias points to the existence of a local legend which Euripides adapted.
${ }^{1}$ Arist. hist. and. vil 6,45 . Of course, Euripides recognises several daughters (544).

No further information can be derived from the other passages where Macaria is mentioned. Plutarch in the life of Pelopidas (c. 21) includes her among the victims of human sacrifice. There is also a series of parallel extracts evidently going back to a common source, believed to be Didymus, which briefly relate her story for the purpose of explaining the phrase $\beta$ ád $\lambda$ ' e's $\mu$ дккарiav ${ }^{1}$.
2. The prayer of Iolaus for the renewal of his youthful strength and its miraculous fulfilment were taken by Euripides from the Theban cycle of legend. At least, so



 to B.C. 478 , and is therefore the earliest allusion to the death of Eurystheus in existing literature. The Scholia are more explicit, and recognise two versions of the occurrence to which Pindar refers. According to one of these, Iolaus after death learnt that Eurystheus was requiring from the Athenians the surrender of the Heraclidae, and threatening war in case of their refusal; consequently he prayed that he might come to life again, and, when his prayer had been granted, he killed Eurystheus and died again. The other story, which is described as being more credible ${ }^{2}$, was to
${ }^{1}$ Schol. on Ar. Eq. 1151 , Schol. on Plat. Hipp. ma. 293 A, Zenob. II 6 I etc. For the details the reader is referred to Wilamowitz, u. s. pp. iv-vii.

 IX 397 mentions the transformation, and as is his wont takes the opportunity to draw a fanciful picture :-

## nam limine constitit alto

paene puer dubiaque tegens lanugine malas ora reformatus primos Iolaus in annos.
But he knows nothing of a crisis adequate for the occasion; Eurystheus and the danger of the Heraclidae are ignored.
the effect that Iolaus in his old age prayed for the restoration of his youth, and after accomplishing his task died forthwith. This is the version which best explains Pindar's words oủk àтцці́бavтa каєрóv: Iolaus was allowed only a short space of time, and he made the most of it. So in Euripides ${ }^{1}$ he prays for a single day's youth, which is enough for the matter in hand; but of his subsequent fate we hear nothing.
3. None of the authorities whom we have hitherto quoted mention that Eurystheus was taken alive; but there is a suggestion of the surrender to Alcmena and of her cruelty in Apollodorus, from whose ultimate source Euripides may have worked up his repulsive portrait. It is almost certain that the dramatist invented this part of the plot himself with the purpose of glorifying Athens; and this conclusion is if anything confirmed by the only other passage which records the same issue-Isocr. 4. 59 Evi $\rho v \sigma \theta \in \dot{v}$ s


 resemblance to Euripides both in letter and spirit is so close that the later account can hardly have been written without the impulse supplied by a recollection of the play.

When Eurystheus is made to enjoin his own burial in front of the temple of Athena at Pallene ${ }^{2}$, there can be no doubt that Euripides is following the local tradition which claimed his grave. The inference is confirmed by Strabo's statement of his burial at Gargettus, and Euripides, who came from Phlya, was well acquainted with the district. Thus the Attic legend is vindicated against the rival version, which placed the tomb at Mt Gerania near the 'Evil Staircase,' while at the same time a concession is made by admitting that Gerania was the scene of the capture ${ }^{3}$. The

[^0]peculiar significance of the prophecy made respecting the tomb will be considered when we come to examine the date of the play．

## §3．DRAMATIC SCOIE AND PURPOSE．

The first question that we ought to ask ourselves after studying a play or a poem or indeed any work of art is－ What is its leading purpose？What is the unity which the artist is trying to express to us through his creation？It is this which，in relation to the drama，has since Aristotle＇s time been known as the Unity of Plot．Plot，as he held， is more important than any of the other elements which go to make up a tragedy，more important even than the element of character：plot，in fact，may be called the soul of a tragedy ${ }^{1}$ ．And the reason is that tragedy is an imitation of the action of living agents；it represents progress and movement passing from a definite beginning to a definite end．

Let us endeavour to apply this to the Heraclidae．To a careless reader it might appear that the climax of the action is reached in the death of Macaria，and that the sequel which describes the defeat and death of Eurystheus is of independent and inferior interest．But in reality the action of the play is centred round Eurystheus ；or，to use what is becoming a cant term of criticism，his fortune is the pivot on which that of the other characters turns．More than once Euripides reminds us of an adage which might serve as a motto for the play：－

ả入入à $\tau \omega ิ \nu$ фро⿱\zh7па́т $\omega$

Even more exactly he might seem to be summarising the action of the Heraclidae in Andr． 1007 f．：－


${ }^{1}$ Arist. poet. $6,14 \cdot 1450$ a 39.
${ }^{2}$ v. $387:$ cf. $865,925$.

At the opening of the play the Argive power is supreme: lolaus and his charges are fleeing for their lives, and the protection of Athens is far from being either certain or decisive. But the persecution of Eurystheus works to his own undoing ; and in the last scene we have a complete reversal of fortune, when Alcmena orders the humiliated monarch to be led off to death.

In this aspect of the plot there is one point which deserves particular notice; we see how the action of Eurystheus, which aims directly at his own security ${ }^{1}$, produces an effect which is precisely the contrary of his intention, leading through stages unforeseen but inevitable to his own ruin. The helpless victims become themselves the potent agents of destruction. Only the self-sacrificing devotion of Macaria makes Athenian victory possible; only by the miraculously renewed powers of Iolaus is victory consummated in capture; only the implacable resentment of Alcmena makes release impossible. Thus it will be seen that each separate scene helps towards the final issue, and even the arming of Iolaus is essential to the climax; for, if Eurystheus had not been the prisoner of the Heraclids, Alcmena would not have been able to use the power of life or death. The irony of events which we have briefly sketched is such as appealed irresistibly to the Greek imagination. A perfect tragedy, in Aristotle's judgment ${ }^{2}$, ought to be complicated rather than simple in arrangement; and one species of complicated action was that which contained $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \epsilon{ }^{3}$. Then he defines $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \epsilon a$ as 'a change by which a train of action produces the opposite of the effect intended'; and immediately afterwards he quotes an illustration which nearly coincides with the main outlines of the Heraclidac:-'Again, in the Lynceus, Lynceus is being led out to die, and Danaus goes with him, meaning to

[^1]slay him; but the outcome of the action is, that Danaus is killed and Lynceus saved ${ }^{1}$.'

Thus the framework of the play is set accurately in compliance with the canons of Greek Art, as afterwards formulated. The plot belongs to the type of perfect tragedy. And yet, when we lay down the Heraclidae, we do not feel that we have parted with a great play, as we do unquestionably after reading the Agamemnon or the Oedipus or the Meder. Why is it that so competent a critic as von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff can say of our play that it is 'the most insignificant piece of Euripides that we pussess'? We must ascribe a large measure ${ }^{2}$ of the blame to the characters. Some, and especially Macaria, excite interest and even move deeply; but the spell is transient. There is no hero whose varying fortunes we can follow breathless and enthralled. Certainly Eurystheus himself is none such: we do not see him till the last scene, where he displays little more than a cold dignity of demeanour ; and, whenever he is referred to in the earlier part of the play, it is in such fashion as to provoke our repugnance. Even if his bearing in the final conflict with Alcmena tends to arouse some sympathy with his fate, this only extends so far as to mark a slight reaction from the aversion towards him which it is the general purpose of the action to excite. It may be then, once more to quote from Aristotle ${ }^{3}$, that his downfall satisfies the moral sense, but it does not inspire either pity or fear, which are the emotions appropriate to tragedy.

It must, then, be confessed that the Heraclidae is wanting in the highest type of dramatic interest ; it is skilfully constructed but lacks depth-almost we might say vitality; it
${ }^{1}$ c. II, I. 1452 a $22-29$ (Butcher's translation). The true meaning of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \iota a$ was explained and illustrated by W. Lock in C. $R$. Ix, p. 25 Iff .
${ }^{2}$ It is impossible to estimate how far mutilation has obscured the significance of the drama: see $\S 5$.
${ }^{3}$ c. $13,2,1453$ a 2.
P.
fails to probe humanity to the core. This is not to deny that it contains scenes of considerable dramatic force : indeed it would be strange if the great master of pathos had failed to remind us of his cunning. The appeal of Iolaus to Demophon and the crisis which calls for the intervention of Macaria are utilised with power and effect; but they stir us rather as separate incidents than as contributing to the development of a harmonious whole. In fact, if we study the play carefully, it will, I think, appear that what chiefly interested Euripides was not so much the unity of the dramatic framework, as the inner lesson which the story seemed to him to convey. He does not, like Aeschylus, ponder over the religious mystery concealed beneath the workings of destiny ; indeed, his references to the toils of fate are almost conventional in tone. But one of the charms which a modern reader derives from his work is the suggestion of an indirect significance. The poet seems to point beyond the immediate range of his characters to a truth which the quick-sighted may apprehend; the story of Argive insolence and Heraclid victory is not meant to serve merely as one more illustration of the adage that 'pride goeth before a fall.' Rather, if we may anticipate what requires to be justified in detail, it is the purpose of the poet to exhibit the moral enlightenment of his age by presenting it in a concrete form as embodied in the national traditions of Athenian character. It is an obvious criticism on the play to call attention to the patriotic fervour with which it is inspired ${ }^{1}$. Again and again in the earlier scenes we are reminded of Athenian liberty ${ }^{2}$, which carries with it the right of free speech ${ }^{3}$. It is in virtue of their freedom that the citizens of Athens are ready to help the oppressed ${ }^{4}$.

[^2]Unlike other Hellemic states, whose nobler instincts are crushed beneath the yoke of tyranny, the law of might is not by them allowed to take the place of the law of right. Weakness, if its claims are those of justice, has never appealed in vain for the protection of Athens ${ }^{1}$ : reluctant to take up arms, yet she will not shrink before an unjust tyrant ${ }^{2}$. This sense of justice springs from a conspicuous devotion to religion ${ }^{3}$, but her piety is never dominated by superstition: the honest and courageous Demophon, who represents the liberal spirit of Athens, is no fanatic to sacrifice his own or his fellow's child to the demands of the seers ${ }^{4}$. Informed with such principles of conduct, Athens is typically opposed to the arrogance of Argos, which relies on strength alone in order to enforce a technical claim upon the lives of the unhappy exiles. Once again, in the latter part of the play, we find Athens championing the cause of the higher morality which was gradually spreading over the Hellenic world ${ }^{5}$. It is well that in this matter we should cherish no illusions-that we should not confound the ideals of the poet with those of the public ; for, though it is true that the Plataeans, when pleading for their lives, appeal to the Spartans for recognition of an established custom ${ }^{6}$, yet there are sufficient instances to show that this was an aspiration rather than a fact ; that performance fell far short of profession; and that, whatever
 23-27.

6 Thuc. 1115 S. Musgrave refers to the speech of Nicolaus in Diod. Sic. xIII 20 ff . There is a good deal of moralising in this

 But the whole has the air of a rhetorical exercise, and is of very little value as evidence of contemporary Greek feeling. Similarly, the rhetorician Sopater (c. 500 A.D.) claims for the Athenians, $\tau$ ò


may have been the view of certain Athenian circles, cruelty to a defenceless enemy did not meet with universal reprobation ${ }^{1}$. Here, as always, Euripides takes for granted the sympathy of his audience with an enlightened humanity; but there must have been many present who thought that Alcmena was somewhat unreasonably thwarted; the plain man, who looked back fondly to the memories of the Mapäшуодáxat, could see little sense in disregarding the maxim which taught him to hate his enemies ${ }^{2}$. Mytilene, Scione and Melos are examples which we cannot disregard: if such outrages were possible as the result of a deliberate state policy, what was the attitude of the average citizen? Unless we bear this constantly in mind, we are in danger of misconceiving the moral import of the play; many of those who cheered Cleon in the assembly might have seen themselves in the pillory with Alcmena.

In the Supplices we have a companion picture ${ }^{3}$, where the respect due to the dead body of an enemy takes the place of the generosity to be shown to the living ${ }^{4}$. Yet this 'universal' principle was violated by the Thebans after the battle of Delium ; and in our play Alcmena's outburst is typical when she repudiates any such obligation ${ }^{5}$. Thus Euripides makes himself the apostle of the new morality, and is fain to utilise the patriotism of his audience by showing that the character of Athens had never changed. Always had she been a type of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\eta} \eta$, that virtue so peculiarly Greek that we have no name for it in English, that health of the soul which has recently been defined as
${ }^{1}$ Such incidents as those recorded in Thuc. II 67, 4, III 32, 2 are highly significant.
${ }^{2}$ ข. 882 n.
${ }^{3} \tau \grave{\partial} \delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \mu a \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \omega ́ \mu \iota o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Argument).
${ }^{1}$ Suppl. 526.
${ }^{5}$ v. 1050 n . It is characteristic of traditional Greek sentiment that Sophocles represents Creon and Menelaus (Ant. $28+\mathrm{ff}$., Ai. 1 I 32), as arguing that it is wrong to permit the burial of an enemy.
'the subjugation of exuberant force to the normal measure, to a standard determined mainly by the interests of society as a whole ${ }^{1}$.'

The most interesting of the characters are Macaria and Alcmena; and the success of Euripides in his delineation of the female sex is notorious. 'The significant fact is,' writes a sympathetic critic, 'that Euripides refuses to idealise any man and does idealise woman ?' Here in both cases we have little more than a rough sketch ; the outlines were filled in a few years later, when Euripides presented the portraits of Polyxena and Hecuba ${ }^{3}$. Macaria is one of a gallery of devoted women who die to save others; to the same group, besides Polyxena, belong Iphigenia and Alcestis. In the absolute freedom of her self-sacrifice she stands nearest to Alcestis; she refuses to take advantage of the chance of escape which the lot would have given her, because she will not be compelled to die. She is entirely without hesitation, apart from some physical repulsion at the thought of contact with death ${ }^{4}$; she is clearly convinced that her death is a logical necessity of their condition ; since there is no hope either for herself or for the rest, she will die to save them. But the mainspring of her action is not so much self-sacrifice, as the imperative need of responding to the claims which imposed a high rôle upon the daughter of Heracles ${ }^{5}$.

To some extent the character of Alcmena is a puzzle ; and it is highly probable, as we shall see, that the mutilation of the play has effaced what might otherwise have given us a clue to her development. Even in the first scene where she appears ( $646-719$ ) we are not left without indications of that fierceness which is so strongly marked in the sequel. She starts with a threat of forcible resistance, if the attendant should prove to be an emissary of Eurystheus; she

[^3]complains of the neglect of Hyllus in not visiting her in person-a characteristic touch; she rebukes Iolaus for leaving her unprotected; and, without venturing to blaspheme, she mistrusts the dealings of Zeus. But in the Exodos there is nothing to relieve the repulsiveness of her cruelty. She then becomes the embodiment of the lex talionis; she is like Shylock with his scales demanding his pound of flesh; to the plea that no one will kill Eurystheus in cold blood she replies that she will do so herself sooner than let him escape, and that she cares nothing for what others may think of her. From this resolution she never flinches for a moment ${ }^{1}$, and it seems that she gains her point ; but the conclusion of the scene is so abrupt that there are good grounds for suspecting a lacuna. In the later character of Hecuba we may read a commentary on the earlier picture. Suffering has distorted her reason ; all her energies, concentrated in a single channel, seek revenge; to compass this she disregards the claims of humanity and is indeed hardly aware of their existence.

## §4. THE DATE.

The date of the production cannot be exactly determined, but there are good reasons for fixing it within narrow limits ${ }^{2}$.
 is a parody of Heracl. Ioo6, our play is at least as early as B.C. 423. But on Eq. 214 тápatтє каi Хópסєu' ó $\mu$ о̂ $\tau$ тà $\pi \rho a \dot{\gamma} \mu a \tau a$ the Scholiast states that the line is parodied from the Heraclidue of Euripides. No such line occurs in the play as we have it $^{3}$, but this is not a conclusive reason, as
${ }^{1}$ See nn. on 1022, 1050.
2 These are cogently stated by Wilamowitz, Anal. Eur. p. 152. But Pflugk should receive the credit of having been the first to contend for the true date (praef. p. 13).
${ }^{3}$ There is no probability in Firnhaber's view that $v .109$ is referred to.
will presently be shown, for refusing eredit to the explicit statement of the Scholiast. It is quite possible that the original line belonged to the Parodos, where it is certain that the text has been mutilated. The Eiquites was produced early in 424.

The fact that the leading incident of the play resulted in a war between Athens and Argos has induced some of the editors ${ }^{1}$ to seek for a period in the course of the Peloponnesian War when the relations of these two states were embittered. But such an enquiry leads to nothing, and is altogether mistaken, since it ignores the concluding scene. Here Eurystheus is made to prophesy that after his death his tomb in the neighbourhood of the temple at Pallene will be a source of unexpected benefit to the Athenians, and that as a sojourner beneath their soil he will protect them against an invasion by the descendants of the Heraclidae. The origin of such a prophecy may be assumed to be subsequent to its apparent fulfilment; and the reference is doubtless to the Spartan raids at the beginning of the Peloponnesian War. We know from Thucydides how deeply popular indignation was excited by the havoc wrought. The first invasions were in $431,430,428,427$ and 425 : there was an intermission in 429 and in 426 owing to the outbreak and recrudescence of the plague. In 431 Archidamus advanced as far as Acharnae, but retired without extending his ravages to the south or east of Athens. In 430 the damage done was much more general, reaching as far as Laurium and along both sides of the sea coast (Thuc. II 55). But Diodorus (XII 45) records that a remarkable exception was made to the wholesale devastation in the case of the Marathonian tetrapolis, and the reason which he gives is significant. 'From this district they refrained, because it had formerly welcomed their ancestors, who advanced from here when they defeated

[^4] Gr. p. 190), who pronounced in favour of b.c. +18 , quoting Thuc. v 76.

Eurystheus. For they thought it right that those who had benefited their forefathers should receive fitting recognition from themselves.' We have no reason to disbelieve this account, which is corroborated by the authority of Istros of Cyrene, a writer of an 'Avtıká in the reign of Ptolemy Euergetes ${ }^{1}$; the statement of Thucydides (II 57) that in this year the Peloponnesians ravaged all the land is controlled by his subsequent narrative of the invasion of 427 (III 26) :-





From these facts Wilamowitz concludes that the Heraclidae must have been produced within the years 430-427; and, in estimating the probabilities as between these years, we may add that it is more likely to have been written at a time when the impression of the invasion was still fresh in the minds both of those who had suffered from it and of those who had escaped.

The same scholar conjectured, in reliance on a passage of Ammianus Marcellinus ${ }^{2}$, that the Heraclidae was the first play of a trilogy consisting of three tragedies not immediately connected in subject but belonging to a single legendary cycle, and that the other plays were the Cresphontes and the Temenus. The Cresphontes contained the celebrated scene in which the mother's hand was arrested in the act of slaying her unrecognised son, but of the Temenus nothing is known.

On general grounds there can be no doubt that the Heraclidae is an early work, and the date to which we have
${ }^{1}$ Schol. on Soph. O.C. 701. Sophocles is himself an authority for the sparing of the $\mu$ opiat by the Peloponnesian invaders.
${ }^{2}$ xxvill 4. 27, discussed in Hermes XI 302. The passage is a remarkable one, and it is strange that such a writer should have preserved for us a fragment of the $\delta \delta \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda i a \iota$, if that is indeed the case.
been led by the above considerations agrees exactly with the result of an examination of the metre. Our play shows a very small percentage of trisyllabic feet in the senarii, and in this respect only the Mippolytus, Midea, Aliestis, and Rhesus come below it ${ }^{1}$.

## § 5. LACUNAE IN THE TEXT.

There will be found in Appendix A five separate citations of the Heraclidue which cannot be traced in our text. These are not all of equal importance, and we must not overlook the possibility of error. But, if anyone will examine the other cases among the fragments of Euripides in which quotations appear to have been wrongly ascribed, he will find that an undue proportion of error-if error is indeed the cause-attaches to the Heraclidae. We must therefore consider the alternative; that the parts of the play in which these passages occurred have been lost. Such a loss would not be in itself surprising, and we have at least one parallel case where an untraceable quotation belongs to a gap in the existing text ${ }^{2}$.

That a considerable portion of the play has perished seems first to have been suggested by Hermann, whose ms. note is quoted by Matthiae on $\tau .1048$ (1053):--' Fabulae extrema pars videtur intercidisse, in qua fieri non poterat quin de Macaria referretur, eaque res solitis celebraretur lamentis.' Subsequently Kirchhoff called 'attention to another piece of external evidence. The Argument in a tantalising manner breaks off exactly where we should have welcomed its continuation, but the words $\tau$ aúr $\eta \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv
 to nothing which exists in our texts, and their importance is


[^5] кai $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ ф(йоиs $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ к.т. $\lambda .{ }^{1}$ He concludes that there have been lost after $\% .629$ a speech of a messenger or of Demophon announcing the death of Macaria, a ко $\mu \mu$ ós of Alcmena, and an entire choral ode. Rassow thinks that the announcement must have been made by Demophon, on the ground that two messengers do not appear in any play which is earlier than B.C. $415^{2}$.

Now, entirely apart from the value to be attached to the external evidence, hardly any reader of the play can fail to notice a serious defect in its internal structure. After our emotions have been raised to the highest pitch by the noble self-sacrifice of Macaria, she disappears from the stage and we hear not a word further concerning her. Whatever may be the interest of the concluding scenes, they do not compensate for the wrench by which we are forced at $v .630$ to pass from the contemplation of her heroic devotion to the return of Hyllus and the somewhat trivial and almost comic interlude describing the departure of Iolaus. So far as this concerns the unity of the plot, we have dealt with it in a previous section; but that the death of Macaria, one of his most pathetic figures, should be entirely ignored by Euripides is amazing, if not shocking. For that vv. 819-822, even if they refer to human sacrifice at all ${ }^{3}$, are intended to satisfy the spectator that Macaria's death had been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the oracle, we absolutely refuse to believe. In any case they ignore the promise of $\% .567 \mathrm{sqq}$., and oblivion or carelessness on the

[^6]part either of Euripides or of Demophon is in this respect unthinkable. It is true that Wecklein' supports the adequacy of the text by appealing to I'hoen. rogo-rog2, where the sacrifice of Menoeceus is described in three lines. But it is misleading not to quote at the same time the speech of Iocasta at $\% 1204 \mathrm{scg} .$, and the scene which opens at $\therefore 1310$ with the lamentation of Creon for his son's death. The suggested parallel only serves to emphasise the defectiveness of the Heraclidae, and, even if Phoen. rogo sqy. stood alone, it would be fair to remember that they are not open to charges either of ambiguity or of inconsistency.

Another point, which has already been mentioned ${ }^{2}$, may be more shortly dealt with; there is no preparation in the first part of the play for the later development of Alcmena's character. We are left to guess that her moral fibre had been strained to its utmost capacity of endurance by the persecutions of Eurystheus, and that she had finally given way before the crowning blow of Macaria's death. For, though we have seen that signs of passion are skilfully indicated when she first appears, we are left completely in the dark as to the motive of her violent and somewhat reckless demeanour ${ }^{3}$.

A more elaborate hypothesis has been put forward by Wilamowitz ${ }^{4}$, who considers that Kirchhoff's solution is insufficient to explain the data for the following reasons:(I) it is very improbable that the accidental dropping out of a leaf or leaves should have coincided so accurately with the divisions of the play that the last words before the gap and the last words of the lost passage were both the ending of a choral ode; (2) at $\% 604$ lolaus is left in a recumbent posture with his head buried in his cloak:
${ }^{1}$ n. on $\% .822$ (Baner-Wecklein edition). I have not been able to consult his article in Blätter fiir das bayr. Gymn. xxir, p. 19 sqq.
${ }^{2}$ supr. p. xxix.
${ }^{3}$ v. 646 sqq.
${ }^{4}$ Hermes xvir, p. 337 ff.
he is found in the same position at $\%, 633$ : is it likely that he remained unmoved during two choral odes and the scenes which intervened between them? (3) $v \% .673$ and 819 refer to some extraordinary human sacrifice, and must be intended as a reference to Macaria : yet how could the Athenians have gone out into the field and Hyllus have made his challenge before the necessary preliminaries involved in her sacrifice had taken place ${ }^{1 \text { ? }}$ Wilamowitz concludes that not only has the play been mutilated, but also that it has been reconstructed with the object of concealing the gaps left in the work after certain parts of Euripides' play had been removed. To this redactor belong vv. 819-822, 672 sq., and a substantial part of $v \tau .630-660$, not to speak of earlier passages which will be dealt with in their proper place. If the question suggests itself why anyone should busy himself with such work, he replies that the redaction was made for practical purposes by a stage manager to suit his troupe ; and that this is indicated by the cutting down of the choral odes, which do not cover more than 150 lines in the play as we have it. Such a stage version would most naturally belong to the period 380-330 в.C.

This ingenious theory, to which it is not easy to do justice within the limits of a brief summary, is not without its own difficulties. One of these has been indicated by Wecklein $\%$ Wilamowitz accounts for the preservation of a stage copy by pointing out that our MSS. of this play represent a popular or booksellers' edition with the plays copied in alphabetical order, and are not indebted to the erudition of the grammarians. In this way he is able to explain the non-recognition of our text by the anthologists ${ }^{3}$. What then are we to make of the presence of the Arguments?
${ }^{1}$ Vonhoff (p. 23) argues with considerable force to the same effect, and infers, rightly as I think, that 82 r sq. refer 'ad solemne victimarum sacrificium ante pugnam factum.'

2 Bursian's Jatereshericht 30, p. 170.
${ }^{3}$ See Appendix A.

He is obliged to fall back on the suggestion that these were subsequently added; but the necessity for such a shift tends $t 0$ weaken the probability of his theory. Further there are certain considerations which must be weighed against the arguments briefly summarised above. For (1) it is not necessary to suppose that the loss after $\approx .629$ was due to the accidental dropping out of a leaf from a codex: there are other possibilities, which include even that of deliberate excision; (2) Iolaus at $\because \cdot 3+4$ refused to leave the altar until the success of Athens was assured, and $\% .632 \mathrm{sqq}$. do not show that he had remained unmoved during the scene supposed to have been lost ; (3) $\% 673$ recalls $\% 399$, and ¿̂. $819-822$ are a serious difficulty in any event, so long as they are supposed to refer to a human sacrifice ${ }^{1}$.

The other signs of mutilation need not detain us long. That there is a gap after $\because$. I io is certain, but it does not seem to have been extensive. Wilamowitz finds indications that the whole of the Parodos has been worked over. Thus room must be found here for the passage referred to by the Schol. on Eq. $214^{2}$, and $i v \gamma \mu \bar{\omega} v$ in $\% .126$ does not seem to be adequately explained by what has gone before ; moreover $\tau a ̈ \lambda \lambda a \delta^{\prime}$ єị $\uparrow \eta \tau a \iota \mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu(\vartheta \prime$ II7) points to a longer discussion than is contained in the existing text. On this view, the repetition of $\tau .97$ sq. at $\tau .221$ sq. could be explained without casting suspicion on the appearance of the lines in the later passage.

Hermann was the first to call attention to the gap after $\%$ 1052. The abruptness of the conclusion is much greater than can be paralleled from any of the existing plays; and the words тaútì (or таûta) סокєi $\mu \circ \iota$ are unintelligible in the present condition of the text. Also, we should expect the Chorus to dissociate themselves formally from Alcmena's action, and much more strongly than can be inferred from


As to the supposed gap after $\tau$. rory see note in loc.

[^7]${ }^{2}$ Supra p. xxx.

## § 6. THE MSS.

The Heraclidae belongs to that group of plays the preservation of which we owe ultimately to an archetype containing the whole of the nineteen plays now existing ${ }^{1}$. Unfortunately, this edition is very scantily represented by surviving copies which have any independent value, and the only manuscripts which require to be taken into account are :-
(i) Codex Laurentianus 32, 2 (sometimes called Florentinus), preserved in the Laurentian library at Florence, and written on paper in the early part of the fourteenth century. This MS. contains eighteen plays, with the exception of the concluding part of the Bacchae from $v .755$ to the end. The Troades is omitted. It is now generally known as L.
(2) Codex Palatinus 287 (generally known as P ) in the Vatican library at Rome, written on parchment and belonging to the end of the fourteenth century. It contains thirteen plays, one of which, the Heraclidae, is incomplete, being without vv. 1003-1055. The plays omitted are Hec., Or., Phoen., Hel., El., H. F.
(3) Codex Abbatiae Florentinae 172 contains Heracl. IOO3-end, together with the six plays just referred to. It is now admitted to be the lost part of P . It is cited as G by Wecklein and in the critical notes of the present edition: Murray prefers to speak of P throughout, without distinguishing the two parts.

There are no scholia relating to the Heraclidae in the MSS. which we have described.

The relation existing between these mss. is variously estimated; and in particular it should be remembered that their authority is not of the same character in all the plays which they contain. Although in many plays they are so

[^8]nearly identical that one is held to be derived from the other, in others they are universally admitted to be of independent value. In the Heradidae they differ but slightly; and we have to choose between the view of Wecklein that P is copied from L, and that of Wilamowit\% and Murray that both mSS. are copied from the same original.

Wecklein refers in support of his conclusion to four passages in this play--8,\%,704, 778, 899 and 915 -in each of which he holds that the error of I ' is due to a misreading of L's text. But in two of these his facts are not admitted, and the other two (704, 899) are insufficient in themselves to establish his inference : it would be equally legitimate to ascribe the ambiguity to the parent codex. Further there are certain facts which we may put on the other side, without claiming that they amount to a proof of P's independence. In $28_{j} \mathrm{P}$ has $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \theta^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oúk: this was also the original reading of $L$, but the scribe perceived his error and corrected it to $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \theta^{\prime} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ oúk. In 588 P has $\sigma \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \rho a \nu$ but L has $\sigma \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \iota$ рav with $\epsilon \iota$ corrected from $\eta$. In 789 L has $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \varepsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ altered to $\dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a \iota$ : P has $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ only. If P was copied from L, it seems odd that in all these cases it should have preserved the uncorrected reading (contrast 867).

From an examination of Prinz's collation as reported by Wecklein, leaving out all cases of doubt, and disregarding such minutiae as variation in accent and different modes of treating crasis and elision, $I$ find that in this play $L$ and $P(G)$ differ in 67 instances. In no less than 54 of these passages L is unquestionably right, and P's errors are almost entirely due to the carelessness of the scribe. On the other hand, P is only twice right where L blunders, viz. in 494 and 505 , and both these results may be accidental. From such data it is reasonable to give $L$ credit for being correct in the three cases where the true reading is uncertain and that of either MS. has something in its favour-27, $58 \mathrm{I}, 825$. It is curious that Wecklein, who is the strongest opponent of P's trustworthiness, in each case adopts its reading and in

27 is so convinced of the soundness of $\sigma v \mu \pi a \sigma \chi \omega$ that he alters какөิs to кака́ in order to accommodate it. This is the most interesting divergence in the play, but it is quite possible that $\sigma v \mu \pi a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ is a mere blunder like $\tilde{v} \beta \rho \iota \nu$ for $\tilde{\eta} \beta \eta \nu$ in 282. No mistake of this scribe is commoner than the omission of a letter : thus in $449 \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \chi \theta \rho o v$ appears as $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \theta$ ov and in $512 \epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \omega \nu$ as $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \rho \omega \nu$.

To return to our enumeration, there are three places where both are wrong but L is nearer to the truth $(805$, 848,899 ), and two where P is wrong and L mutilated (252, 564). In 622 both are wrong, and neither is nearer to the truth than the other. $\quad v_{.} 200$ is a peculiar case: neither MS. contained $\pi a ́ \rho o s$, but, whereas the scribe of L wrote $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \pi}(\epsilon \iota)$ at the side, P's original reading was $\dot{\eta}$. The later corrections may be disregarded, and the proper inference seems to be that the archetype of both MSS. was illegible. Lastly in 573 P's v̈vratos may be one of its numerous blunders, or on the other hand L's viguatov may be a superficial attempt to smooth out the construction.

The critical notes in the present edition are intended to record all cases where the printed text differs from that of the MSS. except in minute particulars, and to state the authors of such corrections as have been adopted. Apart from this, only such conjectures are mentioned as appear to have some intrinsic merit or have been widely accepted.

## TПO@EさIさ HPAKAEI $\triangle \Omega N$
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## TA TOY $\triangle$ PAMATO乏 MPOミ $\Omega \Pi A$ ．

IOAAOE．
KOHPET乏．
XOPOE．
$\triangle H M O \Phi \Omega N$ ．
MAKAPIA MAP日ENOE．
ӨEPAMתN．
AAKMHNH．
ATCEAOE．
Erprseers．

## HPAK $\triangle E I \triangle A I$ ．

## IOAAOE．

 ồ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ Síкаıos тoîs $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a s ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \phi u \kappa$ ả àńp，
 $\pi$ о́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau$ ’ ă $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о s$ каì бvva入入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ßapús，




 ขaíє，，тà кєívov тє́ку’ є้ $\chi \omega \nu$ ن́тò $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho о i ̂ s$





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ́ \delta ’ ~ E u ̉ \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon \grave{s ~ к а к о i ̂ s ~}$


8 ＇Нрак入є́є $\operatorname{Porson:~'Hрак\lambda \epsilon \hat {\imath }}$ LP with $\ddot{\nu} \nu$ added in the margin（l） or after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \rho(p) \quad 14 \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\delta} \rho a \mu \epsilon \nu$ Reiske：$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\delta} \rho \alpha \mu, \nu \nu$ LP

$$
I-2
$$

$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu$ öто⿱ $\gamma \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi v \nu \theta a ́ v o \iota \theta$ ' iठ $\rho \nu \mu$ évovs

 є่ $\chi$ Өáv $\tau \epsilon$ Өє́ $\sigma \theta a \iota$, $\chi a \cup ์ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon u ̉ \tau v \chi o v ̂ \nu \theta ’ ~ " ̈ \mu a . ~$ ồ $\delta^{\prime}, \dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ̉ \pi ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \hat{v} ~ \delta \epsilon \delta о р к о ́ т є \varsigma, ~$
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 ікє́тає каӨє $\zeta_{\circ}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \quad \beta \dot{\omega} \mu \iota о \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\pi \rho о \sigma \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \cdot \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ a ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \quad \chi$ Өovòs



 ठvoî̀ $\gamma \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau o \iota \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ t a \iota ~ \phi v \gamma \eta$ '.



 oै $\chi \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a ̉ \pi \iota \beta \omega \mu \iota о \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.

$21 \pi \rho \circ \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ Canter: $\pi \rho \circ \tau \iota \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ LP | $\phi i \lambda \eta \nu$ Dindorf: $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ LP $22 \tau \epsilon$ Musgrave: $\gamma \epsilon \mathrm{LP} \quad 27 \sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega \mathrm{P} \quad 38 \tau \delta \delta \delta \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{L} p: \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{P}$ :






 $\omega^{3} \mu \hat{\imath} \sigma o s, \epsilon^{i} \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\lambda о \iota о$ Х $\left.\dot{\omega} \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a s<\sigma^{\prime}\right\rangle$ àvíp.



## KOПPETE.


 ov̉ үáp тוs єै $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ôs тápoıt’ aipท́бєтaı













ßıаऍо́ $\mu \in \sigma \theta a$ каі $\sigma \tau$ є́ф $\eta$ цıaivєтає, $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau ’$ oैvє $\iota \delta o s \kappa a i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \tau \iota \mu i ́ a . ~$
$52 \sigma^{\prime}$ add. Barnes $65 \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \delta^{\prime}$ oủ Mekler, oủk ăkpos Herwerden 67 ä $\pi \epsilon \rho \rho \rho^{\prime}$ Cobet : ä ä $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \mathrm{LP}$

## XOPOE.



 $\chi$ v́rєขov• ${ }^{\bullet}$ тáخas.




 $\theta \in \nu$ à入ị́ $\pi \lambda a ́ \tau a$



Xo. ő $\nu о \mu a$ тí $\sigma \epsilon$, خє́ $\rho о \nu$,



Хо. оi̊' єíбакоv́бая каї $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu \cdot a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ той тотє 90
 $\nu є о т \rho є \phi є i ̂ s ; ~ ф \rho a ́ \sigma о \nu . ~$


 Hemsterhuys: $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \rho o \nu \tau a ~ \mu \hat{a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ L P ~ A f t e r ~} 76$ Murray marks a lacuna 80 бù $\delta^{\prime}$ Tyrwhitt: ò $\delta^{\prime}$ LP 83 кат $\notin \chi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ Hermann: $\kappa a r \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \mathrm{LP}$
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota ~ \tau v \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ;$
Io. $\mu \eta \tau^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \kappa \delta o \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \mu \eta \prime \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta i ́ a \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$
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$a \pi o \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu \quad \sigma \phi^{\prime} \epsilon \check{\epsilon} \delta \eta^{\prime}$.



Xо. ä $\theta$ єоу ікєбі́à

 єủßov入ías тvðóvта тท̂s ảmeivovos.




Xo. є̇ $\sigma \theta \lambda o \hat{v} \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a i ̂ s ~ \Delta \eta \mu о \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ò Ө $\eta \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$.
Ko. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ a ́ \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu ~ a ̂ \rho a ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ \delta e ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~$




 $\pi a ̂ \nu$ LP After 110 Kirchhoff marked a lacuna

## EYPITIDOY

## $\triangle Н М О Ф \Omega N$.





 татро́s $\tau \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ ' І o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \pi а \rho a \sigma \tau a ́ t \eta s . ~$

Xo. Bía $\nu \iota \nu$ ov̂tos $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ à $\pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \alpha ́ \rho a \varsigma ~ a ̈ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\zeta \eta \tau \omega \nu \nu \beta o \eta ̀ \nu$ єै $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha ̋ \sigma \phi \eta \lambda \epsilon \nu$ yóvv









 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i ̄ o s ~ \omega ̀ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a u ̛ t o ̀ s ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ o u s ~ a ̈ \gamma \omega, ~$ є́к т $\hat{\eta} \varsigma$ є่ $\mu a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau o v ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \delta \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ т а \varsigma ~ \epsilon ै \chi ~ \chi \omega \nu, ~$


 $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta_{\grave{c}} \kappa a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau i ́ a s ~ \dot{a} \phi \iota \gamma \mu$ évoı















 $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi a s \pi \epsilon \pi a \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ ，є́s $\pi a ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ каӨíбтатає





 $\kappa \tau \eta \prime \sigma \eta \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，єi خє́роутоя єìvєка
 $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i s$ ă $\nu \tau \lambda o \nu$ є’ $\mu \beta \eta \sigma \sigma \eta \pi o ́ \delta a$ ．

 $\epsilon i$ LP $148 \hat{\eta}$ Jacobs：єis LP 149 pímtov $\tau \epsilon$ Elmsley $152 \dot{\alpha} \beta$ oú $\lambda \omega s$ Kirchhoff：ảßoúdous LP｜катоぃтьєîv Elmsley：катокті完єь LP $153 \tau^{\prime}$ Reiske：$\gamma^{\prime}$ LP 161 סó $\xi^{\eta} s \ldots \chi \alpha \lambda \nu \beta \iota \kappa o \hat{L}$ LP：corr．Barnes $163 \tau i$ p̀vбıa⿱日єl＇s Kirchhoff：$\tau \iota \rho v \nu \theta i o \iota s$ $\theta \hat{\eta} s$ LP






 $\pi a ́ \theta \eta ̣$ б $\sigma$ v̀ тov̂to, тoùs á ácivovas тapòv

 $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ v ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ a ̉ \mu \phi о i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu ~ \epsilon \in \kappa \mu a ́ \theta \eta ~ \sigma a \phi \omega ̂ s ; ~ 180 ~$














$\tau \iota$ add. Elmsley 179 XO. Elmsley: $\Delta \mathrm{H}$. LP | крívo七є LP : corr. Portus $181 \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ Wilamowitz: $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{LP} \quad 184 \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ Valckenaer:
 wَô LP 190 фúr $\eta$ Elmsley: фúroc LP 191 oủ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ Stephanus: oủk ă ${ }^{\rho}$ 'LP








200 тоv̂ そ̄̀v $\pi a \rho ’$ є่ $\sigma \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta є \tau а \iota . ~$


















197 краขov̂oı Elmsley $202 \pi \delta \lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$ Kirchhoff: $\pi \sigma \quad \lambda \iota \nu$ LP 212 Х $\dot{\text { ஸ }}$ Kirchhoff: каi LP
218 є́ $\rho \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Barnes: $\epsilon \rho \nu \mu \nu \omega ิ \nu$ LP



 бoì خà $\tau o ́ \delta ’$ aí $\chi \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ s, ~ \epsilon ै \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \hat{g} ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, ~$

 ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ äעто $\mu a i ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ \kappa a \tau a \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \omega ~ \chi \epsilon \rho o i ̂ \nu, ~$ $\mu \eta^{\prime}, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \in \nu \epsilon i ́ o v, \mu \eta \delta a \mu \hat{\omega} \stackrel{a}{\text { ác }} \boldsymbol{\mu a ́ \sigma} \eta \varsigma$ тоѝs 'Нраклєíovs тaîठas є́s Хє́рая 入aßєîv.
 $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ ả $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \eta \varsigma^{\circ}$ äтаидтa $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ${ }^{2} 30$





 'Ió入aє, тov̀s $\sigma o v ̀ s ~ \mu \eta े ~ \pi a \rho \omega ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \xi є ́ v o v s . ~$


 $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi a \rho ’ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тоv́ $\sigma \delta \epsilon \pi a \tau \rho \varphi ̣ a \nu ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$, тó $\tau$ ' aï $\chi \rho o ́ \nu$, oûmє $\delta \in i ̂ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau а ~ ф \rho о \nu \tau i ́ \sigma a \iota . ~$ $\epsilon i$ خà $\pi a \rho \eta ́ \sigma \omega$ тóv $\delta \epsilon \sigma \nu \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ßía $\xi \in \dot{\prime} \nu o v \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta \omega \mu o ́ \nu$, oủк є̉ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$

[^10] 245










$\Delta \mathrm{H}$. є́ $\mu$ оi $\gamma^{\prime}$, є́áv $\sigma$ о८ тоv́ $\delta^{\prime}$ є́фє́ $\lambda \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$. ${ }_{25} 6$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}$. бкаіòs $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi и к а я ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \epsilon \in о \nu ~ ф \rho о \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
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$\Delta \mathrm{H}$. $\beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu о \hat{v}$ रє $\mu \grave{\eta}$ цıaívovtos $\theta є o v ́ s . ~$
Ko. oủ ßoú $\lambda о \mu a i ́ \sigma \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i o \iota s$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.



 apogr. Paris. : $\epsilon \dot{u} \tau v \chi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ LP $\quad 249 \dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Elmsley: $\dot{a} \pi 0 \sigma \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$ LP $251 \tau 0 i ̂ \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ ѐ $\tau^{\prime}$ LP: corr. Musgrave 252 кир $\eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ Bothe:
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ LP | $\sigma o i ̀$ corr. apogr. Paris: $\sigma \dot{v}$ LP $258 \pi \lambda \epsilon i \hat{0} \nu$ Aldus, $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega$ LP $262 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Reiske: $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}$ LP $263 \gamma^{\prime}$ add. Elmsley | ä $\nu$ LP: corr. Matthiae | $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu 0$ ôs Kirchhoff

## EYPITIDOY






Ko. $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \omega$. $\mu \iota a ̂ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \chi є \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \grave{\jmath} \varsigma \mu a ́ \chi \eta$.
 $\pi a ́ \gamma \chi a \lambda \kappa о \nu ~ a i \chi \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o \cdot \mu \nu \rho i ́ o \iota ~ \delta є ́ ~ \mu \epsilon$ $\mu \in ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ả $\sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in \varsigma$ Ev̉pvoӨєús $\tau$ 'ả $\nu a \xi$ aủтòs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \omega \hat{\nu}$. 'А $А \kappa a ́ \theta o v ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ่ \pi ' ~ є ं \sigma \chi a ́ \tau o \iota s ~$

 боі̀ каі̀ тоді́таıs $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ тє $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \varepsilon$ каì фитоîs.




ä $\xi \in \iota \nu$ ßía тov́ $\sigma \delta^{\prime} \cdot$ oủ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ тó $\lambda \epsilon \iota$

 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ \nu$ 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ '



 $\pi \circ ́ \sigma a \nu \iota \nu \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \in \iota \nu$ ßaбı $\lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ठокєі̂ऽ,


[^11]






 є่ऽ той $\sigma \chi a \tau o \nu \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \eta u ́ \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o u s ~$


 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \pi a \iota \sigma i$, кai $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \sigma$ е́ $\lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.


 $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a s$ aí $\bar{i}$ каì фíगovs $\nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$,
 $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu$ évo九 т $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ ф $\phi \lambda \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$







$299 \gamma \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu$ Musgrave: $\gamma a \mu \epsilon \bar{i}$ LP
 Od́vクs LP
v́ $\ddagger \eta \lambda \grave{o} \nu$ ả $\rho \hat{\omega}$ каì $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ тá $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho a \nu \hat{\omega}$,






Xo. ảधí $\pi ⿰ \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\eta \prime} \delta \epsilon$ үaîa тoîs ả $\mu \eta \chi$ ávous $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ठıкаí $\omega$ ßoú $\lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.


 тoเav̂т' $\epsilon ⺌ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot ~ \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota s . ~$ $\kappa a ̀ \gamma \omega ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda o \gamma o \nu ~ \pi o ı \eta ́ \sigma o \mu a l$,

 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \omega \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ́ \nu, ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \lambda a ́ \theta \eta ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega ' \nu . ~$

 $\sigma \grave{v}$ таıбì $\chi \omega ́ \rho \epsilon \iota, ~ Z \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \chi a ́ \rho a \nu ~ \lambda \iota \pi \omega ́ \nu . ~$


 ікє́та८ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu \theta a ́ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon \hat{v} \pi \rho a ̂ \xi a \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu . ~$
 $\quad$ ' $\mu \epsilon \nu$ тоо̀s оїкоия. $\theta є о і ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \delta ' ~ о и ~ к а к і о б \iota ~$ $\chi \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ бv $\mu \mu \dot{\chi} \chi \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ 'А $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu, \stackrel{a}{\nu} \nu a \xi$.


322 ả $\rho \hat{\omega}$ Elmsley: alp $\omega$ LP 336 тá $\xi \alpha$ s Kirchhoff: $\tau a \xi \omega$ LP






350 'A $\theta a ́ v a$ Elmsley: 'A $\theta \eta \nu a ̂$ LP 355 ஸ́ add. Erfurdt 365 àvro-

$\sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma^{\cdot}$ oủ $\sigma o i ̀ \mu o ́ \nu \omega$ є้̌ $\gamma \chi o s$, oủ $\delta^{\prime}$
iтє́a катá $\chi a \lambda \kappa o ́ s ~ є ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~$
ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' ov̉ $\pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$ є่ $\rho a \sigma \tau a ̀ s$
$\mu \eta^{\prime} \mu o \iota$ ठорі $\sigma v \nu \tau a \rho a ́ \xi \in \iota \varsigma$
$\tau a ̀ \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \chi a \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\chi o v \sigma a \nu$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{a} \nu \alpha a^{\sigma} \chi o v$.

Io. $\omega \pi \pi a \hat{\imath}, \tau i ́ \mu o \iota ~ \sigma v ́ \nu \nu o \iota a \nu ~ o ̋ ~ \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu ~ \phi є ́ \rho \omega \nu ~$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \pi a ́ \rho \in \iota \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \tau i ́ \pi v \nu \theta a ́ \nu \eta ;$
 ó yà $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s ~ \epsilon u ̛ \tau v \chi \eta ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{a} ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \quad 385$ єỉ $\sigma \iota \nu, \sigma a ́ \phi ’$ oî $\delta a$, каì $\mu a ́ \lambda ’$ oủ $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa р o ̀ \nu ~ ф \rho о \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $39^{\circ}$

 $\pi \epsilon \delta i ́ a ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o u ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \eta ̂ s ~ \epsilon ่ s ~ \tau a ́ \delta ’ ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ̀ \phi \eta ̂ \kappa є ́ ~ \pi \omega ~$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ v, \lambda \in \pi a i ́ a \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ o ̉ \phi \rho u ́ \eta \nu ~ к а \theta \eta ́ \mu \in \nu o s$

 єंv $\dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ í $\delta \rho v ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \chi$ Өovós. $\kappa а і$ тả $\mu a ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota \pi a ́ \nu \tau ’ ~ a ̈ \rho a \rho ’ ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \kappa a \lambda \omega ิ \varsigma . ~$

379 єن̉ $\chi a \rho i \tau \omega \nu$ Elmsley: $\epsilon \dot{̉} \chi a \rho i \sigma \tau \omega s$ LP $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \epsilon$ LP
Tyrwhitt тóó LP
$384 \psi \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \nexists \mathrm{~s}$ Murray: $\psi \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$ LP 386 єioiv Elmsley: $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu$ LP 394 入є $\quad$ aial Stiblinus: $\lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho a \nu$ LP
$382 \lambda \in ́ \xi \in \epsilon s$ Kirchhoff: $385 \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ ढ้̈ 393 тád ${ }^{\prime}$ Stephanus: 396 corrupt: see Comm.





 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \iota a ~ \pi a \lambda a u ́ a, ~ \tau \eta ̣ \delta \epsilon ~ \gamma \eta ̂ ̀ ~ † \sigma \omega \tau \eta ́ \rho ı a \dagger . ~$





 oű $\tau^{\prime}$ ă $\lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{a} \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu а \gamma \kappa a ́ \sigma \omega$
 ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \phi i ̀ \lambda \tau a \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \nu a ; ~$ каì $\nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma i ́ \delta o u s, ~$



 $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ oن̂̀ ơ $\rho a$ $\sigma \dot{v} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \xi \in u ́ p \iota \sigma \chi$ ’ ö $\pi \omega \varsigma$ aủтоí tє $\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \pi \in ́ \delta o \nu ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon$,



401 and 402 transposed by Tyrwhitt 406 O $\epsilon \sigma$ фároıs Kirchhoff: $\theta \epsilon \sigma \phi a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \mathrm{LP}$

405 кєх $\rho \eta \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ Wecklein $407 \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ Schol. Soph. Ant. 17+ etc.: $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{LP}$

408 ко́рท̣ Barnes: ко́рŋข LP
415 тикขàs Dobree
$416 \hat{\eta} \mathrm{LP}$
$411 \kappa \tau \epsilon 1 \hat{\omega}$ $417 \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{\epsilon}$ Elmsley: $\epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ LP

## EYPITIDOY






 $\pi \nu o a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda a ́ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ є่s $\pi o ́ \nu \tau o \nu \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$. oüт $\omega$ ठ̀ $\chi \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \omega \theta 0 \cup{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} \varsigma$,












 ن̀ $\mu a ̂ \varsigma ~ \delta e ̀ ~ к \lambda а i ́ \omega ~ к а і ̈ ~ к а т о н к т і ́ \rho \omega, ~ т є ́ к \nu а, ~$ $\kappa а \grave{~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu \nu ~ \gamma є \rho а \iota a ̀ ̀ ~ \mu \eta \tau є ́ \rho ' ~ ' А \lambda \kappa \mu \eta ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ т а т \rho o ́ s . ~}$




 435 饺 $\epsilon \iota$ Elmsley: $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda o c$ LP 436 aivé $\sigma a \iota$ Valckemaer: aivéras LP





 тò̀ 'Нрі́кдєєоу бv́ $\mu \mu а \chi$ оу каӨиßрі́баl'



Xo. $\omega^{\circ} \pi \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta v, \mu \dot{\eta} \nu v \nu \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in a \iota \tau \iota \hat{\omega} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.






 vєavíaı тє каі̀ татро̀s $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu$ е́voı


 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \omega ิ \nu$ áкоv́баs єíयi каì фóßov $\pi \lambda$ е́ $\omega$ s.

## MAKAPIA.



 Tyrwhitt: $\tau u ́ \chi \eta$ LP $462 \psi \in v \delta e ̀ s ~ N a u c k: ~ \psi \in \hat{v ̂} \delta o s$ LP 470 入úu $\quad 4 s$ Elmsley
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu, ~ \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \omega ~ \theta^{\prime} \eta ้ \sigma v \chi o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v \in \iota \nu ~ \delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ àкои́ $\sigma a \sigma$＇，＇Ió $\epsilon \omega \varsigma, \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，

 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa a ̉ \mu a v \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \in \rho$,
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa є і ́ \mu є \nu o ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ 丁ŋ̀̀ ठáкขєє фрє́va．


$\grave{\eta \mu i ̂ \nu} \delta_{\epsilon} \delta_{0} \xi a s \in \hat{v} \pi \rho о \chi \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ סó $\mu о \varsigma$
 $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ रà $\rho$ ఱ่סov＇s $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ б $\eta \mu a i ้ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ő ő $\epsilon$ oủ тâ̂pov oưठè $\mu o ́ \sigma \chi o \nu, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a \rho \theta e ́ v o \nu$
 $49^{\circ}$

 $\sigma \phi \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ö ó aútô $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̉т’ ä入入ov тıvós．


 aủtòs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau \eta ́ \nu \delta \epsilon ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \chi$ Өóva．

Io．$\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon, \tau a ̉ \lambda \lambda a \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau v \chi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma o ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.



 LP $493 \sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ Elmsley：$\sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \check{\xi \epsilon \nu}$ LP 498 ка́хо́ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ Elmsley： $\kappa \in \cup ̉ \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ LP 500 ＇Aorєi $\omega \nu$ Elmsley：＇A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \neq \nu$ LP


 avitoì $\delta_{\epsilon} \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ӥ $\lambda \lambda о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ тóvous, $\pi a \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega ि \sigma \theta a \iota, \phi \epsilon v \xi o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mu \eta े$ $\theta a \nu \epsilon \imath ̂ \nu ;$

 татрòs $\delta$ ’ є̇кєívov фúvтаৎ oṽ тєфи́канє $\nu$,

 $5{ }^{11}$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ì $\lambda o u ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \chi \epsilon i ̂ p a s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon ̀ \chi \theta \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, ка̉тєєта סєเvà тaтрòs ô̂ $\sigma a \nu$ єủyєvoûs $\pi a \theta o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ "A $\mathrm{A} \delta \eta \nu \nu \mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu$ रे $\sigma \sigma o \nu$ єi $\sigma \iota \delta \in i ̂ \nu$.



 какоѝs $\gamma$ à $\rho \dot{\eta} \mu \in i ̂ \varsigma ~ o u ̉ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \omega \phi \in \lambda \eta ́ \sigma о \mu \in \nu$.

 - $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \eta ̋ \delta \eta ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon ~ \pi \rho o u ́ \delta o \sigma a \nu ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o v s .-~$

 oűкоиข $\theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ a ै \mu \epsilon \iota \nu o \nu ~ ท ̂ ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau u \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$


504 ai $\epsilon \epsilon \theta$ aı Elmsley: aipєí大日aь LP $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$
$513 \kappa \alpha ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{~L}, \kappa \alpha ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \tau \iota \dot{\alpha}$ altered to кä $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{P} \quad 515 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ $\tau \epsilon \imath i \sigma \omega$ Stephanus $526 \kappa \not \approx \nu \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi о \iota$ Scaliger and Elmsley: каi $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \mathrm{LP}$
 $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta$ ' öтои $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ кат $\theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon, ~$ каì $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu а \tau о \hat{\tau \epsilon} \kappa а і$ ката́р $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta$, єi ठокєі.


$\theta \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \dot{a} \mu a \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma{ }^{\nu} \pi \epsilon \epsilon$.
 $\kappa a ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \eta \dot{v} \rho \eta \kappa$ ’, єủк $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\omega} s ~ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ مíov.






 тoîs $\sigma o i ̂ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota \sigma \iota, ~ \tau \eta ̂ ~ \tau u ́ \chi \eta ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \lambda \gamma u ́ v o \mu a \iota . ~$




MA. ov̉火 à้ $\theta a ́ \nu o \iota \mu \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \tau v ́ \chi \eta ~ \lambda a \chi o v ̂ \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \gamma \omega$.




Io. $\phi \in \hat{v}^{\text {. }}$
ö $\delta^{\prime}$ à̉ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \pi \rho i ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon u ̉ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \tau \rho о \varsigma . ~$


[^12] $\pi \rho o \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \operatorname{LP}$












 $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu о \grave{\imath} \tau o ́ \delta ’$ aí $\chi \rho o ́ v, ~ \mu \grave{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \kappa а \lambda \omega ิ \varsigma$,
 каì тои̂ סıкаíov т $\lambda \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ठє̀ $\sigma \grave{\epsilon}$
 à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \epsilon \grave{l} \tau \iota \beta o u ́ \lambda \eta$, тov́ $\sigma \delta \epsilon$ тòv $\gamma \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon$

MA. $\omega^{\circ} \chi a i ̂ \rho \epsilon, \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta v, \chi a i ̂ \rho \epsilon, \kappa а i \quad \delta i ́ \delta a \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ ~ \mu о \iota$ тolov́ $\sigma \delta \epsilon$ тоv́ $\delta \delta \epsilon$ тaîठas, є́s тò $\pi a ̂ v$ бoфov́s, 575 ढ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \dot{v} \cdot \mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu \cdot \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \epsilon ́ \sigma о v \sigma \iota ~ \gamma a ́ \rho$. $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \alpha \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ́ \theta \nu \mu o s{ }_{\omega}^{\omega} \nu$. бoì $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\sigma a i ̂ \nu ~ \chi \epsilon \rho o i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \rho a ́ \mu \mu \epsilon \theta a . ~$
 $\delta \iota \delta o v ̂ \sigma a \nu$ ảעтi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \kappa a \tau \theta a \nu o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$. $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \varsigma \quad \tau^{\prime}, ~ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \pi a \rho o \hat{v} \sigma^{\prime}$ ó $\mu i \lambda i ́ a$,
$557 \sigma^{\prime}$ Reiske: $\gamma^{\prime}$ LP $\mid \delta^{\prime}$ add. Barnes $567 \Delta H$. Heath : IO. LP



 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau а ~ \tau \eta ́ \nu ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ै \sigma \omega ~ \gamma \rho a i ̂ a \nu ~ \delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu ~$ $\tau \iota \mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho ' ~ ' А \lambda \kappa \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \nu$ є่ $\mu о \hat{v}$
そ́vovs $\tau \epsilon \tau \operatorname{\tau ov} \sigma \delta \epsilon . \quad \kappa a ̊ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta ̀ ~ \pi o ́ v \omega \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu$ ஸ́s $\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi a \iota \chi \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu$.

 590

$\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi а \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ́ a s, ~ \epsilon i ้ ~ \tau \iota ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa а ́ \tau \omega ~ \chi \theta o \nu o ́ s . ~$
 $\kappa а ̉ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ ~ \mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \mu \nu a s ~ o i ~ \theta a \nu o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \beta \rho о т \hat{\omega} \nu$,


 $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ бvעаıк $\hat{\nu}$, í $\sigma \theta \iota, \tau \iota \mu \iota \omega \tau a ́ \tau \eta$

 $\hat{\eta}$ бòv кат $\hat{\rho} \rho \kappa \tau а \iota ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a, \Delta \eta \not \eta \eta \tau \rho о \varsigma \kappa о ́ \rho \eta \nu$.
ढ̂ $\pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma$, oi $\chi о ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \cdot \lambda v ́ є \tau a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$





$$
[\sigma \tau \rho
$$

585 т $\tau \mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon$ Portus: $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ LP $592 \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ ed.: каi LP | кáтш Stob. fl. 120, 6: каবà LP 602 入úєтat Milton: ôv́eraı LP
ä $\delta \rho a \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，

єủтvұíą тарà $\delta$＇ä $\lambda \lambda a \nu$ aै $\lambda \lambda a$
$\mu о і р а$ бь́ккєь．


 àтஸ́бєта८，6rs

$\grave{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ \sigma \dot{v} \mu \eta ̀ \quad \pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \sigma \grave{\omega} \nu \tau a ̀ \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ úmєрá $\lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota \quad[a \dot{\nu \tau}$ ．
фоо⿱亠䒑í́a $\lambda$ v́тą ${ }^{\text {．}}$
 à $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ a \operatorname{\pi } \rho o ́ ~ t ’ a ̉ \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\gamma \hat{a} \varsigma$ ，

סó $\xi$ a $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu ~ ن ́ \pi o \delta є ́ \xi є \tau a \iota . ~$ a $\delta$ ’ a’ $\rho \in \tau a ̀ ~ \beta a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \mu o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu . ~$ 625


$610{ }^{\text {＇}} \mu \beta \epsilon \beta$ ával ed．：$\beta \in \beta$ ával LP ä $\lambda \lambda$ ov $\gamma^{\prime} l \quad 614 \dot{\alpha}$ aंtav Lobeck $\pi \epsilon \sigma \grave{u} \nu$ LP with $\pi \iota \tau \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ above $l$（or L ？）｜фє́ $\epsilon$ Elmsley ：itip LP $622 \pi \rho o ́ ~ \tau^{\prime}$ Barnes：$\pi \rho o ̀ s L$ ，$\pi \rho o ́ s \tau^{\prime} \mathrm{P} \quad$ After 629 lacuna marked by Kirchhoff

## $\Theta Е Р А П \Omega N$.



Io. $\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, oïa $\delta \dot{\eta} \gamma$ ' є่ $\mu o \hat{v} \pi a \rho o v \sigma i ́ a$.

Io. фродтís тıs $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ оiкєîos, $\hat{\eta} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \chi o ́ \mu \eta \nu$.



Io. $\tau i \varsigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma v$; $\pi o \hat{v} \sigma o \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \tau v \chi \grave{\omega} \nu ~ a ̀ \mu \nu \eta \mu o \nu \hat{\omega}$;


Өе. $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \cdot \kappa a i ̀ \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \gamma ’ ~ \epsilon u ̉ \tau \nu \chi є i ̂ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$


$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \grave{\omega} \delta i \nu \nu o v \sigma a ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$


## A $\Lambda$ KMHNH.

тí $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu$ ' $่ v \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi a ̂ \nu \tau o ́ \delta ’$ є่ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma o s ;$
'Ió $\lambda a \epsilon, \mu \hat{\omega \nu} \tau$ тís $\sigma$ ' ầ $\beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \pi а р \grave{\omega} \nu$




 LP 640 ク̈кєเs apogr. Paris.: ク̈кes LP 643 тоôôe Elmsley:





AA. тí үà $\beta$ ßò̀ $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a s ~ a ̈ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o \nu ~ \phi o ́ \beta o v ; ~\end{gathered}$


Io. پ̈коута таîठa таıठòs à $\gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$.

 $\pi \tau \hat{v} \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ä $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ; \tau i \varsigma \nu l \nu$ єî $\rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho a ̀$





Io. $\pi o ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ \sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu \pi a ́ \rho \in \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ €ै $\chi \omega \nu$;

Io. í $\sigma a \sigma \iota \nu$, oí $a \iota$, тav̂т' 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi \rho о ́ \mu o \iota . ~$


ӨЕ. каі $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi а \rho \eta ิ \kappa \tau а \iota ~ \sigma ф a ́ \gamma \iota a ~ \tau a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega v ~ є ̂ \kappa a ́ s . ~$
Io. $\quad \pi o ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \tau \iota ~ \delta ' ~ ' ै ~ \epsilon \tau ' ~ a ̈ \pi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta o ́ p v ; ~$

Io. $\tau i \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a ; \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a ́ \sigma \sigma o v \tau a \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau i \chi a \varsigma$;

 ov̉к à̀ $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda о \iota \mu \iota \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \sigma \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.

Io. кäy $\omega \gamma \epsilon \sigma \grave{v} \nu \sigma o i \cdot \tau a u ̉ \tau a ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ ~ \rho ~ ф \rho о \nu \tau i \zeta o \mu \epsilon \nu, ~ 680 ~$


Io. каì $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \gamma ’ a ̉ \lambda \kappa i ́ \mu о v ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \varsigma ~ ф i ́ \lambda o ı s . ~$





Io. à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ov̂̀ $\mu a \chi o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a i ́ ~ \gamma ’ ~ a ̉ p ı \theta \mu o ̀ \nu ~ o u ̉ k ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o \sigma \iota . ~$
Өе. $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$ тò $\sigma o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \omega \mu a ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau i ́ \theta \eta s ~ ф i ̀ \lambda о \iota s . ~ 690 ~$

Өe. $\delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma u ́ ~ \gamma ’ ~ o u ̉ \chi ~ o i ̂ o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon, ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta ’ ~ i ' \sigma \omega \varsigma . ~$
Io. $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \mu \eta ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \nu o v ̂ \nu \tau a ~ \tau a ̈ \lambda \lambda a ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma є \iota \nu ~ \pi a ́ p a . ~$
Өe. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ oû̀ ó $\pi \lambda i ́ \tau \eta s ~ \tau \epsilon \nu \chi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ä $\tau \epsilon \rho$ фavท̂̀;
 тоîб $\delta$ ', оī $\sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \sigma \theta a ~ \kappa a ̉ \pi о \delta \omega ́ \sigma о \mu \in \nu ~$





Xo. $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oṽ $\pi \omega$ $\sigma \tau o ́ \rho \nu v \sigma \iota ~ \chi$ рóvos

$\tau i ́ \pi o \nu \in i ̂ \varsigma ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$ à $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \beta \lambda a ́ \psi \epsilon \iota$,

$\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \mu a \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ т $\eta \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a \nu$,

685, 686 $\theta$ évout and $\theta$ tevots Pierson 689 нахоî̀raı Madvig:










Io. каi Zqעì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$, oî $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \gamma \dot{\omega}, \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota o ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
Aл. $\quad \phi \in \hat{v}$.


 720


 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ mopeúou $\gamma \nu \mu \nu o ́ s, ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \tau a ́ \xi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$

Io. кал $\omega \varsigma \varsigma ~ \epsilon ้ \lambda \epsilon \xi а \varsigma \cdot ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \grave{~} \pi \rho o ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho ’$ é $\chi \omega \nu$



Io. őpvıOos єïvєк’ ả $\sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\omega} s ~ \pi о р є v \tau \epsilon ́ o \nu . ~$
Өe. єì $\theta^{\prime}{ }^{j} \sigma \theta a \quad \delta v \nu a \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \rho a ̂ \nu ~ o ̈ \sigma o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu o s ~ є i ̂ . ~$.




710 $\tau$ tккvou add. Vitelli
713 тaıбi Canter: $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota ~ L P$

711 хрضे apogr. Paris. : $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \mathrm{LP}$ 733 бокผ้̂ Tyrwhitt: боки̂ LP

## EYPITIDOY



Өe. тí $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a ; \beta o u \lambda o i ́ \mu \eta \nu \delta^{\prime}$ àv $\epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau v \chi \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a ́ \gamma \epsilon$.
Io. $\delta i$ ' $\dot{a} \sigma \pi i \delta o s$ $\theta$ єívovta $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ тivá.

Io. $\phi \in \hat{v}$.
$\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime}$, $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{3} \beta \rho a \chi i ́ \omega \nu$, oiov $\dot{\eta} \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau a ́ \quad \sigma \epsilon$







Xo. $\gamma \hat{a}$ каì $\pi a \nu \nu u ́ \chi \iota o s ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda a ́-$ $\sigma \tau \rho . a$
$\nu a$ каі̀ $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ т а т а \iota ~ \theta є о \hat{v}$
фаєбіцßротои аúvаí,

iaұŋ́батє $\delta^{\prime}$ ov’ $\rho a \nu \hat{\omega}$
каì тарà $\theta \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \chi є ́ \tau а \nu ~$
үдаика̂я є่v 'A $\theta$ ávas.
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ тâৎ $\pi a \tau \rho \iota \omega ́ \tau \iota \delta o s$
$\gamma \hat{\varsigma} \varsigma, \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ каì vimè $\rho$ סó $\mu \omega \nu$
іке́тая ข̇ттобє $\chi$ Өєis
кі้ $\delta \nu \nu о \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \iota \hat{̣} ~ \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma \iota \delta a ́ \rho \omega . ~$
736 oủ Heath: où LP 738 धéivovta Elmsley: $\theta \in ́ v o \nu \tau a ~ L P ~$
743 olos Barnes: olos LP
750 фаєбт $\mu \beta$ ро́тou Musgrave
$744 \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \eta \nu$ Cobet: $\theta \epsilon i \eta \nu$ L.P



vas єủסaípova каì סopòs


како̀ $\delta^{\prime \prime}, \omega \pi$ то́入ıৎ，єi छ＇́vous
іктทิраs тарабढ́бонєь
$\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$＂A $\rho \gamma o v s$.
Zєús $\mu$ оє छ̌v $\mu \mu a \chi o s$ ，oủ фoßô̂－
$\mu a \iota$ ，Zєús $\mu о \iota$ Хápıд є̉vסíкшs
є้ $\chi \in \iota^{\circ}$ ои้тотє $\theta \nu а т \omega ิ \nu$

ci $\lambda \lambda, \dot{\omega} \pi$ то́тvıa，$\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ oủ－$\quad \sigma \tau \rho . \beta$ iTo

тŋр ס́є́ттоьvá тє каì фúда $\xi$ ，
тópєvбov ä $\lambda \lambda a$ tò̀ oủ Sıкаíws
$\tau a ̨ \delta \delta^{\prime}$ є̇ாáyovta $\delta \circ \rho v \sigma \sigma o v ̂ \nu$



тинà краívєтаи，ои̉ס̀̀ $\lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}-$
$\theta \epsilon \iota \mu \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \theta \iota \nu \dot{a} \stackrel{a}{a} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$ ，
$\nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau ’ a ̉ o \iota \delta a i ̀ ~ \chi o \rho \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \mu о \lambda \pi a i ́ . ~$


$\theta \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ia $\chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ кро́тоוб८ข．
761 mo入vaivєтov Canter：$\pi$ o入valvétou LP $762 \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{q}$ Canter：$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ L． F
 add．Kirchhoff｜${ }^{\circ} \kappa \kappa \gamma$＇Kirchhoff：єïт’ LP 771 бòv del．ed． 773 ä入入a
 apugr．Paris．：$\grave{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \mathrm{LP} \quad 780 \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ Barnes：$\nu a \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{LP}, \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} l$

P．

## АГГЕЛОЕ.


 $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ є́ $\chi$ Өроѝs каі тротай íठри́єтаь

 $\dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a \iota$ тоî $\sigma \delta \epsilon$ тоîs à $\gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$.







. $1 . \theta a v \mu a ́ \sigma \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi a \varsigma^{\circ}$ à $\lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \tau v \chi \hat{\eta}$ фí $\lambda \omega \nu$ $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \varsigma ~ a ̀ \gamma \omega ̂ \nu a ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ à $\gamma \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \lambda a \iota ~ \theta ́ \epsilon \lambda \omega$.
Аг. єîs $\mu \circ v$ 入óyos $\sigma o \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \sigma \eta \mu a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} ~ \tau a ́ \delta є . ~$

 є́кßàs $\tau \in \theta \rho i ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu$ " $\Upsilon \lambda \lambda о \varsigma ~ \dot{~} \rho \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi o ́ \delta a$, є̈ $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ย́ $\sigma o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ èv $\mu \epsilon \tau a \iota \chi \mu i o \iota s ~ \delta o \rho o ́ s . ~$
 ท̈кєєя, тí тйข $\delta \epsilon$ yaîav oủk єiá $\sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$
 1.P
 794 خं Elmsley: $\hat{o}^{\prime} \mathrm{LP} \mid \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ corr. apogr. Paris.: $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{LP}$
 єiả $\sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ Elmsley: $\epsilon l a \sigma \alpha ̀ \alpha \grave{\alpha} \nu$ LP After 805 lacuna marked by Heath

## HPAKAEIDAI







 o $\delta$ ’ оӥтє тoùs к入úovtas aî $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$


 тoùs＇Нрак $\lambda \epsilon$ íous $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ סou入 $\omega$ б́ $\omega \nu$ yóvous；

 ठıa入入aүàs єै $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma a \nu$ oủ $\tau \epsilon \lambda o v \mu \epsilon ́ v a s$,
 $\lambda a \iota \mu \hat{\nu}$ ß











$$
3-2
$$

$\pi \circ ́ \sigma o \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a \gamma \mu o ̀ \nu ~ o i \mu \omega \gamma \eta \prime \nu ~ \theta ' ~ o ́ ~ \mu o ̂ ́ ; ~$ $\tau \grave{a} \pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau a \mu$ е́v $\nu v \nu \pi i \tau v \lambda o s$ 'Apyєiov סopòs

тò $\delta \in u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ סє́ $\pi o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi a \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta \epsilon i s ~ \pi o \delta i ́, ~$



 $\mu o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \delta \rho \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ оưк aैтє $\pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu$




$\lambda a \beta \grave{\omega} \nu$ ठє̀ $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \nu$ ท̀vías EủpvoӨє́ $\omega \varsigma$
 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \iota \mu$ ’à $\nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o \delta^{\prime}$ av̉тòs $\epsilon i \sigma \delta \delta \omega_{\nu} \nu$.
 סias 'A $\theta a ́ v a s, ~ " ̈ \rho \mu ' ~ i \delta o ̀ v ~ E u ̉ \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma, ~$
 $\nu$ ข́os $\gamma \in \nu$ е́б $\theta a \iota$ кảтотєі́баб





 тòv ö $\lambda \beta \iota o v \pi a ́ \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon . \quad \tau \hat{\eta}$ ठè $\nu \hat{v} \nu \tau v ́ \chi \eta$ Bротоî äтабь $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho a ̀ ~ к \eta \rho v ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \mu a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~$













 àтà $\frac{\tau i}{} \kappa \epsilon v v^{\theta} \omega \nu$ 'Ió $\lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ бофóv тотє

 є́ $\chi$ Өооѝs $\lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau а ~ \mu \eta ~ a ̀ т о т є і ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta i ́ к \eta \nu . ~$
 †кратоиิขта† каї $\sigma \hat{\eta}$ 位 $\delta \sigma \pi$ тотои́ $\mu \in \nu о \nu \quad \chi \in \rho i ́$. oủ $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ є́ко́vта $\gamma$ ' aủтóv, ả àà $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta i ́ a \nu ~$



884 крато̂̀ $\alpha \alpha$ Reiske | $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \hat{\eta}$ Paley
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’，$\omega^{\circ} \gamma є \rho a \iota a ́, \chi a i ̂ \rho \epsilon$ ，каì $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma o ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~$




$\sigma \tau \rho, a$
$\lambda \omega \tau o v \chi^{a} \rho \iota s{ }^{\dagger} \epsilon \nu \iota \delta a \iota \dagger$,

$\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ סє́ тє каї $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ á $\rho$
єย̇тvðíà i̊é $\theta$ Өaı
$\tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \alpha ́ \rho o s ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta о к о ข ́ v \tau \omega \nu . ~$
$\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ \quad \gamma \grave{a} \rho \tau і к \tau \epsilon \iota$
Moîpa тє $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \delta \omega_{\tau} \tau \iota \rho{ }^{\prime}$
Aí̀v $\tau \epsilon$ X
900


$\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu \theta \epsilon o v \varsigma^{\circ}$ ò $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$ фа́бкю
є่ $\gamma \gamma ข ̀ s ~ \mu a \nu \iota \omega ิ \nu$ є่ $\lambda a u ́ \nu є \iota$ ，
$\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ є̇ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \chi \omega \nu$
905

$\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ，
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta i ́ \kappa \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \iota \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$
фро⿱亠䒑䶹атоs aiєí．

тєòs 耳óvos，${ }^{\text {ex }}$ yєpaıá•
 LP 893 ठaıт Canter $894 \tau^{\prime}$ Elmsley：$\delta^{\prime}$ LP 902 áфé $\sigma$ Oaı Herwerden ：$\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ LP 911 тєòs corr．apogr．Paris．：$\theta \epsilon \grave{s}$ LP

фє́́yєє 入ó Só $\mu$ оу катє́ßa, тvрâs
 "Нßa؟ т' є́рато̀̀ Хроїఢєь
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \chi o s ~ \chi \rho v \sigma$ éav кат’ aủ $\frac{1}{} \nu$.
$\widehat{\omega}^{\text {' }}$ T $\mu$ évale, $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma o v ̀ \varsigma$
$\pi a i ̂ \delta a \varsigma ~ \Delta i o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \xi i \omega \sigma a \varsigma$.

каì үà $\pi$ татрì $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \delta^{\prime}$ 'A $\theta a ́ v a \nu$
920

каì тои́б $\delta_{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon a ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \varsigma ~$
каì 入аòs єै $\sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon$ кєívas,

$\mu o ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \delta i ́ k a s ~ \beta i ́ a l o s . ~$
$\mu \eta ं \pi о т ’$ є́ $\mu о і$ ф фо́v $\eta \mu a$
$\psi u \chi a ́ ~ \tau ’ a ̉ \kappa o ́ p \in \sigma \tau о s ~ є i ̈ \eta . ~$

## $\Theta Е Р А П \Omega N$.







 LP $924{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ ô $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { vip }\end{gathered}$

 LP

$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \omega \nu$＇A $\theta$ ńvas．à àà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́vavtíav $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ єै $\theta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ，каì $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ тv́ $\chi \eta \nu$ ．

$\Delta$ iòs тротаíov кад入ívєкоу í $\sigma \tau а \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．

 グס८бтov є่ $\chi \theta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a ~ \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi o v ̂ \nu \theta ' ~ o ́ \rho a ̂ \nu . ~$
$9+0$

 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \iota$ тoùs $\sigma o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ є่vavтiò



 v̋סpas $\lambda \in ́ o \nu \tau a ́ \varsigma ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \xi a \pi o \lambda \lambda u ́ v a \iota ~ \lambda є ́ \gamma \omega \nu$









 $\kappa а і ̀ ~ к \epsilon \rho \delta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a \cdot ~ \chi \rho \eta ̂ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o u ̉ \chi ~ " ̈ \pi \alpha \xi ~$

 tious LP 948， 949 transposed by ed． 949 ＂A $\iota \delta \eta \nu$ Aldus：ä $\delta \eta$ LP $959 \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ Reiske：$\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ LP






А．1．каі таиิта $\delta^{\circ} \xi а \nu \theta^{\prime}$＂$\Upsilon \lambda \lambda о \varsigma ~ є ́ \xi \eta \nu ย ́ \sigma \chi є т о ; ~$













 $\nu \epsilon i ̂ \kappa o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ้ \nu \delta \rho a ~ \tau o ́ v \delta \epsilon, ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma к \omega ~ к а \lambda \omega ิ \varsigma . ~$

## ETPイミ＠Eヘミ．





 tıva LP ：corr．Tyrwhitt


 à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ єїт' є' $\chi \rho \eta \eta_{\zeta}{ }^{\prime}$





 99.





 Є̈ $\chi$ Ө $\rho a \nu \pi а \tau \rho ஸ ́ a \nu, ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau а ~ \kappa \iota \nu \eta ̂ \sigma а \iota ~ \pi є ́ т \rho о \nu, ~$


 є́ $\chi$ Ө $\rho \hat{v}$ रéovtos $\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau a$ ク้ $\lambda a v \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \grave{a} \nu \kappa к \kappa о і ̂ \sigma \iota \nu, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \phi \rho o ́ v \omega \varsigma ~$
 $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ oûv є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta^{\prime} \mu$ ’ oủ $\delta \iota \omega ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ тóтє

 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \tau ’ a ̀ \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu о \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$, тòv $\theta \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu$
988 'Hраклtєє Elmsley: 'Hраклє́ï LP' 999 रoûv Headlam: $\gamma$ ’ LP'
 Stephanus: $\delta v \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ LG









 тóv $\delta^{\prime}$ єiтa עєкрòv тoîs $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ фí入 $\omega$ "



102:





10.30
 каì боì $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ єüvous каì тó $\lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota o s$

 öтav $\mu o ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o ~ \sigma u ̀ \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi \in \rho i$













A. тi $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a \mu$ é $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime}, ~ \epsilon i \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu \quad 1045$ $\kappa и т \epsilon р \gamma а ́ \sigma а \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau о і ̂ \sigma i ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \xi ~ ن ̇ \mu \omega ิ \nu ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ́ \nu$,







 $\kappa а Ө а \rho \omega \varsigma \varsigma ~ є ै \sigma \tau а \iota ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ข ิ \sigma \iota \nu$.
 $1046 \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ apogr. Paris.: $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ LG lacuna

1041 тáфоу Heath: тóтоу LG After 1052 Hermann marked a

## EXPLANATORY NOTES.

 is predicate. The view that $\dot{o} \delta i \kappa \alpha=o s \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \rho \rho$ is the subject - 'the just man is born for his neighbours'-is untenable, not so much as interfering with the parallelism between the clauses, as because of the position of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$ and the harshness of $\pi \epsilon \phi v \kappa \dot{v} v a l$ rois $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a s$ in the
 ₹'申u's the presence of the adjectives makes all the difference.

тois $\pi \epsilon \in \lambda a s$ : 'others' generally, not limited to relatives or friends. The phrase is copiously illustrated by Elmsley on . Med. 85 .
3. 'és tò кépסos...àveıévov: devoted to gain. The phrase denotes 'to be set free over a certain range,' and implies that the liberty given is restricted within such limits. It is found in IHerodotus (II 165 etc .) and late prose, with a tendency to be used of licentious excess: Plut. Fab. Max. 5 ồ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ єis фоóv $\eta \mu \alpha$ каi

 unnecessary.
4. $\pi$ ó $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$ contrasts public life with private dealings ( $\sigma v \nu a \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma$ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu)$. Elmsley shows that this is characteristic, quoting fr. 429 ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$

 $\beta$ apús = dangerous (for others) to deal with. See Goodw. § 763 , who quotes Plat. polit. 302 E ăvouos $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ( $\mu$ ovapxia) $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{\eta}$ каi

5. ov̉ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi \mu^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \omega$ v: i.c. 1 know by my own experience, not on hearsay. Observe the ironical understatement (meiosis), and contrast

6. aidoî, instr. dat. of cause, balances the participle: 'impelled by honour and regard for kindred.' For such variations cf. inf. 194,


 manifold aspects; particularly, it is the sense of honour, which high birth and gentle breeding impart. Alc. Gor tò $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ єúrevès
 $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon$. Matthiae well remarks:-aiós est pudor quo a rebus turpibus prohibemur, non pudor ob res turpes patratas.

8. $\epsilon \hat{\mathrm{s}}$ is introduced to strengthen $\pi \lambda$ eiot $\omega \nu$ by way of antithesis. The exact force is:- I more than any other shared with Heracles

 $\kappa \iota \nu \delta \iota \nu \in \dot{v} \mu a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho a$, Thuc. VIII 68. Observe that the contrast between $\epsilon i$ and $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o s$ in this idiom is only rhetorical: in Thuc.
 Chios with a confederacy.
${ }^{\prime}$ Нракле́єє: uncontracted, as in 988.
9. кат' ovjpavòv: for the apotheosis cf. 9 ro.
10. ímò $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ îs. The familiarity of this metaphor is shown by

 $\nu \epsilon \circ \sigma \sigma o u ̀ s ~ o ̈ p \nu \iota s ~ w ̈ s ~ \dot{v} \phi \epsilon \iota \mu \in ̂ \nu \eta$, Aesch. Eum. $1001 ~ \Pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ~ \delta ' ~ \dot{~ i \pi o ̀ ~}$ $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho 0$ îs övtas (of the Athenians).
II. Tád $\epsilon$ is deictic, 'here.'
12. $\quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ introduces the narrative.
13. $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is not answered by the usual '゙ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$, but more sharply by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ in I4.
$\eta \quad \theta \in \lambda^{\prime}$, not desired but determined (of the will). Thus $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ $(\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega)$ is always used of the gods: Dem. 2. 20 d̀ $\nu$ oì $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon o \grave{i} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota$
 $\sigma \alpha \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha$ ( $=$ if it pleased him). So inf. 200.
14. $\left.\begin{array}{c}\xi \\ \epsilon \\ \delta \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$ in Herod. and Thuc. It is a mistake to regard it as an undignified word or solely appropriate to runaway slaves.

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu i a \nu$, ràs $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i o ̄ a s$ it seems to mean 'my home' rather than
strictly＇my rights of eitizenship，＇which is the meaning required in


16．$\xi^{2}$ oplforets．The regular meaning of this word is lo bianish， and the present passage stands alone．Little assistance can be de－ rived from Mid． 4.32 ，where ópioara may mean＇dividing，＇nor is the amalogy of esapel $\beta$ eav（Phoch．131）very close．Perhaps we should refer it to the principle noticed on Hel．1325，that verlos expressing motion tend to become intransitive（ef．Munro on Lucr． 111 502）．Then the accusative would resemble esprdi urbem．

17．ró8＇looks forward to the explanation in 19.
19．$\pi u v \theta$ ávor日＇is past general（Goorlw．\＄532）．Observe that $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\approx} u \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon i p \gamma \epsilon \iota$ are historic presents，taking the place of im－ perfects，and that the latter verb has a conative force．

21 ．$\pi$ poreivav：note the double circumstantial participle（ $\mathrm{Hc} / \mathrm{l}$ ． $597^{-12 .)}$ ．Holding out that Argos was a city whose friendship or enmity must not be lightly treated．＇With $\sigma \mu$ ккрà̀ scil．oî $\sigma \alpha \nu$ ： Goodiw．§ gri．Aદ́大日at depends on $\sigma \mu \kappa \kappa$ à̀（cf．sup．4）．Murray follows Wilamowitz in reading $\sigma \mu \kappa \kappa \circ \delta v$ ，comparing Andr．86．This is attractive，but not necessary．$\tau \epsilon=$ or ．

23．Tá $\pi$＇ $\mathfrak{k} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ：the help which I could render．Cf．inf．IOミ丸，Tro． 74，1154．In Ion 80＋，H．F． 189 тdं $\pi$＇$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{i}$ it means＇what I have to say．＇See also Jebb on Soph．Ant．719，O．C．1628，Dem．8．54．

28．$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ，introducing a final clause（Goodw．§ 315）．
29．¿\＆$\delta \sigma \theta$＇：the middle occurs in dialogue elsewhere only at Ion 1279．See on Hel． 122.

30．$\eta^{\mu} \mu \nu \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon$ is aor．not imperfect：＇has not come to their aid．＇


32．Mapa日̂̂va кal бúyкえךpov X日óva describes what is known as the Marathonian tetrapolis，including the four townships of Marathon，Oenoe，Probalinthus and Tricorythus．They are said to have been founded by Xuthus，the son of Deucalion（Strabo virin p． $3^{83}$ ）．The league was one of the old religious confederacies which can be traced as having existed in Attica before the ouvol－ кı $\sigma$ 生 s of Theseus（Gilbert，Griech．Staats．I p．IOg）．Marathon itself was a famous site of Heracles－worship（Pausan．1 1F．3）． oúrк $\lambda \eta \rho o \nu$ ，rendered neighbouring，is strictly＇having joint parcels，＇ i．e．the alloments in which belong to the same community．Weck－ lein＇s $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi$ о $\rho$ то⿱

ка $\theta_{\mathrm{e} \text { Gó } \mu \in \sigma \theta a \text { is probably aorist. }}$
33. $\beta \omega \dot{\mu} \mu$ ноь: 196 . The adj. of place is used where we should
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \mathrm{os}, \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \gamma \iota o s$, etc.
 in meaning to $i \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{o} \circ \mu \epsilon \nu$, and comes accordingly within the principle of Goodw. § 749. Cf. inf. 345, I. A. 1242. The subject is left vague, but may be gathered from the context.
36. к $\lambda$ ท́pw $\lambda$ axóvтas: the object of these words is to represent Athens as under a democratic constitution in the heroic times (cf. 424). We have the authority of Aristotle for the statement that Theseus was the first to introduce modifications of the monarchical principle in the direction of popular control (Ath. pol. 4 I .2 , cf. Plut. Thes. 25). There was also a tradition that Theseus was actually the founder of the democracy (Isocr. 12. 129, Pausan. I 3. 3), and of this Eur. here avails himself by representing Demophon and Acamas as rulers chosen by lot from a privileged order, the family of l'andion : cf. к $\lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau$ oi $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \rho о \kappa \rho i \tau \omega \nu$ (Ath. pol.). For the antiquity of the lot see Sandys on Ath. pol. 8. 1. Pandion was the father of Aegeus and grandfather of Theseus, but according to some authorities (Plut. Thes. 13) Aegeus was only the adopted son of Pandion, and this aggravated the hostility of the Pallantidae, his genuine descendants, to Theseus. Wilamowitz transposed 35 and 36 on the ground that Demophon was connected with the Heraclidae, not through Pandion but through Theseus, but inherited the tetrapolis through
 to a voluntary partition of the inherited lands among the descendants of Pandion (see e.g. Bacchyl. 10. 70) ; but Demophon is king of Athens throughout the play, and no distinction is made between Athens and Marathon.
37. Є̇ $\gamma \gamma$ v̀s. Theseus and Heracles were sons of first cousins (Plut. Thes. 7) ; for Pelops was the grandfather of Alcmena and Aethra, the mother of Theseus. For the word cf. Aesch. fi. 155 oi


38. тóv $\delta^{\prime}$...őpov is generally regarded as corrupt (see cr. n.). Wilamowitz, holding that the scene of the play is the $\dot{a} \gamma o \rho \dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \phi o p i a$ (see on $\gamma 0$ ), renders ad hunc terminum, but the collocation of öpop and $\tau \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \mu o \nu a s$ is awkward. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{o} \delta \delta \nu$, the vulgate reading, would
denote the at of journiying rather than the road traaclled，so that the acc．would be strictly cognate ：of．Tro．235，Andr．1125．The easiest alteration would be to read $\tau \delta \nu \delta 0 \theta^{\prime}$ iк $\delta \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ，as has already been suggrested by Murray，with öpop $=$（the limit of $)$ the precinct of Zeis áropaios．This usage is familiar from inscriptions on boundary－ stones and the like：öpos $\Delta$ ás（Marm．Par．Epoch． 4 \％．7），öpos tîs ＇Aфробitทs K $\epsilon \phi \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$（C．I．A．Iv 2． 1074 b）．

39．$\delta \mathbf{\epsilon}$ is postponed，since $\delta$ voî̀ $\gamma \in \rho \dot{\rho} \nu \tau 0 九 \nu$ forms a unit（Hel． 688 n.$)$－＇by two aged leaders our flight is directed．＇The dative of the agent is rare except with the perf．pass．，but cf．Soph．Ai．
 treats $\phi u \gamma \dot{\eta}$ as concrete $=\phi u \gamma a ́ \delta \epsilon s$ ，for which cf．Aesch．Suppl． 65 （Tucker）．

40．Eүต＇：nominativus pendens．The structure of these lines is



$\kappa \alpha \lambda \chi a i v \omega v$ ，lit．to be darkly troubled（in mind），from кá $\lambda \chi \eta$ ，the purple limpet．For the curious history of the metaphor see Jebb on Ant． 20.

42． $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon=\begin{gathered}\boldsymbol{z} \\ \sigma\end{gathered} \omega$ ，as often．
$\dot{i} \pi \eta \gamma \kappa a \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} v \eta$ is perf．middle，not passive．The use of the middle instead of the active（as in Cycl．498）expresses Alcmena＇s own concern．A misunderstanding of passages like Ar．Eccl． 494 $\pi \omega ่ \gamma \omega \nu$ as $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \eta \rho \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \in ้$ as seems to have influenced the Latin idiom seen in Horace＇s suspensi loculos．

43．$\sigma \dot{\varphi} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$ ：the finite verb takes the place of $\sigma \dot{\psi} \xi \sigma \sigma \sigma a$ ，as in the passages quoted on 40 ．See also Hel． 188 （n．）．
aidoú $\mu \in \theta a$ ：we shrink from young girls appearing in public（474）． For the constr．Elmsley quotes Phoen． 5 Io ai $\sigma \chi$ úvouaı é $\lambda \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$
 place of the object to the main verb：so Aesch．Theb． $720 \pi \epsilon \phi \phi \rho \iota \alpha$

 ミıк $\lambda$ là $\pi \epsilon \rho a \iota o \hat{\sigma} \theta$ al．In developed prose such constructions tend to give way before more precise forms of expression，such as the articular infinitive．

44．＇̇ாん $\beta \omega \mu$ юortateiv is a strange compound．Murray refers to 161， 495.

45．$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in$ vé $\gamma$ そ́vos，lit．whose age is more advanced．Cf． $1 l$ ．
 Soph．fr． 148.

46．＂oтоv к．т．入．，＇where we can establish a stronghold．＇For the metaphorical sense of $\pi u ́ p \gamma o s$ cf．Ali． $3^{\text {II }}$ кai $\pi a i s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ p \sigma \eta \nu$
 $\phi \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} . \quad \gamma \hat{\eta}$ is partitive gen．after öтov．

48．$\delta \in \hat{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{po}$ with an imperative following as in $I . A$ ． $1377 \delta \in \hat{v} \rho 0$ ठ̀̀ $\sigma \kappa \xi \psi \alpha \iota \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu$ ．

49．$\delta \boldsymbol{\rho} \hat{\omega}$ ．The asyndeton is impressive and marks his agitation．
51．áтєєбтєр $\eta \mu \in \mathfrak{v o l}$ does not imply the infringement of a right， but simply the loss of a refuge．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ ：exiles，as having no fixed home（ $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda i s$ ）．

53．ẃs should not be changed to ôs（Elmsley），nor should a colon be placed after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$ ，as if $\dot{\omega}$ s were detached from the previous clause．It should be connected with ö入oo，and is equivalent to ötヶ
 $\eta ้ \sigma \theta o v ~ к а \lambda \omega ิ s: ~ a n d ~ s e e ~ o n ~ H e l . ~ 624 . ~$
$\delta \eta \dot{\jmath}$ emphasises $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ ．
54．$\quad$ ทै $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda a s$ кака́ refers to the announcements of the various labours，which were made by Eurystheus through Copreus（ $I I$ ． xv 639）．

55．ท̂ $\pi$ ov．．．סokeis：methinks you fancy．$\hat{\eta}$ tov is sometimes ironical as here：Soph．Ai． 1008 रु $\pi о и ́ \mu \epsilon T \epsilon \lambda \alpha \mu \omega ́ \nu . . \delta \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\nu$ $\epsilon \dot{u} \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \pi$ os．ка入ウ̀̀ $\nu$ is predicative．$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \nu$ is a true cogn，acc． （ $=$ postare）：in 394 （n．）there is an extension by analogy．

56．какल̂s фpovêv：in your folly．Cf．4³，Med．250，1014，
 H．F．i426．To the Greeks folly seemed to combine moral with intellectual obliquity．

58．á $\nu \tau^{\prime}$ is redundant after $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho o \theta^{\prime}$ ，but cf．Hipp． 382 oi $\delta^{\prime}$
 and ä入入os（Hel． 574 n ．）．

59．áviotac $\theta a \iota$ implies motion and is naturally followed by és． When grammarians speak of pregnant construction in this connexion， they only mean that we must translate rise to gro，not that the Greek is abnormal．Cf．Plat．Phaed．116 A áviбтato єis ơ̌к $\eta \mu \dot{a} \tau \iota \dot{\omega} s$
 єủ̀átop＇．
61. It is better to place a comma after $\theta$ eôt, and supply ioriv with raia, so that idevelepa is predicate (Wilamowitz in Hermes xvil 361 ).
62. Ev nf $\beta \in \beta \eta \eta_{k} \alpha \mu \in v:$ inf. 910. -The line is bisected by the pause after $\gamma a \hat{\imath}$; this is not uncommon, with or without elision, where the third thesis is monosyllabic. See Goodell in Classical Philology I p. 163 , and Verrall in C. R. xx p. ${ }^{2} 4^{2}$.
63. Mot is more nearly defined by Tn̂ō xєpi. So Bacch. Gry

 'I $\omega \boldsymbol{L}$ óob, and is not limited in its application to parts of the body: Wilamowitz on H. F. 162 .
64. ov̉rol... $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. The latter particle stresses $\beta i \alpha$, which echoes $\chi \in p i$ of the previous line-'no, not by violence' (whatever other means may prevail): inf. $438, E l .363$. Since the chief emphasis is on the idea of violence, there is no need to read $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \mu^{\prime}$ with Reisig: cf. Soph. Ant. 546 (Jebb).
 cf. inf. 269, iv' $\epsilon i \delta \bar{\eta} s$ Andr. 589 etc. In Ion 1357 it means 'that will be for you to judge,' as in Plato, e.g. Phileb. 12 A.

ท̄ $\sigma$ ' a'p': you are not, I find (Hel. 616). As he speaks, Copreus seizes the children, and by his action proves Iolaus to be a false prophet. Otherwise, Mekler's $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota s \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \delta \delta^{\prime}$ (see cr. n.) would be required.
 $\sigma v \nu \in \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon i \mu \iota ~ к a i ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \eta, ~ b u t ~ i t ~ i s ~ n o t ~ e a s y ~ t o ~ d r a w ~ t h e ~ l i n e ~ w h i c h ~$ separates this from the acc. of respect in Med. 686. The acc. after nouns, and adjectives is a dying-out construction in Gk and Lat.

$67 . \quad$ ä $\pi \epsilon \rho \rho$ ': see cr. n. I follow Cobet, since Eur., while often using ajaipєtv, nowhere else has it with this innuendo. For $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ cf. H. F. 260 : the change is easy, owing to the common confusion of $\epsilon$ and ac.
68. voui ${ }^{\prime} \omega v$ : claiming them for Eurystheus. For the gen. of.

69. Sapòv...Xpóvov seems to have no point, unless it refers to the claim of the Athenians to be aúróx $\theta$ oves: see fr. 362.7 , Med. 826
 fugitives to those who have never been forcibly dispossessed.
70. ajopalov $\Delta$ tos. The common view is that Eur. has transferred to Marathon the $\beta \omega \mu$ òs áropaiov $\Delta$ tós which existed at Athens (Hesych. etc.), and Elmsley notes that, as such altars were not confined to Athens (Herod. v 46), there may have been one at Marathon. Frazer, however, considers that the existence of the altar of Zev̀s áropaîos is not well attested (Pausanias II p. 144). Wilamowitz (Comment. p. XIV) thinks that the market-place in question is the áyopà épopla (Dem. 23. 39), and that Zevè öplos ([Dem.] 7. +0) is meant. See also Introd. p. x n. 2, p. xvii n. 3 .
$\delta^{\prime}$ is practically equivalent to $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho: 890$.
7 I . $\sigma \tau \in \dot{\ell} \eta$. Suppliants brought branches of olive or laurel, intertwined with festoons of wool, and laid them on the altar. Here $\sigma \tau \epsilon \notin \eta$, strictly the festoons, is used for iкєтпрial: see Jebb on

72. oैvєiסos. The nominative in apposition to the sentence is sometimes found where we might expect the accusative: cf. 402, Hel. 987, Tro. 489 то̀ 入oí $\theta \iota o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ́, ~ \theta \rho \iota \gamma \kappa o ̀ s ~ a ́ \theta \lambda \imath \omega \nu ~ к а к \omega ิ \nu, ~ \delta o u ́ \lambda \eta ~ \gamma \nu \nu \grave{\eta}$

73. ßоทे... $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ : the force of the perf. may be rendered fills
 $i \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} a \iota \beta$ oŋ́ $\nu=$ to raise a cry, either of the actual shouter $(656, I . T$. 1307, Or. ${ }_{1529}$ ), or of the exciting cause (128). I. A. 1036 ris $\dot{v} \mu \notin \nu a \iota o s . . . \notin \sigma \tau a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ la $\frac{\chi}{\alpha} \nu$ is exceptional.
74. 'What mishap will it presently discover?'

75 ff . For the metre see Appendix C.
76. $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \lambda \dot{\lambda} \nu$, a reading recovered from Hesychius, is part of the predicate.

Xúrevov, also in Aesch. Eumı. 26r, Cho. 400, an old non-thematic aor. middle with passive signification : see Monro, H. G.§8. It was superseded by $\chi v \theta \epsilon i$.

After this $v$. a line is lost corresponding to 97 .
77. $\pi \rho$ òs $=\dot{v} \pi \sigma^{\prime}: 244$, 1001. So very commonly in Herodotus.

Év $\gamma \hat{n}$. The locative dat. with $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ after a verb of motion is
 See also Hel. 1093 n . Note that $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a$ mitvet could not lee used without the addition of an adj. such as $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \eta \nu o \nu$ here, and cf. 990.
80. тєтрámто入ıv: see on 32, where $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o s$ is practically the equivalent of $\xi \dot{v} \nu o t$ кos here.

81．mípatev：from ower the water，is explained by indettourcs


83．кaté $\epsilon^{\prime}$ is a change demanded by the metre（see cr．n．）． The vivid historic present suits the eagerness of the enquiry：
 The edd．quote Verg．Acm．vil 196 auditique adurertitis acquom cursum．It is combined with aor，as in Hel .33 etc．For the absolute use of кaté $\chi$ eiv（ $=$ to bring to）see on Hel．1206．Elmsley doubts the reading on the ground that кatio $\chi \omega$ ，кaтa⿱亠幺冋б⿱丷天心 and кariox $\chi$ or are used in this sense，but not $\kappa a r t \chi \omega$ or $\kappa a \theta t \xi \omega$ ．Hence Mathiae interprets occupy，supplying an olject from גaóv，but this is most improbable．Reisig＇s кatéo $\chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda$ 入ıтóvees would be plausible，if that form of the dochmius were permissible here．

84．$\nu \eta \sigma t \omega \tau \eta v$ is contemptuous（Rhes．701，Andr．14），and is enforced by $\tau p i \beta \omega$ ，drag out，which is by usage appropriated to lives of suffering and obscurity．The Greeks in general regarded poverty


 $\dot{a} \pi$ орias．aioर $\rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta s$ ，the regular attribute of $\pi \epsilon \nu i a$ ，as may be inferred from Thuc．II 40 ，illustrates the characteristic identification of the morally base with the aesthetically repulsive．

88．тapaotát $\eta v$ has acquired the general meaning of＇sup－ porter．＇So in fr． 297 סiкทs $\pi$ a $\rho a \sigma \tau$ átas $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ 入oús $=u$ pholders of justice． Iolaus might be described as the squire of Heracles：Ion 198 $\dot{\text { a } \sigma \pi} \boldsymbol{c}$－


89．$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu$＇：see on $5^{28}$ ．Dobree＇s övo $\mu$＇is uncalled for．
91．коні\} cıs, keepest safe in thy arms, cherishest. Motion is

 entertain，for which see Hipp． 1069.

95．Ti xpéos is cognate acc．with ádıy $\begin{gathered}\text { évot to be supplied from }\end{gathered}$ $94=o n$ what errand？Cf．Soph．O．T． 1005 каi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \mu a ̀ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau о и ̂ т ' ~$
 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\nu}{ }^{\prime}$＇á $\phi$＇$\gamma \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ．It is worth notice that the same idiom is common in old Latin：Plaut．Mil． 1158 id nos ad te，si quid velles，venimurs， Palmer on $A m p h$ ．III 2． 28.
$\lambda_{o ́ y \omega v . ~ T h e ~ o r d i n a r y ~ p h r a s e ~ i s ~}^{\text {dórov tuxeiv（e．g．Dem．18．13），}}$
 the common $\lambda$ byov oiobval（Tro．907）．
mó $\lambda_{\text {gos }}$ is a loose gen. of relation. The meaning is perhaps speech before the city rather than speech griven by the city: I. A. 78

96. $\mu \in \lambda_{o ́} \mu \in v o l$, personal, as in 354. The inf. follows as in Aesch.

 from 94 : cf. sup. $34 \cdot \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ is the vox propria of a surrender made in acknowledgment of a superior right, as in a case of extradition, or of overmastering force. Cf. 319, Med. 1238 , Andr. 256,
 Yon 125 I , ëкоотоу ä $\gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta$ al Herod. vi 85.
99. $\delta \in \sigma \pi$ ótals. The claim of Eurystheus is that of a master for his slave, and the conflict is between civil and religious law : so крато仑̂vтєs in 100. The situation is similar in Aesch. Suppl. $38_{7}$
 plural see 294.
103. See cr. n. Musgrave's $\sigma \phi^{\prime}$ is the simplest remedy, for it is difficult to believe that $\bar{\xi} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \epsilon$ is addressed to Iolaus and not to Copreus, or that $\beta \iota a l \varphi \chi \in \iota \rho \grave{\imath}$ refers to the force which the Athenians might have to use in repelling the latter (Matthiae). $\dot{a} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$, to be parted from, does not imply voluntary separation, as may be seen from $O r .{ }_{11} 1$ I, where $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu \tau 0 u \tau^{\prime}=$ saved from this reproach.
 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s \dot{a} \pi)^{\lambda} \lambda \pi \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$. Murray, bracketing $\sigma^{\prime}$, would, I suppose, render, 'to abandon the sanctuaries of the gods to violence.' Wecklein, keeping $\sigma^{\prime}$, adopts F. W. Schmidt's somewhat violent

104. 'For sovereign Justice shall not be treated so.' $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha$ is from $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \chi \omega$, not from $\pi \epsilon l \theta \omega$. Violence is an outrage upon Justice:
 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon s$.
105. He recurs to his point, the legal rights of Eurystheus.
108. $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ must be taken with ä $\theta \epsilon \sigma \nu, s c . \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$. 'It is impious for the city to yield up.'
$\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o \pi a ́ v: ~ a b s t r a c t$ for concrete, 'band of suppliants.' Cf.
 redundant, as in $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \eta \dot{\rho} \epsilon \tau \mu o s \pi \lambda \dot{\lambda} \tau a$ and the like.
ro9. $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime}$ : yes, but-an admission coupled with a rejoinder.
$\pi o ́ \delta a$. 'To keep one's foot out of the mire' is proverbial for



110. $\dot{\alpha} \mu$ eivovos. The comparative serves merely to contrast ci.koulia with rashness ( $=$ good rather than bad), which is a Greek


 ßearepors (Verrall). So probably the comparatives in Suppl. 196, Hipp. 482. There is a lacuna after this verse, comprising at least the lines which corresponded to $90-9^{2}$ and a speech of the herald to which фрáซavta tav̂ra refers.
111. фpávavta: the participle bears the stress of the sentence. - Thou shouldst have made this announcement to the king before being so bold.' Observe that $\sigma \epsilon \beta$ ovta qualifies the whole combination
 of manner (Goodw. § 836).
114. The question is dramatically useful to introduce the characters who now approach; and it should be remembered that Copreus was not accredited directly to Athens, but had followed the fugitives from place to place.
ri6. $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ oûtov к.т. $\lambda$. 'With him $\left(98_{2}\right)$ will be the struggle about this plea.' Contrast $\lambda 6 \gamma \omega \nu$ à $\gamma \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon s$ (Thuc. III 67 , Andr. 234, Phoen. 588) where the genitive is descriptive. For $\alpha \rho a \operatorname{cf} .895$.

121. ßoךסро $\eta^{\prime} \sigma a s$. The aor. participle in this combination is coincident in time with the main verb : see Goodw. § 144.
122. áӨpoi乡єтal: causes to assemble. Cf. Phoers. y $169 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \downarrow$

 branch $\left(\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \eta_{7}\right.$ ) on the altar, where it remained until his prayer was granted (Suppl. 359), or after it had been refused. The prose


12\%. $v \iota v$ is plural.

129. оlкктш: the normal use of the causal dative, as in 7or: contrast 474 .
130. кal $\mu \eta \geqslant \nu \ldots \gamma$ '. 'And yet his dress is Greek.' кai $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ is adversative ; $\gamma \epsilon$, which is usual but not essential in this combination, has the effect of stressing the intervening words: Hel. 308 n .
'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha={ }^{\prime} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$, with a fem. noun. This usage, condemned by Elmsley, is found in three other passages of tragedy: 1.7:
 II 36 .
 between the dress itself and the manner of wearing it. So Poseidon rebukes the barbarian Triballus: Ar. Av. 1567 oviros, $\tau i \delta \delta \rho \hat{s} s ; \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \prime$

132. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ emplasises the pronoun. The words $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau^{\prime}$ are interposed $\delta \dot{a} \dot{a} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o v$ without interfering with the structure of $\phi \rho a ́ j \in \in \nu$

 and illustrated by Tyrrell in C.R. il i40 f.
 have been used equally well, as in Soph. Phil. $233^{\prime \prime}$ Eג $\lambda \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{s} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$. тoûro $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ßoú $\lambda \eta \mu a \theta \in i ̂ v$.

 interrogative, as often: Hel. 8 r 8 n . Kuehner-Gerth $\S 562.4$ would confine this to the cases, such as $A l c .6_{4} 0$, where ös bears the sense of otos: see also Thompson on Plat. Men. 92 C.
136. This line, as Wecklein remarks, is not inconsistent with 114 (n.). Eurystheus had given him a general commission to fetch the Heraclidae.
138. Sikai': rights at once to enforce and to plead. For the substantival use (=iura) cf. 368, Andr. 1162, Suppl. 437, I. T. 559
 $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$. In the Orators $\delta i$ íauov is often a plea, claim etc. without any moral connotation : e.g. Dem. 37 . I.
139. äүш : seek to remove-a conative present.
r40. द́k $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ '́pautov̂ qualifies the noun: cf. Hel. 96 ä $\lambda \mu$ ' $\epsilon \pi i \xi i \phi 0 s$.
 between the domestic law and the citizen who is for the time beyond its jurisdiction. In other words, it has the surveying force, which Jebb pointed out in his note on Soph. Ant. +1I. Similar are

 $\nu$ b $\mu$ ous тoùs oliko $\theta \in \nu$.

172．Sikatot：personal，as in $77^{6} 6$.
тódıv：in the full sense of an independent civilised commmity． One of the chief characteristies of the moles is the validity of its juris－ diction over all its citizens：thus in Thuc． $\mathcal{V}$ is the temple of A polle， and the Delphians are to be no longer sulject to the Phocians，but
 ¿avt⿳亠二口ע кarà rà $\pi$ átpla．In Soph．Aut． 737 mólıs is contrasted with the arbitrary rule of a tyrant．
$1+3$ ．aút $\hat{\omega} \nu=i \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ air $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ，as commonly in the poets，and in prose．
kvplovs，of two terminations： 901 ．－The adj．is used proleptically with крaivelv dikas，i．e．to execute judgments so as to make them effective．For the verb cf．Aesch．Cho． 460 ì $\theta \in o i$, краivet＇$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta i \kappa \omega \bar{s}$
 used technically of enforcing the judgment of the court：Dem． 39 ．



144．$\dot{\alpha} \phi$ เү $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v o t}:$ see cr． n ．On the whole，it appears more probable that the termination has been corrupted by the proximity of the other genitives than that Eur．wrote the sentence as it stands in the msS．If he did，$a \dot{\jmath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，i．e．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇$H \rho a \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，must be supplied， but the passage from their arrival to our arguments is abrupt and awkward．

145．そ̈бтauєv is pluperfect，－not perfect，as it is strangely rendered by most editors．＇We rested on the same arguments．＇ Cobet N．L．p． 234 pointed out that the perf．is impossible，and substituted ë $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \in \nu$ ：＇we took our stand upon．．．＇Wecklein removes the difficulty by reading roî̃o＇iv＇with oviocis for kovideis，but $\dot{\epsilon} \nu . . \lambda$ dó $o$ os is then very awkwardly combined with $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ．For

 $\delta \in \delta \rho \alpha \kappa b \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \in i ̂ v$ 亿̈ $\sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ．

146．« $\delta \mathrm{La}$ is clearly contrasted with the troubles of the Heraclidae，and is loosely used，as Elmsley pointed out，where oiкeia would have been more appropriate．For，strictly，oiкeios



 might have been expected．

147．＇s $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ ．The same meaning（＇observing some folly in you＇） is expressed by Soph．O．T． $536 \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda i a \nu \eta \geqslant \mu \omega \rho i a \nu$ iờv $\tau \iota \nu ’$ èv $\mu 0 \iota$ ： although Hermann and Matthiae preferred to render devising some folly＇for you．Eur．however uses $\dot{\epsilon}$ s with great freedom in a variety of combinations where other prepositions might be expected： inf．81, Hel． 679 n ．Elmsley＇s view that $\epsilon$＇s $\sigma e \in$ should be taken with $\hat{\eta} \lambda A_{o v}$ offends against the order of the words，and requires the addition of $\epsilon \nu \sigma o l$ or the like to complete the sense．

148． $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \mathfrak{d} \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha}{ }^{2} \nu \omega v$ ．Tr．in their despair；but strictly $\epsilon \kappa$ gives the starting－point，as in El． $624 \dot{\partial} \rho \hat{\omega} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{a} \mu \eta \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu$ ，



149．ṕrmтov̂vres is changed by most editors，following Elmsley， to $\dot{\rho} / \pi \tau 0 \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ ，but the need for caution has been shown by Jebb on Soph．Ai．239．For the phrase $\dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ or $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \rho \rho i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ кivouvov，$=$ to take a risk，modelled on $\dot{\rho} \nmid \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \dot{\beta} \beta o \nu$ ，see Lexx．
 think，impersonal and employed in a sense similar to that of our


152．áßoú入 $\omega$ s：see cr．n．äßou入os always means rash or inconsiderate，and there is no evidence that it can be used like $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \chi$ vos in the sense of perplexed．Kirchhoff＇s slight change， which Cobet also recommends，should therefore be adopted．
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ takes the place of the reflexive pronoun，and Kirchhoff substitutes $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \varepsilon=\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ would be unobjectionable，if the children were speaking（cf． 306 ），and there seems no reason why it should not be retained in oratio obliqua．Cf．the analogous use of
 $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ．
 a vocative，stands outside the sentence．The whole phrase is a dialectical formula ：cf．Or． 551 （Headlam in C．$R$ ．x 437）．
$\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime} \ldots \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ introduce alternatives：Hel． 1393.

156．тобทंvбє $\mathbf{X \in i p a : ~ s o ~ m i g h t y ~ a n t ~ a r m y . ~ C f . ~ 3 0 5 , ~} 316$ and for $\chi$ еlि 1035.

158．入óyous．With this word $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{o} \epsilon$ must be supplied from the latter part of the line．The attachment of the pronoun to the second noun only is idiomatic：Med． 1366 v̈ßpıs oü $\tau \epsilon \sigma$ oì $\nu \in o \delta \mu \eta ิ \tau \epsilon s$
 less well explain＇mere words＇）（ ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha$ ．

159．кавiotatat：present for future（dумаmic present）： 419 ． 5．5．For the form of the conditional sentence see on ront．

160．$\mu \eta \gamma^{\gamma}{ }^{\circ} \rho$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．This is a good instance of hyperbaton：see 205， $8_{4+}$ and other examples cited on Hel．719．$\mu \in \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \in \nu$ à $\gamma \hat{\omega} v a-$ ＇drop this contest＇－resembles veînos $\mu$ e日itivat Kel．1236， 1681.

Xa入ußठıкov：scil．$\sigma \iota \delta \dot{n} \rho o u$ ．The ellipse is defended by El． 819
 ＇a Toledo＇in the Elizabethan dramatists：see e．g．Ben Jonson， Lievery．Man in his Humour Hil i 226 ，and we still have＇Enfield＇ and＇Winchester．＇The Chalybes，though placed by Aeschylus in Scythia（Prom．714，Thet．715），were in fact natives of Pontus to the S．of the Euxine．

162．＇What cause then wilt thou allege－what robbery of lands，what raid of booty－for being at war with Argos？＇It is strange that Kirchhoff＇s brilliant emendation（see cr．n．），now accepted by Wecklein and Murray，should not have sooner dis－ placed the vulgate Tıpuvaious $\theta$ eis．．．＇Apreiots $\tau^{\prime}$ ．As against the latter observe（1）that the mention of the Tirynthians before，or even with the Argives，is out of place；（2）that $\theta \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ is unnatural，when referring to a war in which the subject is himself engaged．

164．tivos vi $\pi \in \rho$ ，on whosebehalf，should be taken with $\pi \in \sigma o ́ v \tau a s$.
165．vekpovis is equivalent to dead men rather than to corpses． So Suppl． 16 עєкроѝs．．．toùs ỏ入 $\omega \lambda$ ótas $\delta$ opi，and Hel． $125_{2} \mathrm{n}$ ．
 speak of＇an old man with one foot in the grave．＇Euripides＇style gives a foretaste of the New Comedy：cf．Plaut．Pseud． 392 ex hoc sepulchro vetere，Mil． 628 capularis，Asin． 892 capuli decus．So $\tau \cup \mu \beta о \gamma \epsilon \in \rho \nu, \sigma о \rho о \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ and the like．
${ }^{16} 7_{7}$ ．тò $\mu \eta \delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，naught，is indeclinable here，as is o $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in
 ăvópa $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i o v ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta \delta e ̀ v ~ o ̈ v \tau a, ~ T r o . ~ 412 ~ o u ́ \delta e ́ v ~ \tau \iota ~ к \rho \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \omega ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

ws tireiv étos is a phrase of qualification here attached to $\tau \dot{o}$
 should not be rendered by our＇so to speak，＇which is used quite differently．See Adam on Plat．rep． 341 B．
 as in 109 ( 1 .) avoiding defilement is proverbially associated with
 the thought is quite different, and ${ }_{a}^{d} \tau \lambda$ 价 means sea-water.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \eta$ пó $\delta \alpha$ is generally treated as an extension of $\beta$ aiveı $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$, so that $\pi \delta \dot{\delta} \alpha$ is practically the equivalent of 'footstep.' It is possible, however, that $\pi \delta \delta \alpha$ was regarded as the direct object of及aivelv and its compounds, becoming transitive in this connexion; for this we have the special analogy of $\dot{\alpha} i \hbar \sigma \sigma \omega$, apart from the general tendency of language illustrated by $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \omega$ and verbs of emotion like $\phi \rho i \sigma \sigma \omega$. See also on Hel. 526 and cf. 802.
169. 'At the best you can answer that you will merely acquire a hope, $i . e$. that you will have to be content with a hope. The line has been much canvassed (see Wecklein's Appendix), and the following points require notice: (I) épeîs introduces, not an answer that Demophon is likely to make to the argument based on advantage, but the only reply that is represented as possible. This
 (C.R. I 95). (2) $\dot{\text { ò }} \lambda \hat{\psi} \sigma \tau o v$ is grammatically in apposition to the



 where $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ might have been expected ; but this is common:
 for which Murray suggests $\mu$ óv $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and Wecklein $\chi \rho \circ \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, is defensible only if it is remembered that the speaker is giving a contemptnous travesty of the hard shifts to which the advocacy of his opponents' cause will be reduced.

17\%. тои̂тo refers back to $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i$ is: so in $A n d r$. $33^{2}$ toûro after
 on Hel. 1687, and cf. 745.
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ is regularly employed only with comparatives, but $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ 's here has the force of 'inferior to': cf. Phoen. 7or $\pi$ ro $\lambda \hat{\omega} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \dot{\rho} \rho o \nu$

 understood as 'the present offer of alliance with Argos,' rather than as 'your present needs.'
171. $\dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v o l s}$ (see cr. n.) is a great improvement, emphasis-
ing the contrast between the armed might of Arges and the prospective weakness of the Heraclidae; unless indeed we might
 mavorגia given by the Athenian state on their attaining manhood to the children of those who fell in war (Aeschin. 3. 154).
172. $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon s(i+0)$, ingressive aorist, 'when come to their prime,' equivalent to $\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta s \tau \epsilon$ дos $\mu 0 \lambda$ ór $\tau a s$ in Med. 920.
$\sigma \epsilon \ldots \psi u x \eta ̀ v: 63$ n. Cf. Soph. Ant. 319 ì $\delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma^{\prime}$ ảviĝ Tàs

 xpóvos oìv $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \mu$ ár $\eta \nu$ : the interval before action is useless, Hel. 630

175. $\mu \eta \delta_{\delta \epsilon v}$ is necessary with an imperative ( $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ) following.
${ }_{17}{ }^{7} 6$. ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ф८八єitc $\delta \rho a \hat{\nu}$. This is an appeal by the poet to Athenian sentiment; for they loved to pose as the protectors of the weak and oppressed. See Suppl. 321 f., 379 f., Soph. O. C. 261 (Iebb), Thuc. VI 13, Isocr. $4 \cdot 5^{2}$, Plat. Mencx. ${ }^{2} 44$ E. But in [Xen.] rcp. Ath. 3. io the point is entirely different, and the Athenians are criticised for their habit of attaching themselves to the democratic faction (rous xeipous), when they intervene in the affairs of a foreign state.
177. $\pi a \rho o ̀ v: ~ a c c . ~ a b s o l u t e . ~$
178. $\lambda \alpha{ }_{\beta} \eta_{\eta}$ is explanatory of $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta$ s, with asyndeton. Cf. Plat.


179. $\gamma v o i \neq \eta$ dóyov: decide a dispute. So we find in the Orators
 $=$ determine the issue raised by the pleas on either side.

ISo. $\pi \rho i v a ٌ v$ with an interrogative involving a negative idea (Goodw. § 622). -There is a reference to the well-known saw
 $\mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu$ áкои́ $\quad \eta \mathrm{s}$. See my note on Zeno fr. 29, and cf. Andr. 957 тô̂


181. yàp: see cr. n. The correction should be approved for two reasons: ( r ) it avoids the awkwardness of $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \sigma \tau i \mu 0 \iota$ attached to $\dot{u} \pi a ́ p \chi \in \iota$ with explanatory asyndeton, (2) there is no such contrast between 18 I and 185 as to justify $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. For the confusion of $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\gamma a ́ \rho$ see Cobet, N. L. p. 702.

182．єimeiv k．т．ג．，i．e．I am allowed to speak in my turn without interruption，as I am compelled to listen．The Greek tendency to coordinate by antithesis obscures the logical relation of the clauses． $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ and dंкои́єıv are a pair of mutually complementary verbs，like סpâv and $\pi \dot{a} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，$\delta o \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota$ and $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu$ ，and，though áкov́ $\epsilon \nu$ is here otiose，their combination expresses the completeness of the judicial act．Cf．Bacch．8oI ôs oüтє $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega \nu$ oũтє $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \iota \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \ell$ ，Soph．


183．$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon v$ ：without being heard．
184．＇̇ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \sigma \underset{( }{\omega}$（see cr．n．）is accepted by all recent editors， except Murray．They quote Ion $128_{+} \tau i \quad \delta \quad \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \quad \Phi o i \beta \omega$ бoi $\tau \epsilon$ $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \partial \nu \dot{\epsilon} \varphi \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ ；The meaning is＇we have no relations with each other，＇and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$ is synonymous with $\sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda a t o \nu$ as used in

 English law－term privity．

185．ov่ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ．It has been pointed out that the corruption is probably due to the occurrence of ovóév $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ in the previous line．
 $\pi$ á $\sigma \eta$ тó入є ；

187．Argos and Mycenae are practically identified，but，where it is necessary to distinguish，Mycenae is the town and Argos the district．Mycenae had been destroyed by Argos（B．C．467），and was an insignificant place at the time when this play was written．

188．＂o 8 ＇（see cr．n．and cf．Soph．Ai．544）is a slight change， and $\hat{\omega}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$＇overloads the sentence，weakening by anticipation the effect of oûs $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \chi \theta o \nu o ́ s$.

189．そ̈ $\tau \grave{v} v$ к．т．入．A new point is introduced：do you claim that banishment from Argos entails banishment from Hellas？

191．oúkouv．．．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ：not from Athens，however it may be else－
 125 I．

192．＇Hpak ${ }^{\text {cious．This use of the adjective is Homeric：}}$
 Pind．Pyth．II $18 \Delta \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \mu e ́ v \epsilon \iota \epsilon \pi a i ̂$.

193．ov $\gamma a{ }^{\prime} \rho \tau$ is a favourite combination of Eur．，in which $\tau$ sometimes qualifies a single word（Alc． 210 oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s \in \hat{v}$ фpovoû̃ к коь pávoıs），sometimes，as here，the clause．Cf．Hipp．792， Andr．871，Suppl．117，inf．384．－The reference is to the reception
of the Heraclidae by Ceyx, which, as we know from Longinus, was described by Hecataens (see Introd. p, xvi). Trachis is called Achae:an as belonging to the district of Achaea Phthiotis (Strabo ix p. 433), although strictly in Malis. Weckl. follows Cobet in omitting iotip, and adding ráde after 'A $\chi$ аuкд̀v.
195. ठүккิv balances $\delta i \kappa \eta$ : 6 n . -The antecedent of oiáre $\rho$, if expressed, would be cogn. acc. after ò $\gamma \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$ (scil. roaaûra) : cf. Soph.

 Argos with words such as you employ to-day.'
196. $\beta \omega \mu$ iovs: supr. 33.
197. kptvovort: decide in faceour of. Since the meaning is well established, there is no reason for disturbing the text. Cf. Tro. 925



 present-'if this is to come to pass... -as the apodosis shows (Goodw. § 407).
198. Lit. I do not recognise Athens here as being any longer free. For the omission of oüras see on 332. Weckl., following Kirchhoff, has oű $\phi \eta \mu^{\prime}$ for ov̉א oī'.
 from aióśs, as a quality from a habit. Blomfield well quotes Juv. 8. 83 summum crede nefas, animam praeferre fudori.
201. $\pi$ ар': 370, 881.
202. $\pi$ ó $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\text { t }}$ (see cr. n.) is an easy change. No attempt has been made to defend $\pi \dot{o} \lambda \iota \nu$, which is not explained by translating quod ad civitatem attinet, or by calling it an acc. of respect ; nor is it possible to supply roбaùta є̇maıveiv or the like. Ant. 212, cited by Elmsley, is itself in need of support; inf. 1024 is analogous, but much less harsh than $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ would be here.
203. Sì : ere now (Hel. I34).
20.4. $\beta a p v v \theta$ eis is supplementary participle, to which aivoúmevos is attached as a circumstantial participle of condition $=\epsilon i$ aivoi $\mu \eta \nu$. For overpraise and its attendant evils cf. $O r_{\text {. }}$ I 62 $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho o s ~ \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\delta}$
 $\mu$ uooû́l roùs aivoîvtas, $\ddot{\eta}^{\nu}$ aiv $\omega \sigma^{\prime}$ a้ $\gamma a \nu$. There is involved here not merely a question of good taste, but a relic of popular belief in the
danger of excessive prosperity（cf．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \phi \theta o \nu o \nu)$ ：see Munro，Eluci－ dations of Catullus p． 76.

205．नol $\delta^{\prime}$ к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．For the hyperbaton see 160 ．
206．Ėтєimep．The reason，as explained in what follows，is that Demophon has inherited the obligation from Theseus，who was bound to the Heraclidae by his relationship to and association with their father．

207．For the stemma see on $37 .-\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots . . \delta \hat{\epsilon} \ldots \delta^{\prime}$ ．There is no contrast，but the clauses are linked together in a series by the
 $a \dot{v} \delta \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ к . \tau . \lambda$ ．Observe the asyndeton at the commencement of the explanation（Hel．23）．

208．$y \in v \nu a ̂ \tau a l$ ．This use of the present should be distinguished from the historic，from which it differs in intention．Its function is to register or identify：see on Hel．568，and cf．Soph．Ant．ir 74


209．$\pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota v$ ，on the other hand，next，contrasts the two genea－
 rep． 519 E．But in ä $\nu \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \in \mu 0 九 \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$（Ion 933，Phoon．1207）it means anew．ävєı，I I will trace back，does not appear to be used elsewhere with an acc．；for Moschion fr． 7 p． 633 N．$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{T} 0 \nu \delta^{\prime}$
 indecisive．But that it was felt to be transitive seems to be shown by the indirect question which follows it in Phoen．1．c．；otherwise， we might be tempted to read＇̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ here．

2 II．Ouyarpós，Lysidice（Plut．Thes．7）．According to Apollod．II 4,5 the mother of Alcmena was Anaxo，the daughter of Alcaeus．

212 ．${ }^{\alpha} v \in \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \eta$ here applies to what is already ascertained（Goodw． § 238 ，Hel． 91 n．）．Cf．Plat．Euthyd． 302 D ои̉койע каi oûroı бol $\theta$ єoi àv $\in \tau \in \nu$ ；

213 ． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} v o v s: ~ s o ~ y o u ~ s t a n d ~ i n ~ r e s p e c t ~ o f ~ b i r t h ~ t o ~ t h e m . ~} \tilde{\gamma}_{1} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ is the personalised form of the phrase which occurs in Alc．29r кa入ف̂s
 is that of having reached a certain position，and the gen．expresses
 worth notice that the phrase in its personalised form is common in Herodotus．See also on Hel． 3 I 3.

214．тov̂ $\pi$ робウ́кovtos：relationship（not as L．and S．）．
216. $\sigma u ́ \mu \pi \lambda$ ous must be joined with $\Theta \eta \sigma e \hat{\imath}$ in $21 \%$.
$\dot{v} \pi a \sigma \pi i\} \omega \nu$. The $H$ tomeric warrior fought as a unit and carried a large shield, which sufficed for his own protection. But the Dorians introduced the close battle-array, in which the right side of the combatant was protected by the shield of his neighbour ( $\pi a \beta$ ' aं $\sigma i \delta a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} v a l)$. In applying the later practice to the heroic legends, Eur. speaks of the chieftain's right-hand man as his auxiliary ( $\pi$ apa $\sigma$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{s}, \dot{v} \pi a \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$, and cf. Phoen. 1073).
$21 \%$. $\zeta \omega \sigma \hat{\eta} \hat{p} \alpha$. The quest of Hippolyte's girdle for Admete was counted the ninth of the labours of Heracles. Theseus is said to have received Antiope as a prize for his share in this expedition (Pausan. 1 2. 1, Diod. iv 16, Plut. Thes. 26). This part of the story can be traced to Agias (Ilegias) of Troezen, the author of the Nóotot, and must have been known to Euripides. But it is extraordinary that nothing is said of the reward which Theseus received from Heracles; for this is the only object for which the expedition is mentioned at all (220). It is also to be observed that és̆avjं $\gamma a \gamma \in \nu$ is introduced with remarkable abruptness in 218. Murray favours and Weckl. adopts the view of Kirchhoff that $\phi \eta \mu i \ldots \pi a \tau \rho i$ has displaced $\phi \eta \sigma i \ldots \pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} p$; but not only does this fail to account for the omission of Theseus' reward, but what then becomes of $\dot{i} \pi a \sigma$ $\pi i \xi \omega \nu$ ? It would surely be odd to speak of Heracles as the auxiliary of Theseus. I feel confident that there is a lacuna after this $v$., in which the Antiope incident was mentioned, and am glad to find that this was also the opinion of Dobree (Adz. II p. Ioo).
$\pi \mathrm{m} \lambda$ uктóvov, as causing many deaths. So H.F. 415 j $\omega \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ ò $\lambda \in \theta$ plous ä $\gamma \rho a s$.
218. "Alסou: the rescue of Theseus from his imprisonment in the underworld by Heracles in the course of his twelfth labour is well known ; cf. H.F. II 70.
$\dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \mu \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ (see cr. n.) is a more suitable epithet of $\gamma \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o \iota$ $\mu \prime \chi o i$ than $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \nu \mu \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ (perhaps 'inaccessible'), which Murray alone retains.
220. גंтaltov̄ซıv: request as of right. ait $\hat{\omega}$ and its compounds rarely take an infin. in place of the acc. of a noun as object, but


22 I f., slightly altered from 97 f. and in consequence rejected by many editors. There are further grounds for suspicion in the corruption of 223 and the borrowed phrase in 225 , but I don't think
that a sufficient case has been made out for the excision of all or any of these lines. For a suggested explanation of the repetition see Introd. p. xxxvii.
223. See cr. n. Wilamowitz is right, I think, in treating какóv as derived from как $\omega \hat{\nu}$, a correction of как $\omega$ s in 224. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ cannot be adverbial, as in Soph. O.T. 27 ; for (1) Eur. does not so use $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, and (2) adverbial $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is always followed by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ (not $\tau \epsilon$ ). We must therefore regard $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ as contrasted with $\chi \omega \rho i s$-both individually and before the state, i.e. in your civic position as chief

 $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ (Andr. 336, Soph. Ant. 459) is a special case of this.
224. Each of the words is to be taken separately, with $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in$ is narking the climax. Note the rhetorical asyndeton : inf. 230, Hec. 280.
225. $\beta \lambda$ é $\psi$ ov mpòs av́zoùs $\beta \lambda$ é $\psi o v$ occurs in Alc. 390. For the characteristic anaphora cf. 307, Hel. 176 n.
226. Xepoîv. The view that this word is governed by $\pi \rho \circ$ s is untenable, not for grammatical reasons but because Hec. $75^{2}$ iкє $\tau \epsilon$ ú $\omega$
 are insufficient to justify an appeal $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \chi \in \rho o i ̂ \nu . ~ T h e r e ~ c a n ~ b e ~ n o ~$ doubt of the meaning, if we compare Andr. 894 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ o' $\chi$
 my arms,' as if with suppliant branches. Eur. rings the changes on this to us somewhat euphuistic metaphor: I.A. 1216 iкєтทpiav
 $\epsilon \epsilon \xi \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu \lambda \iota \tau \alpha ́ s$. Weckl. oddly supposed that Iolaus actually bears the branches in his hands.
227. $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ : see cr. n. I have adopted Kirchhoff's bold remedy, thinking that $\kappa a i$ is impossible and may have arisen from a desire to link $\pi \rho$ òs $\gamma \epsilon v \epsilon i o u$ to the previous line. Murray punctuates к $\alpha i . .$. , but it is questionable if such an aposiopesis is Euripidean. Usener rejected the line.
228. $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v$ : see cr. n. The terminations are constantly confused, and the sense requires the change; for Demophon cannot be said to have taken the children under his protection, while the issue is still doubtful. The inf. depends directly on àrı $\mu a \operatorname{\sigma \eta }$ s ('scorn'): it would be wrong to take raîoas as object, with $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$



230. äтavta: i.c. submission (o) Demophon is hetter than suljection by the Argives. The remark is prompted by $\delta \in \sigma \pi \sigma$ ofns, the climax of the preceding appeal.
231. $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ takes the place of the normal $\ddot{\eta}$. The various conjunctions of comparison were not definitely assigned to their respective spheres, until an artistic prose style was developed. Thus us appears for $\ddot{\eta}$ : Aesch. Prom. 62g. In fr. 73 r we have
 Compare the analogous history of the Engl. but: Abbott's Shaksp. Gramm. § $12 \%$.
 So Thuc. VII $6_{4}$ ímò rois $\Sigma$ vpakooiois ri $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$, to pass under the sway of Syracuse.
232. Ӵ " $\kappa \tau \iota \rho$ : for the aor. see Goodw. § 60.- $\sigma \nu \mu \phi o \rho \hat{a} s$ is the so-called causal gen. after verbs of emotion: cf. 447, Aesch. Ag. 1320 oiктip $\omega \in \theta \in \sigma \phi \dot{a}$ rov $\mu \dot{\rho} \rho o v$. It is a moot point whether this idiom is ablatival in origin or belongs to the sphere of the true gen. : Kuehner-Gerth $\S 420$, I.
233. тúx $\eta$ s : abl.-gen. after a verb expressing comparison, and according to the analogy of $\dot{\eta} \tau \tau \alpha \hat{a} \theta a \iota$. Cf. Med. 315 крєє $\sigma \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$ $\nu \iota \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \in V=1$ (Wecklein). In prose it is very rare, as in Antiph. 5.87 .
234. vv̂v $\delta \dot{\eta}$ : now at this very moment I see-aor. as in 232. Contrast Ar. Ran. 410 vûv òn кatєî $\delta \frac{\nu}{\nu}$, where $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ò̀ means just now, and see Shilleto on Dem. F.L. § 72. $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{2} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ qualifies $\nu \iota \kappa \omega \mu \notin \nu \eta \nu$.
 'misfortune,' and that $\tau . \sigma$. ojot means 'three aspects of the case' (viae quibus casus hic spectari potest: Musgr.) is difficult to believe.
 but this leaves óoó awkwardly isolated, with Zev̀s... $\tau$ ó $\tau$ aioxpóv following. Wecklein adopts Schmidt's ouvvolas, with which cf. Hec. $7+4 \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ óoòv $\beta$ ou入є $\quad \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$. In default of anything better, I hazard the conjecture that $\sigma u \mu \phi o p a$ might bear a sense derived
 i.e. compliance.

The three motives are (1) religious duty, (2) kinship and gratitude, and (3) honour. Thus the several pleas of Iolaus are accepted.

$$
5-2
$$

Copreus had urged the double claim of (1) justice ( $139-146$ ), and (2) expediency ( $147-178$ ). Iolaus replied by denying ( 1 ) ( $184-190$ ), and as against (2) by setting up the claim of honour (191-201). He added reasons why the Heraclidae were entitled to the special protection of Athens, kinship (205-212) and gratitude (214223).
237. Elmsley substituted $\tau 0 u ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon$ for roùs $\sigma o u ̛ s$, and Kirchhoff入órous for $\xi$ ̧́vous. But the MSS. reading, although somewhat unnatural, cannot be said to be impossible.
238. тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu: 169 \mathrm{n}$. Wherever $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ is followed by $\tau \epsilon$, as here and in 340 , there is in effect an anacoluthon. Here $\tau \epsilon$ is used to avoid the introduction of $\tau \grave{o} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu$. The student will find a full discussion in Bury's Appendix A to his edition of Pindar's Isthmians pp. 156-161. See also Phoen. 57 (Wecklein), Tro. 134, Suppl. 1036.
' $\phi^{\prime}$ ' oî : at whose altar thou sittest, i.e. at the steps of the altar :
 with his shrine.
241. Xápıv, strictly an acc. in apposition to the sentence, is becoming adverbial in combinations like $\notin \mu \eta \nu \nu \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$. From this point its development as a preposition was easy : cf. Ion 1587 , Hel. 150 n .
243. єi $\pi \alpha \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ here differs but slightly from $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \pi a \rho \hat{\omega}$ : see Goodw. § 447 .
244. $\pi \rho o ̀ s: 77 \mathrm{n}$.
245. oikєiv $=\delta$ Һoкк $\hat{\nu}$, i.e. to govern in the limited sense of administering domestic or internal affairs (see C.R. XII II6). Cf. Ion 1295 oíкєî̀ $\tau a ̆ \mu ', ~ I . A . ~ 331 ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o ̀ \nu ~ o i ́ к є i ̂ \nu ~ o i ̂ к о \nu . ~$
'Apyeioss is altered to 'Aprei $\omega \nu$ by Dobree to suit 191.

 Euml. $7 \psi^{6} \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ảrरóvns $\mu$ ol tép $\mu a \tau^{\prime}$, Eur. Alc. 229, Ar. Ach. 125. The colloquial character of the phrase is shown by Aeschin. 2. 38 : when Philip refused to speak to Demosthenes, $\tau 0 u ิ \tau 0 \delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\eta} \nu \partial a ̈ \rho a \dot{a} \gamma \chi \delta \nu \eta$ каі $\lambda$ и́т $\eta$ тои́тч.

249. $\sigma u ̀ v$ maloi qualifies the object: cf. 710, Med. 70 тov́a $\delta \epsilon$
 employed see Shilleto on Dem. F.L. §333.
ö $\pi \omega s$...árooradet. The student should observe that oincos $\mu$ it írostácer would be equally good Greek in the same sense (Goodw. § 3it). The sulpjunctive cannot be used in this construction, which is that of indirect discourse, but is permissible with ötcos $\mu \dot{\eta}$.
252. $\delta$ (kys recognises the claims of international law, and at the same time suggests a contrast with oùâatat; reprisal was justified by Greek sentiment where legal compensation for injury could not be obtained. See an instructive passage in Dem. 51 . 13 .
2.3. The language takes a legal turn, prompted by of $\kappa \mathrm{k} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { s }}$ кирทioesv: 'not if I have a claim and am victorious in my plea?' For dicalov cf. 138 n . Murray rightly keeps $\tau \iota$ as against Heath's $\tau \epsilon$, which appears in most texts.
25. ovikovv к.т.入. 'Is not this, if disgraceful to me, at any rate harmless to you?' It may be, however, that we should read oúkoûv, with a full stop in place of a question mark at the end of the line, giving practically the same sense. Those who keep $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma o i$ $\beta \lambda a ́ \beta o s$ are forced to supply something like $\tau o ̀ \nu$ i $\kappa \epsilon \in \tau \eta \nu \nu \dot{\psi} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, which the context does not permit. It is thought that O $\Upsilon$ may have disappeared before CY by haplography.
256. '́ $\mu \mathrm{oi} \gamma^{\prime}$ : scil. $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta$ os $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$ lv. Demophon is thinking of divine vengeance, as Wecklein points out. This is shown by $\epsilon \xi \sigma \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon$ and $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ in the next two lines.

є́ф́́ $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a t ~ i s ~ m i d d l e ~: ~ c f . ~}^{208}$.
 on the pronoun in spite of its position : cf. $5_{5} 5, E l .532$.
258. $\pi \lambda$ éov. I have reverted to the Aldine reading for reasons which will be given on 933. $\pi \lambda$ éov $\phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ occurs in fr. 606 (with the impossible $\pi \lambda^{\epsilon} \omega$ as a variant) and in Plat. Hipp. mi. 371 A. In Hipp. 64 the more recent texts give $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lo ' against authority. For the Attic forms see Meisterhans ${ }^{3}$ p. 152.
259. тоis какоít might be regarded as put sophistically for

 with $\tau 0 i ̂ s$ ádíkoıs.
 ба́ко́s.
263. I have removed the comma usually placed after $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon t$, which involves an awkward ellipse: 'if you injure the Argives in
no respect, (as you will not do) if you are wise.' As the text stands, $\beta \lambda \dot{a} \pi \tau \omega \nu$ is subordinate to $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \hat{\eta} s$, and the answer is: 'yes, if you are wise enough not to injure the Argives.' The participial clause precedes as bearing the main stress. But there is something to be said for Kirchhoff's $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o v o i s$, which renders unnecessary the alteration of $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ and the insertion of $\gamma^{\prime}$.
264. $\beta \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$. The imperative expresses an assumption (Goodw. § 254).
266. Tolov̂tos: ' of the same mind,' as in Or. 1680, Aesch. Ag. 1359. So tolaûta $=$ that is so: El. 645, Hec. 776 .
267. $\gamma \in \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ : none the less, with $\gamma \epsilon$ emphasising the preceding word, as in roi6. See Jebb on Soph. О.'Г. 442.
268. Â $\rho^{\prime}$ : 895. Elmsley wished to alter oủk $\tilde{\alpha} \rho^{\prime}$ to oủ $\tau \ddot{a} \rho^{\prime}=$ oữo đ ápa, wherever it occurred.
269. Sì strengthens the participle, since, according to the proverb, $\pi \epsilon i \rho a ̣ ̂ ~ \theta \eta \nu \pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau a l(T h e o c r . ~ 15.62) . ~ \epsilon i ̋ \sigma o \mu a l, ~ a s ~ w e ~$ might say ' $I$ shall find out': see on 65 .
270. k $\lambda \alpha i \omega v$ : to your cost. So in a parallel situation Aesch.
 aj $\mu \beta$ ohás cf. Hel. 1297 : the preposition here denotes manner, as
 aim or purpose has almost entirely disappeared.
271. This line might well recall the recent death of Anthemocritus, an Athenian herald sent to Megara to complain of encroachments on the sacred land, and supposed to have been murdered by the Megarians (Pausan. I 36. 3, Plut. Pericl. 30. 3).
$27^{2}$. $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \mu \eta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$. In response to a negative clause, $\gamma \epsilon$ pronounces for the affirmative (cf. 256) : scil. $\theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}$. There is a similar case in Alc. 492, 3 .
276. aixp $\mathbf{\chi} v$, collectively of a host of spearmen. So $\delta \delta \rho v$ (inf. 803), $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \eta, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i s$ (inf. 932, Phoen. 78), $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \chi \eta$ (Phoen. 4+2).
278. Alcathous, son of Pelops, succeeded to the kingdom of Megara by slaying a lion and winning the hand of the daughter of the king Megareus. Inasmuch as Heracles and Theseus were great-grandsons of Pelops ( 37 n .), Alcathous must have belonged to a previous generation; but Eur. either overlooked the anachronism or thought it unimportant.-Eurystheus was waiting near Megara in order to be ready to march in any direction where the Heraclidae might find shelter: cf. 114 n .
279. $\tau \dot{\alpha} v \theta \dot{\epsilon} v \delta \mathrm{E}$, where $\tau \dot{a} v(\theta \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta}$ might have been expectect. Cf.

 same principle is operative as in $1+1$ ( n .) ; where a verb of motion is used (e.g. Bacch. 49), the brachylogy is more obvious.
280. $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ s:$ furvious, as in Ar. Eq. 430, 760. So probably
 the view that the metaphor is taken from a strong gale which clears the sky (cf. allus Notus). Distinguish the meaning brilliant, as found e.g. in fr. 628.
281. фurois is, no doubt, an allusion to the destruction of olives and vines by the Lacedaemonians in their invasions under the command of Archidamus (Thuc. II i9 etc.).
282. $\dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ is probably explained by $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \circ \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu=\iota$, but might be taken as qualifying $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ and equivalent to $\tau 0 \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon$ in 316. For the general sense Elmsley well quotes Herod. vil 161 $\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$



кєктท!́ne $\theta$ a. This is the recognised Attic form of the optative: so $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \eta_{\mu} \eta \nu, \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \nu, \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ etc. (Cobet Nov. Lect. p. 223 ff.). The best authorities now regard the forms in $-\psi^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$, which appear sporadically in mss., as corrupt.
284. $\phi \theta_{\epsilon}$ ipou as a form of imprecation (Andr. 715 ) is as early as Homer. That it was still colloquial appears from its usage in Aristophanes.

тò $\sigma$ ò " "Apyos: contemptuously, with the force of the Lat. iste.

 $\phi 6 \beta$ ov.
285. ${ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \mathrm{A}$ : it was not likely that you would.... Copreus has now left the stage, as the tense shows. So Med. 135+ où $\delta$ ' oúk

286. $\pi$ ó $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$ was altered by Elmsley to $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda_{\iota}$ on the ground that $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa 00$ s requires a genitive, but the dative seems defensible: see Kuehner-Gerth $\S_{423} 3,8$.
290. Muкそvaiov: see on 187.
291. Ėтi roior: after this. The use of the article for the demonstrative survived only in certain combinations, and the tragedians doubtless archaised in employing it freely. Cf. Suppl.
 $\pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$. The Thucydidean $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau$ oîs $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o l(16$ etc.) still awaits explanation.
 $\kappa \eta \rho u ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ 入á ${ }^{2}$ ov. Eur. is elsewhere bitter against heralds (Tro. 424 , Or. 895 ) ; to his mind the dignity of the office did not excuse, but rather magnified the unworthiness of the individual.
293. 'To build up a tale twice as big as the truth.' $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma \nprec \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ is gen. of comparison after $\delta i$ is $\tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma o s:$ cf. El. 1092 iis

 was much extended by analogy.

 ( = simply to magnify, exalt) is Suppl. $998 \pi$ ó入ıs... áotoais cúbaumoviav $\epsilon \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \sigma \epsilon$.
294. $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma t$ : the plural is allusive as in $99,1055^{\text {. }}$. So סєбтótal Ion 233, ävaktas Soph. O.C. 295.
 with inf. following. Cf. Isocr. 19. 22 aúròs $\pi$ apà $\mu$ uк $\rho \grave{\nu} \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$
 $\phi o \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a l$. In this phrase, as in $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi \alpha \rho$ ' ó $\lambda i \not \gamma o \nu$ єival etc., $\pi a \rho \alpha$ strictly expresses in comparison with.
296. $\psi u \times \eta \dot{\eta}$... $\delta$ เakvaîбal might be rendered 'to snap the thread of life.' The verb is used in Aesch. Ag. 64 of a spearshaft snapping, and Eur. affects it in the general sense of to destroy: see I.A.27, El. $\mathbf{1 3 0 7}^{2}$, Alc. 109. Paley finds a difficulty in the active where the subject is merely passive, but this is another application of the principle noticed on Hel . 1125 , inf. 949, whereby the real agent
 $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \mu a s$, which Paley quotes, is a good example.
297. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta \epsilon \ldots \eta$. . The expression is redundant, since either $\tau 0 \hat{0} \delta \epsilon$ or $\hat{\eta}$ might have been omitted. Cf. Med. $553 \tau i \tau o u ̂ \delta{ }^{\prime}$ a $\nu$
 examples, from the Orators and Plato, are cited by Wyse on Isae. I 20. For a similar irregularity in Latin see Madvig on Cic. de fin. I 19.
299. $\gamma{ }^{\alpha} \mu \omega v$ : see cr. n. Since it is not the marriage of the
children，but that of the father which is relevant，it would be necessary to supply $\pi a r i p a$ as subject to $\gamma a \mu e i v$ from $\pi a \pi p o s i n$ 295．But this is extremely harsh and unlikely．I have therefore， with Weeklein，adopted Mungrave＇s $\gamma$ á $\mu \omega \nu$ ，hut do not feel much confidence in the integrity of the text．The question is complicated by the fact that Stobacus and Orion quote 297 and 298 with the addition of a line that does not appear in our mss．кai rois $\tau \epsilon \kappa$ койб
 It is also worth notice that the context of the present passage has nothing to do with the point which the extracts in Stobaeus are chosen to illustrate：viz．，the duty of children to honour their parents．Murray，after Niejahr，brackets 299－301．The wisdom of choosing rank in preference to wealth in contracting marriage is inculcated also in Andr． 1279 and fr． 234.
ös $\delta \dot{\xi}$ к．т．入．The construction is somewhat involved，but it appears that the clause ôs（ $=\epsilon \epsilon^{l} \tau \tau s$ ）．．．̇ккоьш $\dot{\nu} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is an adverbial qualification of $\tau \epsilon \in \kappa 0 \iota s . . \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ v$ ．Thus，if we compare Tro．iı 66
 ．．．入ıтєiv here takes the place of $\phi \dot{\delta} \beta o \nu$ ．For the employment of inf．after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega}$（analogous to its occasional appearance after
 ov ктâбөaı фi入ous；Andr．553，and for the gnomic aor．with the conditional relative Phoen．509．Tr．then：＇I will not approve of his leaving disgrace．．．if a man consort with the base．＇

302．á $\mu v v_{\varepsilon \in \tau a t: ~ r e s i s t s ~ m i s f o r t u n e . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ s e n t i m e n t ~ c f . ~ S o p h . ~}^{\text {．}}$
 The latter passage also illustrates $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$－rather than not better than．

303．For the metre cf．640，Hel．1552．－रàp $=$ as for instance （explicative）．

305．тoo $\hat{\eta} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ ：in all the land of Greece．So 156 ， 41 ．For the gen．cf． 15 I．

306．$\tau \hat{\omega} v \delta \epsilon$ ，referring to the Heraclidae，who are included in $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s(303$, cf． 152 ），is awkward with $\tau 0 \dot{\sigma} \sigma \dot{\delta} \epsilon$ in 305 applied to the Athenians．

307．Sóтє：rhetorical anaphora，as in 225．－$\delta \epsilon \xi$ cáv ：a solemn pledge of loyalty．Cf．Soph．O．C． 1632 óós $\mu 0 \iota \chi$ đò̀s $\sigma \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \iota v$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \mu i a \nu \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu o \iota s$ ，Eur．Med． 21 I $\delta \xi \xi \iota a ̂ s \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$.

308．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma^{\prime} \hat{\ell} \lambda \theta \in \tau \epsilon$ is addressed to the children，so that the words $\dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon i s$
309. '̇s $\mu \grave{v} \nu \pi \epsilon i \hat{\rho} a v \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The connexion of thought is:-as we have proved our friends, so you must in the time to come requite them with gratitude.
310. vóotos: 1042.
31. oiknjซ 1 r : take possession of (note the tense). It is com-
 каi $\lambda \omega \tau о \hat{u} \psi \dot{\psi} \phi \varphi$. Elmsley thought that a line had dropped out after this such as $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \lambda a ́ \beta \eta \tau \epsilon$, $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ коь $\rho a ́ \nu o u s ~ \chi \theta o \nu o s$, and certainly an object to $\nu 0 \mu i \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ would not come amiss before 312. As it is, the object must be supplied from $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ in 309 , which is virtually demonstrative.
313. alipeotal: infinitive for imperative. It alternates with the imperative as in $O r .62+\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \hat{o}{ }^{\prime}$ à $\mu v ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \ldots \epsilon^{\prime} a \delta^{\prime}$, Aesch. Eum?. 1006 ïтє...катє́ $\chi \epsilon \iota$, and in several passages quoted from Herodotus by Kuehner-Gerth $\S 47+\mathrm{a}$. This archaic inf. is common in the formal language of inscriptions: Meisterhans ${ }^{3}$ p. 244. For the historical allusion see on $1035^{\circ}$
$3^{1}+\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ is neuter here. The sentence is made smoother, but the sense, I think, weakened by Kirchhoff's $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\epsilon} \mu 0 \iota$, which Murray, with $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$, adopts.
315. $\nu \circ \mu$ i' $\epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ is carelessly repeated from 312 . Cf. inf. 894, Hel. 674 n .
$\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ is dativus iudicantis: cf. Soph. O.C. $14+6 \dot{\alpha} \nu a ́ \xi \iota a \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma i v$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \epsilon i ้ \nu$.
316. $\tau 0 \sigma \eta \eta_{\nu} \delta \epsilon$ : 305.- $\Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda a \sigma \gamma \iota \kappa o ̀ v$, i.e. Argive, as in Phoen. 107 etc. The name was applied to Argos partly from a failure to understand that the traditional Пє $\lambda a \sigma \gamma \iota \kappa$ ò ${ }^{\prime \prime} A \rho \gamma o s$ (Il. II 681) referred to Phthiotis in Thessaly, and partly in recognition of the pre-Dorian colonisation of the Peloponnese by tribes coming from the north, who brought with them the names Argos and Pelasgia.
 enmity. There does not, however, appear to be any authority for the middle voice in this sense. Wecklein prefers to regard $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ as a genitive of price, 'bartered the hostility of Argos for us'; but this is equally without authority and seems less natural. Pflugk's $\dot{u} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\xi} a \nu \tau 0$ is a late word. Musgrave prefers $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \bar{\xi} \nu \tau o$,
 $\beta$ ápos ;
319. $̇ \xi \in \delta \omega \kappa \alpha v: 97 \mathrm{n}$.

320．Kal $\zeta \hat{\omega v}$ is entirely lust sight of in the apodosis，which is accommodated to $\theta a \nu$ ẃv only（anacoluthon）．
örav Oáve is not so much tautologous as euphemistic．He avoids the direct assertion of coming death：II．F． 1331 Oavóvta $\hat{o}^{\prime}$ eit＇
 ov $\sigma \kappa \lambda$ nis，örav Báy q！s，where the tone is scomful－＇however late it be．＇

321．$\hat{\omega}$ Tâv is certainly colloquial，hut it is a mistake to suppose that it is undignified：Bacch．SO2 proves the contrary，and confirms the gloss of Hesychius－$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \rho \eta \mu a \quad \tau \iota \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon \omega s^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ каi

$\pi \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda a s:$ scil．$\omega^{\omega} \nu$ ．This ellipse is found occasionally with prepo－



322．v́ $\psi \eta \lambda \grave{o} v$ à $\rho \hat{\omega}$ ：exalt，with proleptic adjective，as in Suppl．
 ä̀ ä $\rho \epsilon \iota a s \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a \nu$ ．For $\dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\omega}(\bar{a})$ contracted from $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ ，future of $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \rho \omega$ ， see Jebb＇s Ajax p． 217.

єủфpav̄̂：scil．Ө $\eta \sigma \in \in$ ．



327．$\pi a v v^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ．There is probably a reminiscence of Od．2．${ }_{2} 76 \pi a \hat{u} \rho o l ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ t o l ~ \pi a ̂ ̂ o ̂ \epsilon s ~ \dot{~} \mu o i ̂ o l ~ \pi a \tau \rho i ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda o \nu \tau a l, ~ o i ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu \epsilon s ~$ какious，$\pi \alpha \hat{v} \rho o \iota ~ \delta \epsilon t ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi a \tau \rho \delta े s ~ a ́ p \epsilon i ́ o u s . ~ . ~$
ěva．．．＇่v $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s . ~ F r o m ~ t h i s ~ p a s s a g e ~ E l m s l e y ~ r e s t o r e d ~ \pi a ̂ ̂ p o v ~$
 meaning is commonly expressed by $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \iota \stackrel{\imath}{\eta}$ oúdeis（vel duo vel nemo
 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu . . . \Sigma v \rho a \kappa о \sigma i \omega \nu$（Anth．Pal．IX 434）means＇one of the herd，＇ like unus e multis．

328．ö $\sigma \tau \iota s \ldots \mu \eta$ ：the generic negative，i．e．such that he is（Lat． qui sit）．

329．For the sentiment see on supr． 176.



331．$\delta \eta$ ，meaning by now，prepares the way for kai $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ in the next line；it should not be taken exclusively with $\mu$ voious，although that word helps the sense．Cf．Il．2．117 ös ò̀ mo入入á $\omega \nu$ mo入i iov

332. $\pi$ é $\lambda a s$. For the omission of övaa as supplementary participle in indirect discourse see supr. 2I, and cf. Soph. O.C.


333. av̉ $\chi^{\hat{\omega}}$ is often practically equivalent to our think or expect: cf. 832, 931, Alc. 675, Tro. 770. This is Demophon's answer to 310 ff .
334. Tolav̂т', referring to oot $\tau^{\prime}$ єv̂ $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau a t$ : i.e. their actions will correspond to your words. For toloûtos see on 266.
$\mu \nu \eta \mu \cdot \nu \epsilon \operatorname{vin}_{\epsilon \tau \alpha l}$ : middle form in passive sense. Blass has shown that, while Herod, uses both forms indifferently, in Attic writers the distinction usually is that the middle form represents the future of the durative present and the passive that of the momentary aorist (Rh. Muls. 47, p. 269 ff.). Tr. here : will be kept in memory.
 muster.
336. тá̧as. With Wecklein, I adopt Kirchhoff's suggestion (see cr. n.) : otherwise, the asyndeton in 337 is extremely harsh. It will be observed that $\pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi \omega$ and $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o \mu a l$, though forming part of the same general proceeding, have no logical relation to tá̧as ( $\tau a ́ \xi \omega$ ) other than that of sequence in time. Pflugk's notion that $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a . . . \theta \dot{v} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ expresses what Demophon will do on his own initiative, without waiting for the deliberations ( $\sigma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda$ 关 $o \nu$ ) of the citizens, does not explain the asyndeton and is on other grounds objectionable.-For ö $\pi \omega s \stackrel{\hat{a} \nu}{ }$ introducing a pure final clause see Goodw. § 328.
337. Xєடí: 1035, El. 629.
338. $\mu \eta$, as final conjunction, disappears almost entirely in Attic prose in favour of ì $\mu \dot{\eta}, \delta ठ \pi \omega s ~ \mu \dot{\eta}$ etc. - $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$ : aor. part. of coincident time: see 12 I .
339. ßoŋסрónos: quickly brought on to the field-mobilised, as we should say. This word and $\beta \circ \eta \delta \rho o \mu \epsilon i v$ are affected by Eur. in other than a military sense.-"A $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota$ : locative dative ( 360 ).
340. $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ should not be changed to $\delta^{\prime}$, since it is regularly used in
 with Wecklein's note.

Qúvouat: middle voice, because he is not the direct agent.
343. $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ' $2 \theta^{\prime}$ : nay', go-as he shows no sign of complying with the request of 340 .

34t．oúk äv $\lambda$（тоь䒑t：I ruill not liait（Goodw．$\$ 235$ ）．（Cf． Soph．O．T： 343 ．
 supr．34．The infin．might also be construed with pevovees as in Audr．256，Aesch．Eum． 677 etc．

348．＇Appe $\epsilon \omega v$, i．c．than the Argives have．
349．For the comnexion of Hera with Argos cf．Tro． 97 r， Rhes． $3 ヶ 6$ ．

350．＇AOava．There is strong evidence in favour of this form in tragedy，and it is replaced by most editors even where the mss． give＇A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha$ ：see Porson on Or． 26.

351．ímápXetv in a strong sense ：that this is a basis for success．

 $\nu \iota \kappa \omega ́ \mu \in \nu \quad \nu$.
kal，which no English word exactly renders，is almost above all．
352．$\nu เ \kappa \omega \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \eta$ covers an allusion to the worship of the goddess
 image was wingless，and inasmuch as Victory was generally represented as a winged woman Pausan．III 15.7 comments： ＇Just as the Athenians have a notion about the Victory called Wingless，that she will always stay where she is because she has no wings．＇

353 f．For the metre of this ode see Appendix C．
354．$\sigma$ ov̂ $\pi \lambda$＇ev：regard thee not the more：cf． 96 ．
358．$\mu \eta \pi \pi \omega$ is not absolutely equivalent to $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ：lit．I pray that Athens may not yet be in such case．＇Long may it be

 combined with $\epsilon$ ï $\eta$ cf． 369 ， 1055 ，Hel． 1273 （n．）．

359．ka入入८xópols，＇with fair lawns＇or dancing grounds，is a word which Euripides seems to have adopted from the lyric poets （Pindar，Simonides，Bacchylides）．See also Jebb on Bacchyl．5．ro6．

360．＂Apyє！，as in 339.
361．$\Sigma \theta_{\epsilon} \downarrow$ édov：scil．viós，Eurystheus．Cf．Il．xix 123 Eủpuo $\theta \in u ̀ s$
 ò тúpav os ó $\Sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ édou．

362．ôs refers to $\sigma \dot{v}$ in 360 ．
365．ávтopévous，having taken refuge in our land．The word
is always aoristic in Homer（Monro H．G．§ 32）．It does not occur elsewhere in tragedy with this meaning，but is so employed once in Pindar，and several times in the Iliad．The object is always in the dative，and we must therefore conclude that the genitive is due to the analogy of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \dot{a} \omega$ ．

367．É $\lambda$ кєเร：conative present，as in 20.
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} เ \nu$ ：plural，as in 294 ，for it is improbable that there is a direct allusion to Acamas．

368．oủk ä入入o：nor urgging any just plea．ă àdo（＇besides＇）need not be translated ：cf．Soph．Phil． 28 кai тaûтá $\gamma^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ өá $\pi \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\dot{\rho} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \eta$ ．For the substantival $\delta$ iкаlov see on 138 ．

369．$\pi \mathrm{o} \hat{v}=h o w$, but stronger than $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ，in indignant or ironical questions：inf． 5 10，Ion 528 Toû סé $\mu 0 九 \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ ov́；Soph．Ai． 1100



370．тapá： 201.
37 I ．We can hardly deduce a peace policy either from this or from the commonplaces of Suppl．iroo，Tro． 400.

374．ov̈tcs：without more ado．Cf．Alc． 680 veavías $\lambda$ dorous
 $i \omega$ ；Distinguish the use of oür $\omega$ s in combination with an adv．such as $\dot{\rho} \not \delta_{i \omega s,}=q u i t e$ easily，etc．（Plat．rep． 377 B）．
á Soкeîs：scil．кир $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ ．The neut．acc．of adjectives and pronouns，strictly an＇internal＇use，is occasionally found after $\kappa v \rho \bar{\omega}$

 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \kappa \kappa \cup \rho \sigma^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \eta$ ．

377．oú．．．$\mu \eta$＇．．．$\sigma u v \tau a p a ́ \xi \in เ s$ ．This should be treated as a pro－ hibition，and such prohibitions are generally regarded as interrogative ： see Appendix to Hel．437．We cannot however print it so here with $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o u$ following，without appearing to indicate a longer pause after $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \nu$ than is natural．Cf．Ar．Nulb． 296 ov $\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \dot{\omega} \psi \eta \mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{~}$
 Goodw．§ 298．＇́paбtàs is nom．with $\omega^{\prime} \nu$ omitted．＇Don＇t，I pray， for all your love of war．．．＇This ellipse is rare，but not indefensible： Goodw．§875，2．The vulgate，$\dot{a}^{\lambda} \lambda^{\prime}, \tilde{\omega}^{\varkappa} \ldots \notin \rho a \sigma \tau \alpha ́, \ldots \sigma v \nu \tau a \rho \dot{\beta} \xi \eta s$ is due to Canter，Musgrave，and Barnes ；the improvement effected by it，if any，is so slight as not to be worth the changes involved．

379．Xapictcv：rich in beauty．Cf．1．T． 1147 xapitct eis

 $\xi^{\ell} \chi \omega \nu$ 。 For the genitive see on 213.

38r. $\begin{gathered}\text { s } \\ \text { rait, from the old man to the younger. }\end{gathered}$
öpracov. Fear or anxiety is expressed in the eyes: Soph.

382. $\lambda$ égets (see cr, n.) is a great improvement effected by a very slight change.
383. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda$ dovatv : do they tarry?
$3^{8}$. . evions. Murray's emendation, involving only the addition $^{8}$ of one letter, is somewhat easier than that of Elmsley $\psi \in \dot{v} \sigma \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon .$. dóros-for $\psi$ eíry cannot stand without an object as in some texts and yields as good, if not better sense. 'For surely thou wilt not belie the herald's words.' For this meaning of $\psi$ eiv $\delta \omega$ cf. Soph.
 person of the aor. subj. is a denial, not a prohibition: Soph.
 ou่ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota$ see 193. The force of $\gamma \epsilon$ is:-whatever you say, it won't be that they have withdrawn.
 reference is to his unbroken prosperity, and there may be a tinge of irony; but in any case the remark is qualified by $388.1 . T .560$
 There is no allusion to sacrificial rites, as Paley supposes, for Iolaus could not have this information. Tyrwhitt's ingenious emendation is less pointed than the reading of the mss., and $\omega \nu$ is not required (see on 386 ).
386. єi๘เv is a necessary change, because otherwise it would be impossible to separate $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \quad$ from $\epsilon \dot{u} \tau u \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$, and the emphatic $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \phi \phi^{\prime}$ ol $\delta a$ would be unwarrantable merely as a support for the assertion
 a circumstantial $\omega_{0} \nu$ with the adjective is justified by the balancing participle: Or. 457 $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \iota \lambda \lambda a ̂ \tau \alpha \_\ldots \mu \epsilon \lambda a ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ os кovpâa $\tau \epsilon \theta v \gamma a \tau \rho o ̀ s$
 maxime, is sufficiently common, but this is no reason why kai should not be used as a copula if so required: Jebb on Soph. El. 1178. For $\sigma \mu$ ккро̀ $\phi \rho 0 \nu \omega ̂ \nu$ see on 932.
387. és $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mathbf{s}^{\text {s }}$ 'A ${ }^{8}$ quas. It is a nice question whether these words should be taken with $\epsilon \hat{\sigma} \omega \nu$ or with oú $\sigma \mu \kappa \kappa \rho \partial \nu \phi \rho o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$. For the
latter cf．Hippp． $6 \sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \delta^{\prime} \quad \sigma_{\sigma o \iota} \phi \rho o \nu o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ єis $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a$ ．On the other hand，$\epsilon \boldsymbol{\sigma} / \nu$ is improved by the complement，and most editors favour this view．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．These words might be regarded as the leading motive of the plot ：see Introd．p．xxiii．From Aesch．Pers． 827 Z $\epsilon$ és
 Wecklein reads $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \delta \pi \omega \nu$ ，but the carelessness of style is just like inf．894，Hel． 698 （n．）．
 would be required．The contrast between hearsay and the know－ ledge of an eyewitness is very common（Hel．117，Tro．481），but is here somewhat paradoxically expressed．Cf．Soph．O．C．I $3{ }^{8} \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta}$
 use of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma^{\hat{\epsilon}} \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota$ instead of $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{a}^{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ the messengers are treated as instruments of vision：for similar datives cf．Thuc． 125 Kopı 1 Өl $\psi$
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mathfrak{a} \nu \epsilon \in \mu \psi$ ．

393．$\pi \in \delta i a$ ：the Marathonian plain was suitable for cavalry （Herod．vi 102）．
 $\mu$ о́ро⿱亠乂．

394．óфpú $\eta v$ ：acc．as in 55, Or． 956 трimo $\delta a ~ к a \theta i j \omega \nu$ ．The word is used in this sense by Herodotus．

395．Sók $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ เи $\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ must be taken together：＇now this is a conjecture that I will tell you．＇For the word $\delta \dot{\kappa} \kappa \eta \sigma \iota s$ ，which often denotes an idle fancy，see on Hel．irg．

396 contains some corruption，which appears to have its seat in $\tau \alpha \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ．Musgrave＇s $\tau^{\prime}$ ävev $\delta o \rho o ́ s$ is printed in many texts，but（ I ） such passages as Aesch．Eum． $289 \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}$ dעєv $\delta$ opòs．．．$\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \mu a \chi o \nu$ do not prove that it could mean＇without a battle＇in this context； certainly，we could not translate by＇without the sword＇：（2）the introduction of Eurystheus＇desire to avoid a battle is pointless． Wecklein has $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$ סopós（by what warlike stratagem），for which he compares Suppl．905．Reiske＇s öpous for סopós might stand，but fails to account for $\tau \alpha \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．Verrall，reading $\pi \eta$ for $\tau \epsilon$ in 397，suggests that $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \xi \in \iota=$ praemunitione，as if from $\sigma a ́ \tau \tau \omega$ ．Nauck formerly proposed ravódoomos．moia，of course，could stand alone for mola ò $\delta \hat{\psi}$ ，as in Ar．Av． 1219 ．

oúk $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \beta l o v$ - $l i \delta p \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau a t:$ note the middlle voice after the active in 396 . In the former case the general is the direct agent : contrast $66_{4}$.
398. $\mu \mathbf{e} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\imath}$ has incurred a good deal of suspicion, but the point surely is:-my preparations are made, although he has not yet arrived (393).
399. Note the explanatory asyndeton, and contrast 337 (n.).
400. "́ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \in v$. The victims are in position, ready to be sacrificed at the moment when battle is joined : cf. 819. Supply in the main clause $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ roúroıs $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. Elmsley appositely quotes
 тробкє́єаи.
 This bold construction is elsewhere employed by Euripides : I. T. $3{ }^{6} 7$
 trations are quoted in the note on Hel. 1434 रaîa $\beta$ oâ $\sigma \theta a \iota \ldots \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \varphi \delta \delta a \iota s$.
$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime}$ appears where $\tau^{\prime}$ might have been expected, because the sacrifices in the town are treated as a fresh fact.-Presumably ä $\sigma \tau v$ is Athens, but it is clear that Eur. has not consistently carried out his conception of the scene of action. Marathon is actually more than 20 miles from Athens, but the distance here and elsewhere appears to be ignored : see Introd. p. x, note 2 .
402. $\tau \rho 0 \pi a i a$ is in apposition to the preceding clause : cf. 72. The rhythm of the line recalls Suppl. 1204 кäT $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \dot{\psi} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\psi}$ 就 $\dot{\psi}$
 not seem to be sufficient reason for suspecting the text, although Murray follows Tyrwhitt (see cr. n.), and suggests as an alternative that 402 might follow 404 , if 405 were deleted.
403. didioas: this is an Ionic verb (Herod. Xen.), which occurs only twice in tragedy, here and in H.F. 412 . It is generally regarded as connected with $\dot{\alpha} 0 \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} s$, and perhaps with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda i \alpha$ (v. Lexx.). But there are difficulties in referring $\dot{\eta} \lambda c a i a$ to the same source (Wilamowitz on H. F. 1.c.).
404. $\beta^{\ell} \beta \eta \lambda a$ : public. The history of the word is much the same as that of profanus, being transferred from localities to things and persons not protected by the screen of divine influence. The craze for oracles and oracle-mongers at the time when this play was produced is attested by Thucydides (e.g. II 2I. 3) and Aristophanes (Pac. 1045 ff., Eq. 96ı ff.).
405. $\sigma \omega \pi$ jípıa can hardly be right after 402 , even if we take into account the occasional laxity of style mentioned in the n . on $3{ }_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$. Wecklein supposes that the repetition is an accidental blunder, and suggests кєхрทन $\mu e ́ v a$; but perhaps Wilamowitz is right in condemning the line, although not in his objection to 入órca in tragedy (Neil on Ar. Eg. 120).
406. $\theta$ er фárots: see cr. n. The change is necessary, and the error is easily accounted for as the result of grammatical assimilation. The gist of this and the next line is that, though the oracles vary in many points, they all agree in one.
408. Note the explanatory asyndeton as in 399 .

Kópn $\Delta{ }_{\eta} \mu \eta \eta \tau \rho o s: c f . A l c .358$. Persephone, though not exclusively a goddess of the underworld, appears here in her Chthonian capacity as the recipient of an expiatory human sacrifice. Such sacrifices are never mentioned in the Homeric poems, but the stories of Iphigenia and Polyxena show that they are not later than the era of the epic cycle. The accredited instances of human sacrifice among the Greeks of historical times are comparatively few, and it was always regarded by them as foreign and unlawful (I.T. 465). See Stengel in Mueller's Handbuch v 3 pp. 89-91. It should be remembered that K $\delta p \eta$ was the official title of the goddess, for which $\Phi \epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \epsilon \phi \quad \phi \tau \tau a$ was the popular and $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \phi b \nu \eta$ the poetical substitute.
 Madvig \& Io5 (a).
411. Too'iv $\delta$ ' is not correlative to cus ojpâs as if the latter were öo $\sigma \nu$ o opâs, but stands alone as in 305 etc. $=\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á $\eta \eta$.



 be so used here.
414. Ék Xep $\hat{\nu}=$ 'from his protection' or power. So Plut.
 $\chi \epsilon i \bar{p} \alpha s$, èv $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma$.
415. âv is repeated for the sake of emphasis: 721. $\pi$ ккpàs, angry, seems a possible epithet of $\sigma v \sigma \pi a ́ \sigma \epsilon t s$ as employed here and in $A n d r$. 1088 : see cr. n.
416. $\hat{\eta} v$ is strictly past to $\lambda \in \gamma^{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, referring to the assistance already given, when Demophon repulsed the herald : 250 ff.
＋1\％． E $^{2} 0 \hat{\text { ：}}$ ：see cr．II．It seems better to adopt this easy alteration，when we find that Emripides elsewhere always employs the genitive of the person in combination with katnropeiv．

418．$\delta \eta$ balances $ク$ グठ $\eta$ in the apodosis．With $\epsilon i$ on＇the condition is always one existing or about to exist at the moment of speaking＇（F．W．Thomas in Foum．Phil．xXIII p．rof）．－F or the future of． $2+3$ ．

419．$\epsilon \xi a \rho t u ́ \epsilon t a t:$ for the tense see 159．－оiкєios $\pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu$ оs $=$ ciail
 opposite is $\theta$ vpaîos $\pi \delta \lambda_{\epsilon \mu}$（Aesch．Eum．866）．


 тov̂ $\theta^{\prime}$ ópâv $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta}, \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{̣}$ ．L．and S ．fail to bring out adequately the prevalence of this meaning in Attic：see e．g．Isocr． 15．175，Lys．7．27，8．7．

423．$\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon,=\dot{\omega} s$ ，an Epic usage fairly common in Aesch．and Soph．but sparingly copied by Eur．Cf．H．F．ı ıо $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi$ mòòs ö $\rho \nu \iota s$ ，fr． 757 山̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \pi \iota \mu о \nu \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\chi} \nu \nu$ ．For the sense Elmsley compares Aesch．Pers． 2 IIff．（Atossa of Xerxes）$\pi$ ais $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o ̀ s . . . к а к \hat{\omega} s$ $\delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi ̌ a s ~ o u ̉ \chi ~ u ́ \pi \epsilon ย ́ \theta u \nu o s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon i s ~ \delta ’ ~ o ́ \mu o i ́ \omega s ~ \tau \eta ̂ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ к о \iota р а \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\chi$ Øovós．

424．The poet chooses to represent the principles of Athenian democracy as extending backwards to the legendary age：see on supr．36．$\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$ and $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ are here，as elsewhere，mutually complementary：Aesch．Cho． 3 I $3 \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \iota \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu, \tau \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \mu v \hat{\theta}$ os $\tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \delta \phi \omega \nu \in \hat{i}$ ．In its requirement of such correspondence primitive justice is represented by $\tau o ̀ ~ ' P a \delta a \mu a ́ \nu \theta$ vos $\delta i к \alpha \iota o \nu$ ，referred to in
 Theogn．746．In Or． 646 the maxim is sophistically treated．

425．a’入入＇$\hat{\text { f }}$ ：indignantis，＇an ergo？＇a’ $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ marks the surprise ： Hel． 490 n．

426．Xpท์לovotv：see cr．n．The redundancy of $\chi \rho \eta$ ท́Sovoav after $\pi \rho \delta \theta u \mu \circ \nu$ ovi $\sigma \alpha \nu$ would be inexcusable．The parallels usually cited do not seem to be to the point：in Andr．i 8 фєú $\sigma o v \sigma^{\prime} \delta \mu i \lambda o \nu$ expresses the motive more definitely than $\chi \omega \rho i s \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ；in
 quotation as the speaker had received it．

which Scaliger wished to substitute, and Eoiкaucy owes its thematic vowel to an extension from the singular: Brugm. Gr. Gr. § 132.
429. És Xeipa: have come within arm's length of the land. The phrase cannot be satisfactorily explained, with Elmsley and others, as derived from $\epsilon i$ र $\chi \epsilon i p a s ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu i=$ to come to close quarters (Plut. Pericl. 22, Philopoem. 18). Rather, ès expresses the measure of distance exactly as in $I l$. xxili 523 є́s $\delta i \sigma \kappa o v \rho a ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau 0$. Cf. I. A. $95^{\text {I }}$
 with his finger-tips. Headlam in Fourn. Phil. xxvi p. 237 quotes Galen Gloss. Hippocr. xix p. 101 є̀s $\chi \in i \hat{\rho} a \cdot$ on $\eta$ ô̂ кai rò $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu . ~ I$ transcribe, as a warning, Pflugk's quaint remark:-' elegans dictio, quasi $\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho \alpha ~ o \rho \epsilon \gamma \circ u ́ \sigma \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta} \sigma \nu \nu \eta ิ \psi \alpha \nu . '$
430. $\pi v o a i \sigma \iota v$. See cr. n. The form $\pi \nu o \eta$ is required by metre in other passages, and the authority of the MSS., which are not consistent, is very slight.
433. тá ${ }^{\text {aıva : cruel. When transferred from persons to things, }}$ the word has the sense of 'sorrow-giving.' Cf. Hel. 248 épıv тá入aıvav.

$$
\text { 434. тóт' : } 970 \mathrm{n} \text {. }
$$

435. kai $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau 0 \hat{\delta} \delta$. The connexion of thought is: it is hope which has betrayed me, for Demophon cannot be blamed. kai serves to emphasise $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau o \hat{o} \delta^{\prime}$ by contrasting the king's conduct with the treachery of hope. Cf. Hel. 758 n .
$\epsilon i=o ̈ \tau \iota$ after $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha}:$ Goodw. § 494.
 given trouble to recent editors. Wecklein condemns 437 f. entirely, and regards aiv $\epsilon \sigma a s \delta^{\prime} \notin \chi \omega$ as the climax of $\sigma u \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau a ́:-$ rather I must praise it.' It is generally thought that ráv $\theta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta}$ ' means 'our treatment by the citizens,' which is contrasted by kai with the conduct of Demophon, but 438 shows that this is a false antithesis. We should rather explain:--'But I don't complain even of our present lot'-contrasted with former joy ( $\tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon, 434$ ). Then the explanatory asyndeton is natural :-'If it is now the gods' will that I should fare thus, in no wise dost thou lose my thanks.' He cannot feel resentment towards Demophon because the gods have hindered him from rendering assistance in full measure. aivéoas ${ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \omega$ is equivalent to a perfect (Goodw. § 47), but is out of place here, where the acquiescence is not past but present. For this
reason Valckenaer's aivioat is a great improvement, if not almolutely necessary.


 Holden on Plut. Demosth. 24. I. In I. and S. the examples of this usage are not clearly distinguished from those of $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ to achiove.
436. $\sigma o i$, altered by Elmsley to $\sigma \dot{\eta}$, is defended by Rhes. $33^{8} \chi$ дápis $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ait $\hat{\omega}$ IIpıauisîv $\delta \iota \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \tau 0$, which Paley quotes. The double aspect of $\chi \dot{\alpha} p l s$ is sometimes perplexing; it might be said that the Greeks objectified the boon as if it were afterwards returned

437. $\delta^{\prime}$ : for the order see on 153 . -The future indicative is often used in place of the subjunctive in direct or indirect questions of doubt (Goodw. § 68).
438. ắ $\sigma \epsilon \pi \tau 0 \mathrm{~S}: 124$.
439. moiov yaias "́pkos: a butziark in what land. The socalled hypallage is explained by treating raias e"pкоs as a single
 àv $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ そ̇v́vaı $\mu \mathrm{\nu}$ ( Jebb ).
440. $\delta \dot{\eta}=\eta ँ \delta \eta$. For its position at the end of the line cf. Hel. 134.
441. '́ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ is placed early for the purpose of contrast. 'For myself I care not if...' Cf. Med. $34^{6}$ тoủ $\mu$ oû $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oũ $\mu 0 \iota$ фpovi's, $\epsilon i$



442. Biov. The gen. after an adj. corresponds to the use after verbs explained on 232 .
443. Xp $\bar{v}$ : we were doomed as it now seems. The word expresses merely past necessity: Goodw. § 417 . So in Hec. 629

 flexible than that of most languages and can be used in a subordinate clause. The old explanation of oí $\sigma$ ' ô $\delta \rho \hat{a} \sigma o \nu\left(H e l .3^{15} \mathrm{n}.\right)$ as 'doyou know what,' which was first given by Bentley, is now discredited. The imperative should be translated 'you must aid me'; compare its use in relative clauses, and after öt $\iota$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\prime}$.
444. $\mu \eta \eta^{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ is answered by $\tau \epsilon$ as often : inf. 605, Hel. 156 . The effect of the combination is to lay stress on the second clause: Adam on Plat, rep. 430 B. The converse order does not occur.
445. Similarly Iphigenia in I. A. 1385 каi $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ov́ $\delta \hat{́}$ roí $\tau \iota \lambda$ lav द́ $\mu \epsilon ̇ ~ \phi \iota \lambda о \psi \nu \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ́ \nu . ~$
l' $\tau \omega$ is the formula of resignation-'be it so' or 'let it pass.' Cf. Med. 819, Hel. 1278. This is better than to supply $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \psi u \chi \dot{\eta}$ as subject, with Wecklein.
 specious; for the emphatic form of the personal pronoun seems natural. But the enclitic $\mu \epsilon$ is justified, because Iolaus has twice in the preceding lines indicated himself as being primarily concerned ( $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \delta o s$ and $\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \psi \cup \chi \eta^{\prime} \nu\right)$. Thus the sequence of thought is:surrender me; Eurystheus would like it ( $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \nu \beta \rho i \sigma \alpha \iota$ ) best

 supr. $6+$ the conditions are different. -For the spondee in the fifth foot cf. 303 .
446. Observe how in the sequel Eurystheus falls into the hands of his enemies (cf. 879 ff .) ; his $\ddot{v} \beta \rho \iota s$ leads directly to his ruin, and we have the genuine tragic $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon L a$ : see Introd. p. xxiv. Cruelty to a fallen foe may have been tolerated by ordinary Greek morality, but was repugnant to the liberal sentiments of enlightened Athens ( 966 ). The concluding words of this speech are full of significance, and are a condemnation in advance of Alcmena's conduct in the closing scene.
447. okalòs: see on 413 . okatós, meaning originally clumsy )( $\hat{o} \epsilon \xi$ cós, expresses the lack of sympathy and refinement, which come from gentle nurture and a liberal education. From Plut. poet. aud. II p. 3I F Wyttenbach deduces the Stoic definition of $\sigma \kappa a \iota o ́ \tau \eta s$
 omideiv. The best of his illustrations is from Plut. apophth. p. 178 B : when Lasthenes of Olynthus and the other Macedonizing Greeks complained to Philip of being called traitors by his household,
入érovas. For the sentiment which follows cf. H. F. 299 фeírecv


448. á $\mu a \theta \in \hat{\imath}$ фроvท́ $\mu a \tau \iota$ : unfeeling pride, as it is translated by

Verrall on Med. 22.3. àuatris is closely related in meaning to бкаús, but is both wider and stronger. For the Euripidean instances Verrall's note should be consulted. - $\phi$ póvqua: abstract for concrete, as in 108, 580, 706.

4 (ro. aidovs: mercy. It is the act of an adversary who, with the right to retaliate, refrains from exercising it. Cf. Antiph. i. 27 宅申'

 In the law of homicide aiofiö0at and aiós's are technically applied to the appeasement of the dead man's kindred (Dem. 23.72 etc .).

кal סíkŋs. The vulg. кärux $\eta$ s is an emendation of Barnes for the Aldine kai rúxps and has no authority. But I am persuaded that кai diкns is corrupt. סiкns tuxeiv is either (r) to be punished (Hipp. 672 ), or (2) to obtain satisfaction (Dem. 21. 142, Plut. Alex. 1o), and is here entirely out of place; to speak of obtaining justice from an opponent who is ready to be generous is an anticlimax. What we require is rather the nomal contrast of Mercy with Justice, as in Antiphon l.c. Cf. Hec. 27 I where $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \varphi$ тóv $\delta^{\prime}$
 $\mu \epsilon$. For these reasons I would substitute к $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta i \kappa \eta$, which corresponds exactly to Portia's ' in the course of justice ' (Shaksp. M. V. Iv I. 197). The semimythical connexion of aioẃs and סiкך (Hes. Op. 192), noticed by Plato in Prot. 322 C, D and perhaps in legg. 943 E, may have been present to Euripides' mind, but cannot be used in support of the mss. reading. Cf. Tyrtae. fr. 12. 39 रचpá $\sigma \kappa \omega \nu$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota$. Jerram translates $\delta i \kappa \eta s$ by equity ( $=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon \iota a$ ), but without warrant.
461. é $\pi$ aıt $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega}$. Since Iolaus has shown no disposition to blame Athens, it does not seem likely that he should now be asked not to do so. The sense required is don't make the city guilty of your survender, and towards this Valckenaer's $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{o}$ ' might be considered as contributing. But, inasmuch as є̇тalтıâन $\theta a l$ is to impute guilt in aoords and not to implicate another by act, the text must be regarded with extreme suspicion. We need something like $\mu \grave{\eta} \theta \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a i t t o \nu \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu$, which is however much too violent to be recommended. It does not seem possible to supply, with Jerram, '(don't blame us) if we refuse.'
464. á $\lambda \lambda$ ' answers $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ as in 997.
466. $\pi \lambda$ र́ov : sc. $̇ \sigma \tau i$. What does Eurystheus gain? Cf. Hel. 322 $\tau i$ бol $\pi \lambda \in \notin \nu \ldots \gamma \in \nu 0 \iota \tau^{\prime} a ̈ \nu ;(\mathrm{n}$.$) .$
468. $\delta \epsilon เ v o ̀ v: ~ s c . ~ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ rather than $\epsilon i \sigma i$. Cf. fr. $736 \sigma \pi a ́ v \iota o \nu a ̈ \rho ' \tilde{\eta} v$
 practically equivalent to an abstract noun : this construction never developed in Greek, but became very common in Latin prose (Rol)y § I410). There are several examples in Thucydides, some of which

 $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$. See Kuehner-Gerth $\S 4^{85}$ anm. I.
470. $\lambda$ úpas: see cr. n. The acc. plur. is quite as good as the gen. sing. after $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime} o l$ : $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s$ is objective genitive with $\lambda u ́ \mu a s$.
$\pi \rho о \sigma к о \pi \epsilon i v$ here c. acc. $=$ to provide against. But in Soph. Ant.
 meaning 'to watch on your behalf.'
474. '̇ $\xi$ ódous is best taken as causal dative. Cf. Andr. 9 r 9 $\tau a \rho \beta \epsilon i s$ rois $\delta \epsilon \delta \rho a \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \iota s \pi \delta \dot{\sigma} t \nu$ and see on Hel. 79. So Aesch. Prom.
 ness to me by reason of my forth-coming.' Some prefer to treat $\dot{\epsilon} \xi b \delta o t s ~ a s ~ t h e ~ i n d i r e c t ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, with $\mu 0 \iota$ as ethic dative, but a personal object is usually found with $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \iota \theta \in \mathcal{L} a \iota$ in this sense (Andr. 217 etc.). It would be still less justifiable to follow the analogy of 63 .

477. Eí $\omega \omega \theta^{\prime} \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma v \mathrm{X}^{\circ} v$. The almost oriental seclusion of women was a characteristic of Athenian manners, and was widely different from the freedom which they enjoyed in the Homeric age. Thus Euripides here and in many other passages is guilty of an anachronism : cf. Andr. 877, El. 343, I. A. 738, fr. 525 , fr. 920.
479. $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \cup \in \epsilon v$ : to stand at the head of. Cf. Plat. legg. 752 E


 $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \dot{\prime} \omega \nu \pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \rho$. It is followed by the gen. in the same way as other verbs and adjectives which express comparison. Palmer's ingenious $\tau \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \alpha$ deserves mention, but is not necessary.

480 ff . There has been much difference of opinion as to the correct punctuation of this passage, and it is almost a case of quot homines, tot sententiae. The text as printed differs from previous
editions in having a comma after mipe instead of after $\tau \hat{\omega} p \hat{0}$ on ádeldour. In this way only is it possible to preserve the parallelism with 532 , and for $\pi$ épe after $\mu$ Àter cf. e.g. Aesch. Cho. 780 peter Acoiou diva $\rho$ äv $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \pi$ ípr. I have also reverted to the practice of the earlier texts in placing a comma at the end of 459 instead of a full stop. Thus $\theta \in \lambda \omega \pi$ ribéveat becomes the principal verb, and is formally coordinate with $\bar{\xi} \xi \hat{y} \lambda \theta o \nu$; logically, however, it balances $\tau a \chi \theta \epsilon i \sigma a$, and $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ appears by anacoluthon for $\theta \in \lambda o u \sigma a$ : 'not appointed... but wishing to learn as a fitting person' etc. This transition to a finite verb is idiomatic: supr, 40-4.3. With $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi$ opos we must supply $\pi \cdot \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, so that $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \ldots \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ prepares the way for
 fitting person, because I am deeply concerned for my brothers as well as for myself.' Thus $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ approximates to $\gamma \dot{a} p:$ inf. 890. Lastly, it should be observed that $\pi \rho o \sigma^{\sigma} \phi o p o s$ is due to the personalising. tendency ( 68 I n.) in Greek, and the general drift is:-'I am not commissioned ... but I wish to learn as it is fitting that I should being nearly concerned.' For other views see Appendix.
482. $\mu \eta$ is not merely the equivalent of mum, introducing an indirect question, but expresses apprehension of a result feared. Cf.

 $\lambda u ́ \pi \tau \epsilon t$. See also Goodw. $\S 369$. In late Greek the purely interrogative use becomes common: Holden on Plut. Pericl. 35. 2.
483. $\pi \rho o \sigma \kappa \in\{\mu \in v o v$, 'added,' serves as the perf. pass. participle of $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi i,=o v e r ~ a n d ~ a b o v e, ~ e n f o r c e s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma-$.
484. $\delta \eta \eta^{\eta}$ belongs to oú $\nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i$ : now and aforetime. $\tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ attaches to $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$.
486. Só $\mu \mathrm{os}$. Jacobs' emendation (see cr. n.) is adopted by Wecklein and Murray, and has in its favour that it seems to avoid a bad confusion of metaphor. Still it is not easy for us to judge to what extent a particular Greek metaphor was living or worn out, and каráбтaбıs $\pi \rho \circ \chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ (Phoch. г 266 ) is in itself quite as illogical as $\delta \dot{\rho} \mu o s \pi \rho 0 \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{i}$. Moreover, Euripides goes far in the identification of $\delta b \mu 0$ with its members, as may be seen from inf. 610, Phoen. 20, 624, Med. 114 , Hipp. 792, Andr. 548, Or. 1538. And what can be more startling to a modern ear than Bacchyl. 9. 5 I тi $\mu$ aкрà $\nu$

487. $\pi$ á入เv aviӨเs, pleonastic as in 708.
 to Brodaeus, not only involves the alteration of $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s$ and eú $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} s$, but with $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ after $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ gives a very awkward construction. Reiske, supplying qóv $\delta \epsilon$ as the subject of $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$, compared R/hes. 879
 $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 O. T. ${ }_{2} 5 \phi \theta i \nu 0 v \sigma a \quad \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \ldots \phi$ fivováa $\delta^{\prime}$. Variations of this idiom are (1) the substitution of a synonym for the same word repeated: Med.


492. $\tau a v \tau^{\prime}$ : the neuter pronoun represents an acc. of cognate meaning.
493. $\sigma \phi \dot{\alpha}_{\xi \in \iota v: ~ s e e ~ c r . ~ n . ~ a n d ~ c o n s u l t ~ G o o d w . ~ § ~}^{127 .}$

49+. oủ $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega}$ : not directly, but he hints. Cf. Phoin. I6I
 $\tau о \rho \omega ̂ s, \phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$. $\delta \epsilon \in \tau \tau \varsigma \ldots \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon \in \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$.
495. $\dot{\xi} \xi \alpha \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha} \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \mu \in v$ : find a way out of our difficultics. There is no precise parallel, but $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \sigma a \gamma \eta \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \omega \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho a \chi \eta \lambda i \dot{\zeta} \omega$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \xi u \pi \nu i \dot{\zeta} \omega$ are more or less analogous. If an alteration is required, perhaps Matthiae's $\mu \hat{\eta} \chi a \rho \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ is better suited to $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ than Hartung's $\mu \eta \chi \alpha ́ \nu \eta \mu$ ' єข์ $\rho \dot{\gamma} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$.
496. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha}$... єúpioketv: that we must discover. Thus $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\gamma \omega$ has the meaning of 'command,' and would normally be followed by an object in the dative. Sometimes however the agent is expressed by the acc. as subject to the inf. and the direct object falls out : Or: 269
 $\sigma^{\prime} \epsilon^{\gamma} \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \delta \delta \lambda \omega$ Фıлоктйт $\eta \nu \lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu$. The process of development is similar to that by which $\delta о \kappa \hat{\omega}$ and $\delta о к \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ acquire the meaning of $I \mathrm{am}$ inclined and it seems good, and oiopal $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ etc. appear to be used with an ellipse of $\hat{0} \epsilon \mathrm{iv}$ (Kuehner-Gerth § 473, I anm. 2).
497. There is an anacoluthon here, since in strictness the clause should have been subordinated to $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon$. For the return to a finite mood cf. supr. 43,482 .
498. ках $\chi \dot{\mu} \mu \in \theta a$ : are we held fast in this challenge ( $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ refers t0) 494) so that we may not be saved (as regards our safety) ? The MSS. reading $\kappa \epsilon \dot{u} \chi \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ gives no tolerable sense. For the simple inf. after verbs of hindrance see Goorlw. §80\%. Cf. Thuc. 125 èv



499. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ corroborates after a simple assent. See on Hel. 136,
 Aesch. Eium. 435.
.oo. Efr': any more. Elmsley's 'Apreiwd is a great improvement at trifling cost.
502. Ėтol $\mu \eta$, for which perhaps. Dobree was right in sulstituting itounos (901 n.), is commonly employed without the verbsubstantive ;
 1523 n .
mapiotaodat $\sigma \phi a y n \hat{n}$ : to med my death. Herwerden and Palmer suggested $\sigma \phi a \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, but the text is quite sound. The agents in
 oraotar--'to come near' (Soph. Ai. 48)-is used of the victim or his sympathisers, whose attitude is passive: inf. $56_{4}$, Alc. 10 o.
50. alpeotal. Wecklein prefers äpaб日aı without necessity. We might render the present 'to undergo the risk' )( 'to undertake' (aor.). aï $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi o ́ v o v s, ~ \nu \epsilon i ̂ k o s ~ e t c . ~ a r e ~ c o m m o n ~ i n ~ E u r . ~$
.06. $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \bar{\omega} \theta a \mathrm{a}$. Nauck's brilliant and attractive emendation $\sigma \phi \epsilon \sigma \hat{\psi} \sigma a t$ is adopted by Wecklein and Murray. But I prefer the reading of the MSS., because the point at issue is not the safety of the Athenians, but that of the Heraclidae. Macaria's first question was: is this the only obstacle to safcty? (498). Now she proceeds: my death will remove that obstacle, and safety is secured. There is of course a confusion in the form of words, since Macaria cannot be saved; a somewhat similar difficulty is noticed on 800 . For $\pi$ apòv cf. supr. 7.
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ Oaveiv is an alternative for the simple inf., as in 498.
507. oú $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ : surely' not, scil. $\phi \in v_{\S}^{\zeta} o ́ \mu \in \sigma \theta a$. So in Andr. 408
 if my life can save him.'
'̇ $\pi \in \mathfrak{i}$ roı kai. In this combination, first explained by Porson in an elaborate note on Med. $6_{75}$, kai strengthens the following word. Cf. 744.
d $\xi \iota a$. The use of the plural of the predicative adj. in place of the singular is an Ionicism, and is peculiar to Thucydides among writers of Attic prose. Headlam (Fourn. Phil. 26. 234) quotes many examples from Euripides, to which may be added ä $\xi \iota a$ Suppl.
 Alc. $80_{4}$.
508. $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \hat{v} \epsilon\llcorner\nu \dot{\jmath} \nu$ к. $\tau . \lambda$. is in fact, though not in form, subordinate to that which $\delta$ ' introduces. Tr.: 'while we sit and moan....'
510. kakov̀s: scil. b́vtas, as in 332 n .
 which might be regarded as a paraphrase of these words. 'How can this be seemly in the eyes of honest men?' For $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ see on 223 ,

511. oifal, bitterly ironical, like credo, opinor: 968.
đúxor: used absolutely only in verse, except when impersonal: cf. Soph. Phil. 275 ô̂' aúroîs $\tau$ úxol, Hel. 1290 n. Distinguish the cases where $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu$ is used with an ellipse of $\ddot{\omega} \nu$, for which see Rutherford's New Phrynichus p. $34^{2}$.
513. For the reading of the mss. see cr. n. The correction in both cases is made by the original scribe. Wecklein adopts Kirchhoff's ä $\tau \iota \mu a$, and Murray suggests $\tau i \delta^{\prime}$ ои́к $\begin{gathered} \\ \pi \\ \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \text {. The solution is }\end{gathered}$ doubtful, and, as the uncorrected reading may have been a simple blunder, I have retained the vulgate.
515. á $^{1} \eta \tau \epsilon$ vi $\omega$ : see cr. n. All editors follow Stephanus, but the change is not necessary. The future indic. is elsewhere closely combined with the deliberative subjunctive: Ion 758 єïT $\omega \mu \in \nu$ $\eta^{n}$
 $\sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$; Soph. Trach. $973 \tau i \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega ; \tau i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma o \mu a l ;$ Elmsley notes that the aor. subj. is commoner than the present in these questions. This is true, but does not prevent the employment of the present in a proper case.
516. $\delta \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ' emphasises the preceding word, as in Soph. Trach. $1219 \tau \eta ̀ \nu$ Eủputeíal oic $\theta a \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \theta \in \nu \partial \nu ;$
$\delta \eta$ here is practically equivalent to 'thereupon': 418 n .
519. кaкov่s. Note the strong emphasis thrown upon this word by its position.
520. à入入' oúdè $\mu$ év vol. 'But apart from this not even if....' The -ô (=even) of oúठ $\epsilon$ belongs to the hypothetical participles which follow.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \delta € \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. The genitive absolute is coordinated with another



 Liv. Nx11 28 it ferfugis indiantious at explorantem.
 their own benelit. The line is parenthetical, explaining why the last mentioned contingency has been introduced. The reasons for disregarding it follow.
524. There is a double anacoluthon here: (1) кóp $\nu$ ép $\rho \mu$ stands at the head of the sentence as if it were to be the common object of the two disjunctive clauses, but does not suit maworoteiv ; (2) $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi}$ aùr $\hat{\rho} \mathrm{m}$ might have been expected in place of $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 \hat{v}$.
526. ávakiav: scil. ô̂o av. Undeserving-because of my birth, which requires that I should act worthily of it; this is explained in what follows. So Polyxena in Hec. $37+\sigma v \mu \beta o u ́ \lambda o u ~ \delta e ́ ~ \mu o ı ~ \theta a v e i ̂ v ~$


кäv $\pi \rho \in \in \pi \pi$ о : see cr. n. The unqualified assertion of the ordinary reading is quite unsuitable, but the difficulty is not faced by those who retain it.
527. $\mu \eta$ is generic: 328. For the sentiment-noblesse oblige-

528. $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \ldots \tau$... $\delta \epsilon$ is a mere periphrasis for $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}:$ cf. 89 , Alc. 636
 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\text { v́ }}$

529. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu a \tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ : it was customary to wreathe the victim at a sacrifice. Cf. Lucr. I 87 (of Iphigenia) cui simul infula virgineos circumdata complus...profusast, Verg. georg. III $4^{87}$ saepe in honore deum medio stans hostia ad aram, lanea dum nivea circumdatur infula vitta.

катápxєб $\theta^{3}$ in ritual terminology denotes the act by which the sacrifice is begun. Hence specifically it is the cutting of the victim's hair to be cast into the fire ( $A l c .74$ ), but is also applied to $\chi \epsilon \rho \nu \iota \beta \in s$ and oủ入oxúrą (Od. III +45). In I. T. 622 (coll. 40) it is the sprinkling of the victim's hair with water. In inf. Gor it is used broadly in the sense of to consecrate. -This line violates Porson's well-known canon that the arsis of the 5 th foot must be short if it consists of the last syllable of a polysyllabic word. If the final cretic is composed of more than one word, the rule still applies, unless, when it is made up of a long monosyllable and an iambic word, the monosyllable belongs to the preceding rather than to the
following word : see 303 . Two of the most notable exceptions will be found at Ion I and Alc. 67 I . Hermann explained the latter as due to the pause in the $4^{\text {th }}$ foot, and thought that in the former the unusual rhythm was suitable to the description of a laborious effort. No such excuse will save this verse, but the conjectures-катá $\rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$
 Verrall's каi $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \mu \mu a$ тои̂т́́ $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon i \kappa$. $\delta$. assumes that the $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \eta$ of $v .7 \mathrm{I}$ could be and were utilised for the victim's $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \mu \mu a$.

53I. ékov̂бa кoúk äкovбa. This pleonasm has no other purpose than that of emphatic assertion, as in $A n d r .357$ є́ко́vтєs oúk äкоутєs
 $6_{13}$ oủx $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \circ \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ is probably right.) In other passages there may be a more definite significance: Jebb on Soph. O.T. 1230. It is noteworthy that the device is common in Herodotus : e.g. II 43 oú $\eta \eta_{\kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$.

 is no closer parallel than Soph. O.T. г $48 \hat{\omega}^{\omega}{ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \xi a \gamma \gamma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota=w h a t$ he promises of himself. For the simple verb cf. Soph. Ai. ${ }_{37} 6$ Teúкрழ...à $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda о \mu a \iota . . . \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \phi i \lambda o s$.
533. єüp $\eta \mu a$ could not be combined with $\eta \dot{v} \rho \eta \kappa$ ' without an attribute, unless it had come to connote something more than the verb ( 990 n.), and in spite of El. 606 it is probable that Euripides would have shrunk from so employing it : see Med. 553, 716, Kon 1518. It is strictly not a cogn. acc. but what Delbriick calls an accusative of result ( $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \kappa о s ~ o v ่ \tau a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota, \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon i \nu)$. Cf. the concrete and somewhat colloquial use of the Engl. find (sb.).
$\mu \eta$ ф $\left\langle\lambda 0 \psi u \times 0 \hat{0} \sigma^{\prime}\right.$ : a generic negative with causal implication is entirely in place here, 'quae non nimis amans vitae sim,' but has been doubted on grammatical grounds. Madvig's ingenious $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\phi \iota \lambda 0 \psi \cup \chi 0 \hat{v} \sigma i \quad \gamma \epsilon$ deserves mention, but is quite unnecessary: see Appendix.
535. фєv̂: admirantis, as in $55^{2}$.
$\mu$ éyav 入óyov, proud speech, nearly always carries with it a suggestion of blame (Soph. Ai. $3^{67}$ etc.), but cf. Bacch. $1233 \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho$, $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau о \nu ~ к о \mu \pi a ́ \sigma a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \rho \in \sigma \tau i ́ ~ \sigma o l, \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho i ́ \sigma \tau a s$ $\theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a \iota$.

538. SpáctevV: carry into effect. The verb is used as if $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a$ órepa were the common object, but the awkwardness is in
some measure excused by the constant juxtaposition of $\lambda$ éreu and


 द́ $\mu$ ós．．．êtıктє бò̀ ка́pa．

540．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ after $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \theta \theta \epsilon$ has the force of $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$ or $\pi \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu$ ．This is


 for the colon which is printed after excivou，by which the construc－ tion of the passage appears to me to be simplified and its force increased．
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．If＇Hpaк入ท̂os has to go（see cr．n．），as is now generally admitted，I much prefer Hartung＇s＇Hрák $\lambda_{\epsilon t o v ~ t o ~ E l m s-~}^{\text {－}}$ ley＇s＇Hрáк入єlos；Matthiae，perhaps rightly，doubts if＇Hpáк入єlos can stand alone：cf．I．A． $5^{2} 4$ тò ジıó́申єıov $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a, ~ I . ~ T . ~ 988 ~ \tau o ̀ ~ T a \nu \tau \alpha ́-~$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu a$ ．Tr．：＇Seed thou art of that divine intelligence，true to thy sire．＇This very remarkable phrase has received little or no attention from the commentators，who seem to assume that $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu$ ós is a synonym of $\psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ，and that $\tau \hat{\eta} s \theta \in i a s ~ \phi \rho \in \nu o ́ s$ is no more significant than $\theta$ elov marpós would have been：an examination of the use of $\phi \rho \eta_{\nu}$ in Euripides will soon dispel any such idea．I believe that the language was suggested by current philosophical speculation． It is at any rate worth notice that Anaxagoras treated the brain as the first development of the fetus，because it is the starting－point of sensation，and was much occupied with an explanation of the likeness between children and their parents（Diels，Fragm．der Vorsukr．c． 46 A ro8， 1 II ：cf．Arist．de gen．anim．Iv 3 p． 769 a 9）． －Although the translation of Heracles（ 910 ）is quite sufficient to account for $\theta$ eias，there is not improbably also a reference to the divine origin of the human $\nu$ oûs，which is expressly asserted by Euripides in fr． 839 and elsewhere ：see now J．Adam in Cambridge Praelections p． 38 ff．

541．aiбXúvoual refers back to 474，which will also illustrate
 какоis is simpler，because the speaker＇s own acts are referred to．

543． $\mathfrak{\epsilon} v \delta \mathbf{L} \omega \omega \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ．A collection of these forms is given by Dobree（Adv．II p．208）and by Elmsley here，from which it appears that they are more common in Thucydides than in other writers．
 doubtful：Jebb on Soph．O．C． 1579.

545．$\lambda a x$ veva．The idea of a gambling hazard was entirely foreign to the Athenian conception of the lot．Originally adopted under religious sanction，it was ultimately regarded as the chief instrument of the democratic constitution，by which equal rights were guaranteed to all the citizens．

547．ov̉k äv Өávorцเ：344．
548．Xápıs：grace，i．e．the benevolence which prompts an offering of free will．Cf．Arist．rhet．II 7 p． 1385 a 18 ぞбт $\omega$ ò



549．＇̇v $\delta \in \in \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ：approve．The word is not used by Aesch． or Soph．Elsewhere in Eur．，as commonly in Herodotus，it is followed by an acc．rei．Here the object must be supplied from $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu \nu \psi \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \delta i \delta \omega \mu \mu$ ，i．e．my offer，and the words $\kappa \alpha i \ldots \pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega$ are an enlargement of $\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．For the absolute use cf．Thuc．vil 49


550．$\pi \rho 0 \theta \dot{v} \mu, \omega$ is predicative，to accept my zeal．Cf．Xen．


555．入óyov：scil．$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o b$ ．
556．ov่ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \ldots \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ is the negative of $\kappa a i \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ： I 30 ．
557．$\delta^{\prime}$ ：the addition is necessary，since an asyndeton is out of place here．－$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ is：pres．in future sense：sup．${ }_{5} 59$ ，inf． 1049. Wilamowitz calls this the dynamic present，which is a better and more comprehensive name than conative．

558．бофஸ̂s кє入єúєเs：prudently thou dost abstain．The con－ nexion of the following words is：－don＇t suppose that I wish to implicate you．Wecklein boldly substitutes $\phi v \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$ ，but this is unnecessary ；for，although кє $\kappa \epsilon$ éєıs appears to contradict 556 ，the expression of a reluctance to interfere is treated as a positive warning not to be influenced by him．Musgrave well observes that the reticence of Iolaus was prompted by the fear of incurring blood－ guiltiness，if he urged the sacrifice．Cf．H．F．721．
 even if self－sought，involves $\mu i \alpha \sigma \mu a$ ，and certain forms of purification would be incumbent on the relatives（Plat．legg．Ix 873 c，D）．But if Iolaus became the instigator of her death，he would incur pollution as much as any other homicide（cf．гò $\tau o u ́ \tau o v ~ \mu i \alpha \sigma \mu a ~ A n t i p h . ~ 4 \gamma .6) . ~$.

The $\mu$ la $\mu \mu$ was regarded as a physical infection : ef. the Aeschylean $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \lambda_{\eta}^{\prime}(E u m, 600, A \xi \cdot 39 \mathrm{I})$.
559. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu}=\mu \dot{\eta} \mu c \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi$ ?ुs. For this use of the inf. see Goodw. § 374 .
tievelfpos: friee from all constraint; no one else must be responsible for my act. The same thought, the dignity of a voluntary act,
 $\mu \in \theta \in \nu \tau e s \kappa \tau \in l \nu a \tau^{\prime}$. Cf. Or. 1170 , fr. 247.

Oávo : the hortative first person sing. subj. is generally preceded by an introductory word (Goodw. § 257). Here the way is prepared by $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta s$.

561 . $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda$ गots $8 \grave{\epsilon} \kappa$. T. $\lambda$. This prompting of modesty is described more fully in the case of Polyxena : Hec. 568 ff .
562. 'Since as for death, I will face its danger.' Cf. 502. $\gamma \in$ contrasts $\sigma \phi a \gamma \dot{n}$, the actual death which she does not fear, with the sense of loneliness expressed in 560 . For $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon t v o ̀ v ~ l e ́ v a u ~ c f . ~$

 article with $\sigma \phi$ वरो今s generalizes: see on Hel .500 .
563. єёХоцаи: scil. $\pi \in ф$ ике́val.
565. où 8 ': see on 257.
 фоvé̈rate, Soph. O. C. ${ }^{1276}$ (Jehb). This usage is originally elliptical: here $=$ 'never mind yourself, but....' The construction of $\chi$ pís ${ }^{\prime} \omega$ c. gen. pers. and inf. is characteristic of Herodotus: e.g. V 19

 $\theta \epsilon \dot{d} \omega \nu)$ survives here and there in addresses: Hec. $716 \hat{\omega}$ кад $\dot{\alpha} p a \tau^{\prime}$ дं $\nu \delta \bar{\rho} \hat{\omega} v$.
568. коб $\mu \epsilon \hat{i} \theta$ at is a word appropriate to funeral rites: Hel. 1062 (n.).


 There are similar reasons for emphasis in Hel. 118. Cf. Aesch. Eum. 34, Soph. Ai. 993, Ant. $76_{4}$.
573. тporelmoû́'. Notice that the participle, as often, bears the main stress of the sentence. $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \nu$ is used of bidding farewell in Ion $66_{5} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi\left(\lambda \omega \nu \nu \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu^{\prime}\right.$ à $\theta \rho o i ́ \sigma a s \ldots \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \pi \epsilon$, $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ P.
 The mss. have apparently been corrupted at the end of the line by the introduction of $\mu 0$ from 574 . It is in favour of Blomfield's correction as against Elmsley's $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \mu \alpha$ ón that Eur. elsewhere prefers the plural of this noun.

 such wisdom as is yours to meet every case.' So Aesch. Eum. 538

576. $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \nu \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$. Elsewhere in Eur. we find an echo of the

 $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \phi \omega \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$.
ápкє́धovor is personalised: we should say, 'it will suffice.' Soph.
 capt. 757 satis sum semel deceptus.
577. $\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu o s \ddot{\omega} \nu:$ with all thy heart. The partic. is equiva-
 öбov $\sigma \epsilon \delta \in \hat{\imath}$. The next line explains the closeness of the tie which claims Iolaus' supreme effort on this occasion. There is a general
 by Paley). Wecklein follows Kirchhoff in substituting кai for $\mu \dot{\eta}$, thus making $\theta a \nu \epsilon i \nu \nu$ depend on $\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu o s$.
579. ©̈pav yá $\mu$ ou forms a single idea, and the article is not required: cf. 562 n .
580. karӨavou $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ agrees with ${ }^{0} p a \nu$ but follows the sense rather than the expression, as if $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\omega} p a i a v ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu o v ~ o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ preceded. The abstract is preferred to the concrete, as e.g. in Soph.

581. $\dot{\delta} \mu \lambda \lambda(a$ : company'. For the periphrasis ( $=0 i \dot{o} \mu \iota \lambda o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ) cf. Alc. 606 ả $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \Phi \epsilon \rho a i ́ \omega \nu ~ \epsilon \cup ̉ \mu \epsilon \nu \grave{̀} s \pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i a$.

582, 3. ö $\sigma \omega v \pi a ́ p o i \theta \in v$ : for the enjoyment of which (cf. 536).
 here equivalent to the vital principle, as in Hec. $1025 \dot{\alpha} \lambda i \mu \in \nu \dot{\partial} \nu \tau$ ts $\dot{\omega}$ s
 $\theta a \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \mu o s ~ \tau u ́ \chi a, ~ \gamma u ́ v a \iota, ~ \sigma a ́ v, ~ \tau a ́ \lambda a \iota \nu ’, ~ \epsilon ̈ ß a ~ к а р \delta i ́ a \nu ; ~ B a d h a m ~ c o n j e c-~$ tured $\sigma \phi a \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma \epsilon \tau a l}$, but no change seems necessary.
587. vóotos refers to the return of the Heraclidae to the Peloponnese, which was not accomplished until after the lapse of
several generations. Temenus, who eventually recovered Argos from Tixamenus the son of Orestes, was great-grandson of Hyllus (Apolloct. bibl, 11 8. 1-3). Eur. wrote a play called by his name.
ex $\theta_{\epsilon \omega \bar{v}}$. The use of $i \kappa$ to express the agent is one of the idioms which are common to the tragg. and Herodotus. It is characteristic of the Ionic dialect, and hardly occurs at all in Attic prose. Cf.
 from ino as expressing the head and fount of authority.

58S. ஸ́s Oáqua xpeẃv, i.... in Argos. This is illustrated by Pausan. 1 41. 1, describing the dispute which arose among the Heraclids, as to whether Alcmena should be buried at Thebes or at Argos. Macaria, as a daughter of Deianira, belongs to the Argive branch.
wंs: honu-rarely so used in indirect questions for öm $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ : cf. Dem.

589. Sikaıov: scil. Aáyal.
 is adverbial.

इ91. Tábe is the memory of her renunciation. Cf. I. A. 1398

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \notin o i n ~ r o i ̂ s ~ \tau a \lambda a u \pi \dot{\omega} \rho o ı s ~ \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho a$. These parallels emphasise the difficulty of coordinating $\pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon i a s$ with $\pi a i \hat{\delta} \omega \nu$, where $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$ might have been expected. I do not see how the mss. reading can be explained, without doing violence to $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i$, and have ventured to substitute $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ for $\kappa a i$, which gives a simple sense. Cf. C. I. A. $4^{6} 9$
 тои̂тo 入aरoû' ờома.

ミ93. Elsewhere the sentiment is definitely expressed that death



 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \dot{\partial} \delta \bar{\epsilon} \pi a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$.
595. $\tau \mathrm{s}$ s, like the Germ. man and our one, takes the place of a personal pronoun. The usage is especially colloquial : Cycl. 309
 Cf. 866.

595 f. For the sentiment cf. fr. 830 oi $\delta^{\prime}$ ò $\lambda \omega \lambda$ ó $\boldsymbol{7}$ es oú $\delta \dot{e} \nu$
 $\lambda \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota ~ к а к \omega ิ \nu$;
597. $\mu$ ќyıбтov: adverbial acc., a development of the cognate:

599. $\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is governed by $\tau \iota \mu \omega \tau a \dot{\tau} \tau \eta$ ' $\epsilon \sigma \eta$ : cf. Thuc. I r 30

 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.
600. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ gives the reason why in Macaria's presence, now consecrated, he will utter no words of ill-omen. At 602 Macaria has left the stage.- $\theta \in a ́ v:$ the acc. (for which cf. Hec. $18 \mathrm{r} \tau i \mu \epsilon \delta v \sigma \phi \eta$ $\mu \mathrm{i}$; ;) follows the analogy of other verbs of addressing: KühnerGerth $\S 409,2$ a 3 .

6oI. катท̂pктal: 528 n . Note that the passive corresponds to катáp $\neq \mu a l$, a middle verb governing a genitive case: so El. 1142 каขоиิv $\delta^{\prime}$ є̇ทŋิрктає.
604. aủrov̂: here-on the spot. Iolaus does not leave the stage (cf. 344, 632 ). His cloak is to be wrapped over his head as a

 967, Soph. Ai. 245 , Aesch. Cho. 80.
605. oűтє... Tє: 454.
$\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu$ '́vots. Elmsley says 'de re futura loquitur tanquam de praeterita,' but the words do not imply that the sacrifice is completed : they only refer to Macaria's self-devotion.
607. $\sigma u \mu \phi \circ \rho a$ in the strong subjective sense of grief: Verrall on Med. 54. Note the contrast with the still stronger är $\eta-$ ruinn, destruction.

608 f . The sentiment is taken from Theognis 165 f . oú $\delta \epsilon$ is
 $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta$ ós. For the metres of this chorus see Appendix C.
$\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ärєp: without the will of heaven. Bacch. $76_{4}$ oủk ằ $\epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ тıvós, Hor. Od. 3. 4. 20 non sine dis animosus infans.
$609 .{ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha$ : here in the sense of mortal: Hel. 490 (n.). Plato, so using it in rep. $5_{5} 6 \mathrm{E}$, approaches the style of poetry.

6 ro. ' $\mu \beta \epsilon \beta$ ával. Elsewhere, when $\beta \epsilon \beta$ ával is used in this sense ( $=$ to be planted, established), it is accompanied by the dat. with $\dot{\epsilon} v$.


 iv rixaus $\beta \in \beta \eta \kappa \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$, inf. 9 ro. The use of the simple dat. cannot be paralleled, and for this reason I have introduced ' $\mu \beta \epsilon \beta$ ávac. For the prodelision of. Soph. O.C. 400. For the confusion of metaphor (whence Busche proposed $\pi 0 \tau^{\prime}$ èv for $\delta \delta \mu o \nu$, and Schmidt ôpó $\mu o \nu$ єủrvxlas) see on 486.
611. mapà...ädav: after another, i.e. outstripping it. Cf. Alc.
 that $\pi a \rho$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{f} \rho a \nu$ sometimes means 'on alternate days': Pind. Pyth. II. 63 .
612. Sเம́кєt: scil. $\delta \delta \mu o v$. The verb need not be regarded as intransitive. The instability of fortune is one of Eur.'s favourite commonplaces. Cf. Hel. 7 II ff. and see Douglas Thomson, Euripides and the Attic Orators, p. 62 f., who collects many illustrations.
 completes the metaphor of the low cabin contrasted with the lofty hall. Cf. fr. $4^{2} 4 \mu l^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \lambda \epsilon \nu \dot{\psi} \psi \dot{\psi} \theta \epsilon \nu, \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\eta} \rho^{\prime}$ ă $\nu \omega$,

 2. 89 , Luc. evang. I. 52, Hor. Od. 1. 34. 12 ualet ima summis mutare et insignem attenuat deus, obscura promens. For the tense see Goodw. § 155. . $\dot{\psi} \psi \eta \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ is therefore neuter : from his height.
614. à $\lambda \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau a \nu$ is condemned by metre, but has not been convincingly emended. Of the many guesses Lobeck's áritav is perhaps least open to objection. Murray suggests $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \in \tau \alpha \nu$, with a reference to the common form of slave-punishment (Cycl. 240).
615. Aépus. Wecklein well compares Hor. od. 1. 24. 20 quicquid corrigere est nefas.
616. $\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu o s: ~ s c i l . ~ \dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$. áєi: to be joined with


618. $\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega ่ v$ : fainting. This sense of $\pi \rho 0 \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \omega \nu$ is vouched by the use of $\pi \rho o \pi \epsilon \tau \eta$ 's in Soph. Track. 976. See cr. n.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$ : the dispensation of the gods: Hel. ir 40 ôs $\tau \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i$.
621. Өavátov $\mu$ épos: death-portion, a genitive of definition, like Өavátov te入єutá Med. ${ }^{5} 53$.
622. $\tau^{\prime}$ suffers trajection since it belongs logically to $\dot{\alpha} \delta \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ :
 Examples like the present are common in prose : cf. e.g. Thuc. Iv 8 ävev $\tau \epsilon$ vavpaxías кai кıvórvov (Elmsley). See also nn. on Hel. 587, 769 .
 good fame; hence the distinction of the grammarian Herennius
 witz on H.F. 292):

625 is an echo of Hesiod's well-known line : Op. 289 т $\hat{\eta} s \delta^{\prime}$ d $\rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$

 $\mu^{\circ} \mathrm{x} \theta \omega v$ is well-worn, so that it can even be applied in such an expression as $A n d r .416$ òà $\phi \imath \lambda \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad i \omega v$.
626. ägıa $\mu \grave{v} \nu . . . a \xi \xi_{\iota} \delta^{\prime}$. See on 49 r.
629. $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \chi \boldsymbol{\chi} \omega$ $\sigma$ ot, in the sense of ' I share your feelings,' without any defining genitive, is very unusual Greek.

For the lacuna after this verse see Introd. p. xxxiv.
632. ola....tapovoia. Here $\gamma$ ' belongs to the whole clause'at least so far as my presence avails.' ón qualifies oia, but in
 or should be taken with $\pi$ odतoús. The antecedent to oila is the quality of the verbal action expressed by $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ : cf. Tro. 1143
 idiom is more familiar in Latin : Roby $\S 1715$.
634. oikeios, fem. : gor n. Tr.:-'a family sorrow.' Iolaus is made to cut short the curiosity of the messenger, so as to avoid telling the spectators what they already know.

бveєхór $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ : see cr. n. Some scholars hold that the Homeric passive form $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\delta} \mu \eta \nu$ survived here and there in Attic. Thus there is a division of opinion as to the correct reading in Hipp. 27 карólav
 well established in Plat. Phaedr. ${ }^{2}+4$ E. But there is no such evidence for $\sigma v \nu \in \sigma \chi \dot{\beta} \eta \nu$, and it is perhaps safer to follow Elmsley here.
635. Feavtóv. The open form of the reflexive is very rare in Euripides (Wilamowitz on H.F. 970).

637．$\gamma \in \mu$ еviol： 267 n ．
639．$\pi$ evéorns．This is the name of the Thessalian senf class， which corresponded to the Spartan helots．Intermediate between them and the aristocracy was a subject class－representing originally the conquered people incorperated in the community by the Dorian invaders－parallel to the Spartan $\pi$ efiooko（Plat．rep． 547 c）． See Whibley＇s Groek Oligarchies \＆so．There was no such class at Athens，and the name appears appropriately in conjunction with Hyllus，the ancestor of the Dorian mobility．Cf．fr． 827 入adpots


dopa，generally equivalent to num as here，appears occasionally （rarely in prose：Plat．Prot． 358 c ）after one or more words．The effect of this order is to emphasise $\eta \pi \kappa \epsilon s$ ：＇hast thou come indeed？＇ Elmsley prefers to punctuate after $\eta_{\kappa \kappa \epsilon t s, ~ b u t ~ t h i s ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ v e r y ~}^{\text {a }}$ unpleasing．See also Ar．Vesp． 234 （Starkie）．

Porson treated this line as containing a violation of the cretic rule and substituted $\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu \sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ for $\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \nu \hat{\psi} \nu$ ．But the metre is really unoljectionable on Porson＇s own principle，since，although $\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu$ is not an enclitic，it belongs at least as much to $\sigma \omega r \grave{\eta} \rho$ as to $\beta \lambda \alpha_{\beta} \beta \bar{s}$ ， and is not therefore＇quaevis denique vox quae ad sequentia potius quam praecedentia pertineat．＇Cf．Soph．O．C． 1543 山̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \phi \dot{\omega}$

$6_{4}$ ．kai $\pi \rho$ ós $\gamma$＇．$\gamma$＇stresses the adv．：cf．Hel．ito（n．）． ＇And，what is more，your happiness is at this very moment assured＇- not merely，as Iolaus＇words suggest，is there a chance of deliverance．ráóe limits $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\nu} v($ munc maxime）．Cf．Herod．


${ }^{6}+3$ ．Tov̂ $\delta \epsilon$ ，if not absolutely necessary，is a great improvement．
$6_{4+}$ ．$\pi$ ádal $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．：youl were wasting in anxiety as to the return of those who have now appeared．Grammatically $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi$ ． might stand alone as causal gen．with woilvovo a，but cannot actually be separated from the subordinate clause $\nu$ ．$\epsilon i \gamma$ ．，which expresses the proper object of Alcmena＇s anxiety．Thus the gen．often prepares the way for the subordinate clause：Thuc． 168 T仑ิv $\lambda \in \gamma \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$



 vóoros is the return of Hyllus and his brothers to the rest of the party after the separation mentioned in 45 ., not simply $=$ aduentus, as Elmsley.
 same contrast (oxymoron) appears in Or. 68 山́s $\tau \dot{a} \gamma^{\prime} a ̈ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon ́ \pi '$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ ovs $\dot{\rho} \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta s$ ó $\chi o v ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\circ}$.

651 . ท̂ $\tau a ̈ \rho a(=\tau o \iota ~ a ̈ p a)$, a very strong asseveration, occurs seven times in Eur. (Elmsley). It is combined with the opt. also

652. ' ' $\tau$ ': any more.

єi with fut. ind. is here minatory : Goodw. § 447 -
653. ov̉ ка入ิิิ, ' dishonourably' (meiosis).
654. $\mu \eta$ خ т $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \boldsymbol{\eta}$ s is not 'cease trembling,' which would require the present imperative, but simply 'fear not ': see Class. Rev. xvini p. 262.
656. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in questions expresses surprise: Hel. 576 n .
ßoŋ̀v $\notin \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a s:$ see on 73. The metaphorical use of ä $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s$ is

657. $\sigma \grave{\epsilon}$ is governed by $\beta \circ \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a s$. This is not uncommon in poetry in place of the usual dat. after verbs of addressing (cf.


658. oúk ไٌ $\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ : 'I don't understand' $(=\epsilon \not \epsilon \nu \nu \nu)$ : for oi $\delta a$ has no
 oủk oîóa тoüтos тoûto. Cf. Suppl. ıo9. Hermann's ท̂ $\hat{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu$, adopted by many subsequent editors, is mistaken.
$\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho$ here gives the reason for her perplexity : contrast $\sigma_{5} 6$.
659. $\eta_{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \tau \alpha$. For the participle taking the place of the usual infin. after a verbum declarandi see Goodw. § 9ro.
660. кal $\sigma \dot{v}$ : the epitatic (Shilleto on F.L. § 30) use of кai has given some trouble. Thus Wecklein supposes a line to have been lost. An excellent example will be found in Plat. ret. 573 D
 asked a riddle by one who knows the answer replies: 'you tell me.' See also Hel. 758, 1280, Hipp. 224. Here its employment is so foreign to our methods of speech, that it can hardly be rendered by a separate word without exaggeration or perversion. For the causal dative see on 474 .
661. $\tau i$ and $\pi$ rov both belong to äreort. Cf. Mil. $1543 \pi$ wis ik

662. $\sigma 0 \mu \phi o \rho \alpha$, 'hap,' in its neutral sense.
663. Eev̂po qualifies фavévea regarled as a verb, of motion. Wecklein suggests $\mu 0 \lambda_{0}$ rita, but ef. Bacih. 646, II. F. 705 .
$66_{4}$. $\sigma$ rparos. All the edd. adopt $\sigma r p a r o ̀ v:$ see cr. n . I have retained orparos for the following reasons. ка日li¢ is intrans. in Thuc. 111 ro7 (L. and S. require correction here), although it is true that orparò кatljetv (of the general) represents his normal usage. Then, rá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma a$, if middle-although in itself quite defensible: Audr. 1099, cf. supr. 397-is awkward with ka0ijec, and not readily distinguishable from tá $\sigma \sigma o v \tau a(676)$.

665 . $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is temporal and affects the whole clause: no longer noti. For the sense, reflecting Athenian manners, cf. H.F. 535 .
669. äd $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, since $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda$ oí cannot be described as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu$ ós, means ' beyond this,' i.c. more exactly: cf. Hel. 37 n . The Academics distinguished $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os from $\dot{\alpha} \rho t \theta \mu$ ós as the principle of indeterminate plurality (Plut. de def. or. 37, p. 429 A).
671. kal $\delta \eta{ }_{\eta}$ : and ere this.
képas. This acc. ('he is posted on the wing') is a special development of the cognate : cf. Suppl. $657 \delta \epsilon \xi \check{\iota}$ д̀ $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu o u s ~ к \epsilon ́ \rho a s, ~$ Isocr. 14. 6I toùs tảvavtia tapara̧̧auévous. Similarly Suppl. 987

672. $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho:$ as in 656.
ws és épyov. '́s states the object aimed at and $\dot{\omega} s$ the intention of the agent-' with a view to the action.' Cf. Soph. Ai. $4+\dot{\eta}$ kai
 тарабкєvaऍouévous ஸ́s ès $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$.
673. The sacrifice before a battle belongs to the class known as piacular) (honorific; and the shedding of the blood of the victim ( $\sigma \phi \dot{a} \gamma \iota o \nu$, not $i \in \rho \in i o \nu$ )-normally an animal in substitution for the human victim of earlier times-is essential (cf. 820). The use of the victim by $\mu a \operatorname{\nu } \tau \epsilon \iota s$ for purposes of divination is in conception entirely distinct from the yielding-up to a jealous power of the life which it exacts, but in practice the two acts were connected together. It was the function of the $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon u$ s to strike down the victim (Or. 1603 ).
ékás should not be altered ( $\pi$ é̀as Dindorf, $\pi$ ápos Hartung). Murray quotes Thuc. VI 69 , which shows that the victims were
 sive conflict was initiated. This verse describes an earlier stage, when they are kept in readiness, but at a distance from the fighting line.
674. móvov tt: about how far.


 mand. Wecklein suggest $\epsilon \in \leftrightarrow v o i \mu \epsilon \theta a$, probably considering that inability to hear is not an adequate reason.
678. тoủpòv $\mu$ е́pos: adverbial acc.. qualifying éptuors. Cf.
 19. 33 тò тaútns $\mu$ épos oủ $\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon i a s$ eैтvХєv.
680. kă. $\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ : scil. єi $\mu \mathrm{l}$.
 of 'as it is fitting that we should.' Elsewhere the personal construction bears the meaning 'as it seems " (He?. ;93 n.), but проб宛由
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ joios mapaftateiv. pilois is governed by maportes. Though
 for it is usual to accommodate the case of the object to the participle, where the main verb takes another case.
 be equated with éori, but refers to Iolaus' expressed resolution in 680 as already past. For $\pi$ poos (lit. proceeding from) cf. H.F. $\mathrm{E}_{5} 5$


683. kai... $\gamma^{\prime}$ : see on Hil. 110. Here $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ must be taken to emphasise $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \in i v$.

 onwards the comic tone of the scene is unmistakable: I have suggested a possible reason in the Introd. p. xvi.
 (coll. 738), with Peroos in 686. Murray stands alone in emphatically dissenting. If the Mss. reading is to be maintained, we must supply ôpâs from $68_{4}$, and understand ôi dorloos as=in hattle. For

 Schol. explains $\epsilon$ is $\mu k x \eta$ ). There is a reasin in favour of the mis.
reating which has not been noticed. With Ahoos in Gs6, $\pi$ poivere is illogical, since we must supply toî Oeveiv. But, if olievors is retained, we supply roí opary with $\pi$ pobolle, and the sense results:- you might have the strength, but before dealing a blow would yourself be overthrown.'

Wecklein gives the following order :-683, 688-690, 685-687, $68_{4} .691$. The principal advantage gained is the supposed improvement in the position of 684 ; but the reasons for adopting such a drastic change are very far from convincing.
688. $\widehat{\omega}$ râv: 321.
689. $\mu \mathrm{ax}$ oûvrau. Madvig's emendation saves 690 from pointlessness, and fits 688: i.c. 'though my strength be small, I shall not diminish the numbers of the fighting line.' He apologises for his weakness. With $\mu а \chi o u ̂ \mu a \iota ~ t h e ~ s e n s e ~ i s: ~ ' I ~ s h a l l ~ f i g h t ~ a g a i n s t ~ n o ~$ fewer than in former times.'
d $\lambda \lambda$ ' oűv... $\gamma$ ': 'reell, at any rate,' with some emphasis thrown on maxoîvtal. Cf. Soph. El. $233 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oivy củvoía $\gamma^{\prime}$ avi $\delta \hat{\omega}$, after the consolations of the speaker have been rejected.

690 . $\sigma \eta \eta^{\kappa} \omega \mu \alpha$. 'The addition of your weight to the scale in your friends' favour is but slight.' Cobet reintroduced here and elsewhere the form $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau i \theta \epsilon i s$, condemned by most scholars in Attic Greek since the time of Porson (on Or. 141).

 that I shall not stop.' - On the ground that ov rather than $\mu$ n accompanies the participle when combined with is, Kirchhoff altered $\mu \dot{\eta}$ to $\mu^{\prime}$ ov. But the rule is not absolute (cf. [Dem.] 26. 2 I
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa о \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon)$, and here $\sigma o \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \alpha ́ p a$ is equivalent to an imperative, which generally demands $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (Jebb on O.C. 1154). Exactly parallel is Thuc. I 120 र $\rho \grave{\eta} \ldots \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$ какоѝs крıтàs $\dot{\omega} s \mu \eta ̀ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \kappa \delta ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ єival.
694. ó $\pi \lambda i ́ r \eta s$ (see cr. 11.) gives an improved sense, and it should be noted that the dat. oin $\lambda i \tau \alpha c s$, if genuine, is less suitable than the acc. with a prep. would have been in this context. Contrast El.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ̈ \lambda \iota \kappa a s ~ \phi a ́ v \eta \theta \iota ~ \sigma a ́ s . ~$
697. そิิvtєs is conditional, ' if I live.'
$\theta$ eós : i.e. Z Z v̀s ả $\gamma \mathrm{opaîos} \mathrm{(70)}$.

698．ка́тò $\pi a \sigma \sigma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ．The custom of hanging up the armour taken in battle in the temples of the victors＇gods is sufficiently familiar（Andr． $1122 \kappa \rho \epsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon u ́ \chi \eta \pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s$, Tro．575）． Pausanias（ 115.4 ）saw in the Stoa Poecile at Athens certain shields said to be those taken from the Spartans at Sphacteria．

699．o $\pi \lambda i(\tau \eta \nu$ ，used here as an adj．：cf．753．Especially those nouns which express occupation，rank or age are so employed ：


7or．$\tau 0$ v̀s $\mu \grave{v} \nu \mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ is logically subordinate，since ai $\sigma \chi \rho$ ． oik．is defined by $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ keeping for some to hold back through cowardice，while others are
 $\tau \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ dè $\nu$ ó $\sigma \tau o \nu$ á $\rho о \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ．The idiom is very common in the orators：see e．g．Dem．18． 1 （fo．

反єi入iáa，causal dat．： 1 zo．
706．$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma$ chaxє $\uparrow \nu$ ，＇to change one＇s mind，＇occurs in Attic
 $\kappa o \iota \nu \partial ̀ \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta o ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\imath} \dot{u} \mu \omega \hat{\nu} a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．In the main however it is an Ionic word（Herodotus）．There is no warrant for Wecklein＇s translation， consilio（non manu）certare．

т $\dot{\eta} v ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a v$ ，abstract for concrete as in 580 ．$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a$ ，like our ＇age，＇varies in meaning according to the context，but，whereas ＇age＇unqualified tends to be limited to old age，$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \kappa$ generally expresses youthful manhood．See however Plat．Lach．180 D oưto
 Since the reference to Iolaus is clearly indicated，it does not appear necessary to alter $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ to $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}$（Bothe）or $\sigma \grave{\eta} \nu$（Porson）．

## 708．$\pi a ́ \lambda เ ข ~ a \hat{\theta} \theta เ ร: 487$.

709．$\tau i$ х $\rho \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ：why $(633,646)$ ？Strictly an adv．acc． developed from the cognate：so $\mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu, \delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ etc．
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \rho \epsilon \omega \omega \hat{v}$ oúk $\epsilon ้ \nu \delta o v:$ out of your wits．Cf．Aesch．Cho． 232

 import see Ar．Vesp． 642 кäбт兀v oủk ่̇̀ aútô̂（Starkie＇s n．）．

710．Something like Vitelli＇s supplement seems to me essential： see 41 etc．－For $\sigma \dot{v} \boldsymbol{y}$ and its case qualifying the object see 249 ．－ $\lambda_{\iota \pi \epsilon \mathrm{i} v}$ ：the aor．inf．after $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ is well established in Euripides： see on Hel． 1046.

7 If ．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda k \dot{\eta}$ ：ballle．For exx．see on Hel． $4^{2}$ and cf．Pind．Ol．
 is an echo of IIom. II. V1 qyo ff. à $\lambda \lambda$ ' cis oixov ioifa qà $\sigma$ ' aúrท̂s ' $p \gamma a$ $\kappa \delta \mu \iota \xi \epsilon \ldots \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu$ оs $\delta^{\prime} \not{ }^{2} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \sigma \sigma \epsilon$.
714. Xpröwvtat rúx $n$ is a euphemism, like our meet with ant acialent. In prose the phrase sometimes means little more than by
 Xen. de achat. 5. 29 (hares owing to their speed are not often over-

 $\chi \rho \bar{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ (Eur. Med. 347, Isae. 7. 8).
716. yáp: indecd-in assent. Cf. Soph. Phil. 756 ôelvóv $\gamma є$
 whether this use of $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ is due to an ellipse, or is to be assigned to its original meaning as derived from $\gamma \epsilon a ̈ p a$.
 takes int and sometimes $\pi \rho o ́ s$ c. gen. For $\epsilon \in \kappa$ cf. Theocr. 29. 21 ai

719. "övos: true to a sacred obligation. It expresses the reciprocal relations existing between gods and men, whereas oikalos strictly refers to the duties of human society. Cf. Alc. 10 óviou $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 promise to protect Heracles, his own and Alcmena's son. In the sequel she is made to recognise his loyalty : 869 ff .
721. \$日ávoเs $\delta^{\prime} a ̈ v^{\text {a }}$ oủk äv: you could not be too soon: see Goodw. § 894. Elmsley points out that the present participle is regularly employed in this idiom: cf. Alc. 662 тotrà $\rho \phi u \tau \in u ́ \omega v$ $\pi a i ̂ \partial a s ~ o u ̉ k e ́ t ' ~ a ̀ ̀ ~ \phi \theta a ́ v o l s . ~ F o r ~ d o u b l e ~ a ̆ ̀ \nu ~ s e e ~ 415 . ~$
$\sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \rho \cup ́ \pi \tau \omega v$. Dobree maintained that $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho u ́ \pi \tau \omega$ was always used of hiding, or, at any rate, if applied to the covering of the body, of wrapping $u p$. He therefore substituted $\sigma \grave{\partial} \nu \kappa p \dot{\pi} \pi \tau \omega \nu$, and has been followed by most subsequent editors. But, if we grant the premises, it does not seem an unsuitable word for the attendant to have used ironically.
 $\pi \rho 6 \phi \alpha \sigma \omega \nu$ oủk $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ v \epsilon \epsilon$. This is twice quoted by Plato: legg. VI 75 ID $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \dot{a} \rho a ̉ \gamma \omega ิ \nu \alpha$ $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ фaбiv oủ- $\pi a ́ v v \delta \epsilon \in \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ and Cratyl. 42 I D. Cf. Aesch. fr. 39 ả $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ä $\nu \delta \rho a s$ oủ $\mu \in ́ v \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ ovs. Macarius



723 ff . The process of putting on the armour could not conveniently be enacted on the stage.
727. It seems that the attendant, while supporting Iolaus with his right hand, is to carry the armour in his left. Iolaus grasps the spear with his right hand.
729. $\hat{\eta} \ldots \gamma \mathrm{d} \rho$-' do you really mean that...'-marks surprise real or affected (Hel. 784 n .). Where a word is interposed as here, the matter which excites wonder is stressed: Soph. EEl. 122 I $\hat{\eta}$ そ̂n $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho$; For the situation cf. Bacch. $193 \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \nu \tau a \pi \alpha เ \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \sigma \omega$ $\sigma^{\prime}$ є่ $\gamma \omega$.
730. öpvilos eivek': for the omen's sake. For the word cf. Hel. $1_{5} 1 \mathrm{n}$ n. To stumble at the beginning of a journey was inauspicious. Cf. Tibull. I 3. 19 o quotiens ingressus iter mihi tristia dixi offensum in porta signa dedisse pedem.
732. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \gamma \epsilon$ : intransitive. Except in the imperative, Attic writers always used $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta$ al (73+). Thus we have a parallel case to $\pi a \dot{v} \omega$ (Hel. 1319). L. and S. are misleading.
733. Soк $\hat{v} v \tau$ ऽ Murray has oúк $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \delta о \kappa \hat{\omega}$, presumably with the sense 'I don't think that I am hindering you.'

734 is better treated as interrogative. Cf. El. 239 oűкouv ópâs
 te oro ocius, mea mutrix.-moveo.-video sed nil promoves.
735. Soкоv̂vтa: scil. $\sigma \pi \epsilon \cup ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$. Nauck proposed $\pi 0 \nu 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau a$, but no change is necessary.
738. $\tau เ v \boldsymbol{d}^{\prime}=$ many a one. So often in Homer: there is a good


739. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ qualifies the whole clause. Cf. El. 263 єi $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi \circ \theta^{\prime} \ddot{\eta} \xi \in \iota$ $\gamma^{\prime}$ ès $\delta o \dot{\rho} \mu o u s$ o $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega^{\prime} \nu$. Distinguish the cases where it coalesces with $\pi$ ote following (Hel. 855).

тоиิто is nominative and $\phi \dot{b} \beta$ os predicate. Cf. Tro. 240 єi ró $\delta^{\prime}$



740 is a reminiscence of the familiar Homeric line : Il. VII 157
 $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau a(172)$.
741. そ̀viкa к.т. $\lambda$. Heracles undertook the expedition against Sparta to avenge upon Hippocoon and his sons the death of his
combin Oeonus，the som of Licymnius（Pausan．It1 $15 \cdot 3$ ．Apollond． 11 i．3，Plut．y\％．Rom． 90 P． 285 F ）．None of there authorities mention Iolaus，but his presence is implied in the account of Diodorus（IV 33）．
i4．It is impossible to lieep oios here（see cr．n．），and the altemative to oios is Reiske＇s oilav，which is accepted by Murray．

7tt．$\theta \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu$ ．Cobet，N．L．p．261，points out that tpoaiju rlecotal $\left(=t_{0}\right.$ difiat $)$ is the Ionic and Tragic equivalent of the Attic
 （Thuc．＇I 69 ），in the sense of＇to cause a flight，＇does not justify the retention of Oeinp here．Contrast raфàs $\theta$ eival（Hel．rof．3）of the actual performer of the rites with raфas moteiotal of those joining in the celebration（Thuc．II 34 ）．

ध́ $\pi \in$ t tol kal： 507 ．
iti．кal ró $\delta^{\prime}$ ：Wecklein puts a comma after öl，$\beta \varphi$ ，but it is simpler to regard óórそois as epexegretic of fóô $\epsilon$ ，just as toûto precedes
 as being in cerresfondence with ö $\backslash$ ßos．So Thuc．T－ 8 ó ò Bpafiōas，山́s єî̀e кıvou＇цévou＇s．．．кãaßàs кai aủtós．See also on 660.
$7+6$ ．oió $\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \quad \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．We have here an inversion of the subsequently famous Stoic paradox．Wecklein well compares Hor． Sat．II 3.95 virtus，fama，decus，divina humanaque pulchris divitios parent；quas qui construxerit，ille clarus erit．fortis，iustus．Note that the words $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$ ка入ิิs seem to imply a recognition of the Socratic doctrine that virtue in general，as well as courage in particular，is based upon the knowledge of good and evil．Murray＇s conjecture $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha ́$ is thus unnecessary．

748 ff ．It should be observed how appropriate the language of this chorus is to the actual circumstances of the Peloponnesian invasion（Introd．p．xxxi）．We can hardly fail to identify the iкéraı of $r .757$ with the Corcyreans．For the metres see Appendix C．
$\gamma \hat{\alpha}$ к．т．入．The invocation of the powers of nature is a means of letting loose the emotions．
mavvúxios $\sigma \in \lambda a{ }^{2} v a$ ．It is worth observing that the full moon （ $\mu \eta \nu \dot{o} s$ $\delta(x \eta p \eta s$ ，Ion $115 \overline{5}$ ）coincided with the close of the Great Dionysia，which began about the roth Elaphebolion and lasted at least five days．



750．фаєбiц阝рото is an Homeric epithet found in $\mathrm{Od}_{\mathrm{i}} \times \mathrm{x} \mathrm{x}_{3} 8$


752．lax $\boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon$ ：the second syllable is long except in the aorist iaxov（Elmsley）．The verb is reduplicated $(=f i f a \chi \omega)$ from the stem of $\eta \chi \dot{\eta}$ ．The spelling iaк $\chi$－，due to Porson，is now generally given up．
ouparê is a locative dat．implying motion to，as is shown by the
 $i \epsilon \tau 0 \pi \epsilon \delta \omega$, Hel． $12 \gamma \mathrm{I} n$ ．Observe that the idea of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a$ is not to be carried on to this line ：the cry is for help in the crisis．

753．Өpóvov àpxétav．The older edd．give no assistance towards the explanation of these words．It is only possible to conjecture that they have some archaeological significance in rela－ tion to the worship of Athena Ho入ıoûxos．Wilamowitz（Hermes xIV p．181）explains＇solium Erecthei in fano Poliadis，＇but gives no evidence in support of his view．For $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \notin \tau a s$ used adjectivally cf． 699 n ．

754． $\boldsymbol{\gamma \lambda a v k a ̂ s : ~ b l u e - e y e d . ~ S e e ~ P a u s a n . ~ I ~ 1 4 . ~} 6$.
€̇v＇A日ávas：scil．iєp $\hat{\omega}$ ．The Erechtheum is meant，for this building was known as the temple of Athena Polias．It was so called because its eastern chamber was the shrine of the ancient wooden image to which this title belonged．This image cannot be referred to in 753，as it represented the goddess in a standing position（Frazer＇s Pausanias II p． 34 I ：contrast Pausan．I 26．4）．

756．kal v́mèp．The preposition belongs（àmò кoเvoû）to $\gamma \hat{a}$ as well as to $\delta \dot{\delta} \mu \omega \nu$ ，although formally attached to the latter only． Cf．Soph．O．T．${ }^{7} 6 \mathrm{I}$ à àpoús $\sigma \phi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \mu \psi a \iota ~ к \dot{a} \pi i \quad \pi о \iota \mu \nu i \omega \nu \nu \nu \mu a ́ s$. Copious illustrations of the wide extension of this principle are given by Wilamowitz on H．F． 237.
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i$ is $\operatorname{Soph} . A i .1184$ ，and the occasional appearance of $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \theta \eta \nu$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \mu \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ ．So in Homer aidé $\sigma \theta \eta \nu$ beside aió $\epsilon \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha}-$ $\mu \eta \nu$ ，$\dot{\epsilon} \chi o \lambda \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \nu$ beside $\dot{\epsilon} \chi 0 \lambda \omega \sigma a \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ ：for a suggested explanation see Brugmann Gr．Gram．§ 150.

758．kiv $\delta u v o v \ldots \tau \in \mu \in i v$ is probably to be explained on the analogy of $\dot{o} o \delta o ́ \nu$ or $\kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon v \theta o \nu \tau \hat{\epsilon} \mu \nu \epsilon \tau \nu$ ，and means＇to enter upon a dangerous course．＇In Pind．Ol．13． $57 \mu a \chi \hat{a} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\xi} \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda$ os it is $\tau \epsilon$ 亩 $o s$ which requires the meaning decide．The Latin secare lition has been compared，but there is nothing to show that its develop－ ment is the same．
$\pi 0 \lambda เ \omega ิ$, 'gray,' is an epithet of ciönpos in $1 / .1 \times 3$ 3 5 . It should not be rendered 'gleaming.'
759. Mukท́vas: the acc. is attracted to $\pi \dot{d} \lambda t r$. Cf. Thuc. vi 68
 There are similar cases of attraction after $\eta \vec{\eta}$, oios (Thuc. vil 21 ), ci

762. кєи́Өєtv: 'to cherish' (fovere). So 879, Suppl. $295 \mu \hat{0} \theta$ os

;63. єi... $\pi a p a \delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \boldsymbol{v}$ : if wive are going to surrender. See on 197.
766. Barnes well quotes Psalms 27. I The Lord is my life and my salvation; whom shall $I$ fear?
 phrase must be borne in mind. Thus in Hec. 830 Хá $\rho \iota \nu$ é $\chi \in \iota \nu$ is used of the recipient of a boon. Here $\chi$ d $\rho$ os coming from the gods should not be conceived as a recompense for fawours received. It is at most a reward conferred upon a worthy object. Cf. fr. $449 \dot{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$

769. ék introducing the agent : see on 587 . Headlam's restoration (Cl. Rev. xv 104) of this line should be mentioned: $\ddot{\eta} \sigma \sigma o u s$ oủpávlot ' $\pi$ ' є̇ $\mu$ ồ (in my time) фаขоûvtal.
 retained in 777 , there is a syllable ton much in $770,77 \mathrm{I}$. There is not much probability in the suggested variants for $\pi$ o $\lambda \dot{v} \theta u \tau o s$, such as

 brackets $\gamma$ âs, but I should prefer to dispense with $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$, which stands awkwardly in place of $\sigma \dot{\eta}$ and is quite superfluous. Indeed, it has been held that oò is merely an emphatic repetition, and that there is no pause after $\gamma \hat{\alpha}$ s.
774. $\delta 0 \rho v \sigma \sigma o u ̂ v=$ 'spear-hurling,' not 'spear-brandishing' (Jebb on Soph. O.C. ris3). The root is that of $\sigma \epsilon \dot{v}^{\omega} \omega$ (qieur-: Brugmann Grundr. I \& 489), and the medial $\sigma \sigma$ is illustrated by the Epic lengthening of the preceding short vowel, as in II. xxili 198

775. ápetą: causal dative. 'It is not meet that I, for all my piety, should be driven from my home.' Thus, $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{a}$ is expanded in the antistrophe, for Athenian $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota a$ was famous ( 901 ff .).
776. Siкalos: 142, 480.

777－783．The last antistrophe of this Chorus succinctly de－ scribes some of the ritual observances of the Great Panathenaea． The details are examined in the Appendix．There is a general similarity in Tro．1071 ff．

777．$\pi 0 \lambda \dot{\lambda} \theta v \tau \cos \ldots \tau / \mu \alpha$ ：the offering of a hecatomb on the Acropolis，which was the climax of the festival，is alluded to．See the Scholl，on Ar．Nub． 385.

778．oúठè $\lambda$ d́ $\theta$ ct к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇Nor is the waning day forgotten as the months roll by．＇For the probable significance of this obscure expression see Appendix．

780．véwv $\tau$＇áoı反ai．The edd．generally supply краivovтає， making oúdè．．．．d $\mu$ épa parenthetic，but there seems no reason why oú $\lambda \dot{\theta}$ Oovor should not extend to this clause．Cf．Hom．hymn．Cer． 95




782．ó ò $\lambda$ ú $\gamma \mu a \tau a$ ．The word is used of women＇s cries only （Pollux I 28）．
$\pi a v v v x i o s s:$ for the celebration of the Pannychis at the Pana－ thenaea see Appendix．
v́mò：of musical accompaniment．Cf．Lucian Tim．c． $4^{6}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i ̂ a ~ a ̈ \sigma \eta ~ \dot{v} \pi \delta ̀ ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \eta$ ，Dio Chrys．p． 407 A Хopoùs únò $\tau \hat{\omega}$

 $838 \pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon \varepsilon \varphi \chi \chi \in \rho i$ ．It is better，therefore，to take it so here than to assume an awkward double genitive．

784．ouvтou $\omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau}$ ．Wilamowitz thinks that the point of this and the following line is merely to draw the parallel between бט́vтouos and ка入ós：that message is best for the bearer which requires the receiver to hear least．He quotes Callim．epigr． 8
 success requires no preface：one word is enough．It is possible， however，that кa入入iorous hints at the customary reward given to messengers of good tidings，for which see Headlam in Cl．Rev．xvi p．6o．Kirchhoff and others have suspected that the two clauses have been accidentally inverted，and that this should be remedied by interchanging the position of ка入入iбтous $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega$ and $\sigma \nu \nu \tau о \mu \omega-$ тárous．

785．＇$\epsilon \mu \mathrm{ol} \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$ ，＇to me here，＇is much suspected（ $\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \varepsilon$

 $\lambda \alpha \beta \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon$.
786. vเк $\hat{\mu \epsilon v}$ : a present-perfect: Goodw. § 27.

тротaîa: see Dict. Ant. The locus classicus for the construc. tion of a trophy is Verg. Aen. XI 5 ff.
-8s. Su'rayєv. In adopting Elmsley's reading, I have relied principally on the parallel of Soph. El. 782 io $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ रpóvos
 is to divect, control, gutide etc. as in Isocr. 3. 41 ràs $\pi \dot{o ́ \lambda \epsilon t s ~ \dot{e} \nu ~ o ́ \mu o \nu o i ́ a ~}$ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{a} \sigma 0 a \iota \delta \iota a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$. 'This day hath led thee to freedom': note the

 see Goodw. § 7\%o. If $\delta \iota \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is kept with $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \alpha l$, the meaning would be 'this day hath ended in freeing you,' but there seems to be no analogy for this or for Reiske's $\delta\left(\eta \eta^{\nu} \nu \sigma \in \nu\right.$.
789. ayز' $\lambda \mu a \sigma เ \nu$ is causal dat. For the reward due to the messenger see on 784 and Hel. 1280.
791. фóßos $\epsilon i$ : an indirect question, with $\epsilon i=$ whether. Cf. Mid. 184 фóßos $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \sigma \omega \delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi o \iota \nu a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$. The phrase is here practi-
 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi 0 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha i \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, showing that the context is the only guide to the meaning.
792. $\mu$ '́ $\gamma$ lotov: 597. - $\gamma$ ' assures or confirms the answer.


793. Murray, retaining the mss. reading, remarks :-- Praeterducitur, ni fallor, in pompa Iolaus iuvenis factus, sed post illud miraculum silentium tenet.' This appears to me less credible than that there is some slight corruption in the text. Adopting Elmsley's oív, I have suggested $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$, as nearer to ö $\delta \epsilon$ than $\notin \tau \iota$, with the sense



$\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \ldots$ oû $\boldsymbol{v}$ have here their separate force. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ indicates a preliminary question, but the sequel is postponed until 797 . See Hel . 1226 n .
794. $\pi$ pá ${ }^{\prime}$ as, faring, as $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu(587)$ shows.- $\gamma^{\prime}: 499 .-\delta \eta^{\prime}$ qualifies the superlative.
 inf. 939 .
797. $\begin{aligned} & \lambda \epsilon \xi a s: ~ m o m e n t a r y ~ a o r i s t . ~\end{aligned}$
$\phi i \lambda \omega v \mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta s \dot{a} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} v a$. Note the double genitive, where $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta s$ is genitive of definition. 'The battle-struggle of our friends.' Cf.

799. Rassow calls attention to this line, as an exception to the rule that a messenger's speech begins without any introduction. He thinks that the redactor (see Introd. p. xxxvi) has been at work here, and condemns Rhes. $28_{4}$ for the same reason. I.A. $\mathrm{I}_{540}$ stands on a different footing.
800. $\gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ : introductory, opening the narrative.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta$ j̀ $\lambda o \iota \sigma เ v$. 'When we had drawn up our lines face to face with each other.' A quite unnecessary difficulty has been found here, owing to the notion that a verb in the third person is required. Note that ( 1 ) the speaker includes himself as one of the combatants in the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{d} \xi a \mu \epsilon \nu$, where a mention of the generals only might have been more appropriate, (2) the singular $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ v ~ i l l u s-~$ trates the common tendency to use it distributively. See Shilleto
 мата.

о́ $\pi \lambda i \tau \eta \nu$ : 699.
801. катג̀ $\sigma \tau$ ó ${ }^{\prime}$ : in the military sense. Cf. R'hes. 408, 491, 511.
ékтelvovtes, 'deploying,' is intransitive in Suppl. 654.
802. $\pi$ ó $\delta a$ : 168.
803. Sopós =army: 276. Greek idiom generally expresses only one limit after words like $\mu \in \tau a i \chi \mu i o \nu$ : thus Cho. 6i $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a \iota \chi \mu i \omega$ $\sigma \kappa \dot{\tau o v}=$ between light and darkness. There is a double redundancy in the use of $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$ (cf. Phoen. 1361) and of $\delta 0 \rho \sigma_{s}$ in combination with $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \chi \mu i o t s$, showing that the original force of the compound is

 instance of the aor. used in impatient questions with $\tau i$ ov, where we should require the present. This idiom is especially common in the dialogue of Plato, Xenophon, and Aristophanes (Goodw. § 62). Cf. Ar. Vesp. 213 тi oủk $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \kappa о \iota \mu \eta \dot{\theta} \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ öбо⿱ öбод $\sigma \tau i \lambda \eta \nu$; As, however, there is probably a lacuna after this line (see cr. n.), it is not certain that $\epsilon \dot{i} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu=$ let be.

So7. ávסpòs: a (single) man. Cf. Andi. 909 какóv $\gamma^{\prime}$ ètȩas
 but this is less forcible. The connexion of thought is :--so far from losing a single man, you will either acquire the surrender of the Heraclidae, or have to make way for me. Paley and others make $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o s=\sigma \tau \rho \eta \sigma a s$ independent of the negative in the sense 'if you lose your life,' but this does not fit the following clause.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ appears to mean on the contrary', and to have the effect of confirming the preceding negation (Kiihner-Gerth §534, 4). The sense of nay but ( 565 n .) is unsuitable.
póvos $\mu$ óṿ̧. The iteration emphasises the collective notion of
 Soph. Ai. 467 .
808. äүov: 'tecum abduc,' Elmsley, rendering the force of the middle: cf. $2_{5} 6$. It expresses complete resignation in Cleanth. fr. 9r


810. àфєs, abandon, has been suspected without reason by Nauck and others. Cf. Aesch. Thcb. 292 é $\chi \theta \rho o i ̂ s ~ a ́ \phi e ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau a ̀ ̀ ~ \nu ~$及a日íx $\theta o{ }^{\prime}$ ' aiav.

8ri. és: with reference to: see on Hel. 679.
 clarandi. So after $\psi \epsilon ่ \gamma \omega$ Plat. rep. 404 D. In Rhes. $648 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \pi a \theta o \hat{u} \sigma \alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$ we have the participial construction.
813. oüтє negatives both aiô $\sigma \theta \theta \epsilon$ is and $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\partial} \lambda \mu \eta \sigma^{\prime}$. So Thuc. VI


814. aúròs aútoû emphasises by repetition like $\mu o ́ v o s ~ \mu o ́ v \omega$ (807). Observe that it contrasts with roùs клvoovzas: he did not respect either others or himself.

סєidiav. aiô $\sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ appears to be used in different senses with the two objects-respecting not the hearers, and not being ashamed of his own cowardice. For the latter cf. Hipp. 244 aidoúpe $\alpha a$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma_{\mu \epsilon} \nu a \operatorname{lot}$. It is instructive to contrast Pind. Pyth. 4. 173 ai $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \in \dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \alpha ́ v$, well rendered by Gildersleeve 'from self-respect,' i.e. respecting a reputation for courage. In such cases it may be said that the noun is viewed subjectively, so that $\delta \in i \lambda i a=$ the thought of cowardice: see also on Hel.886. Cf. I. T. 676 кai $\delta \in \iota \lambda i a \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$

$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ s \omega v$ attaches closely to $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda l a \nu$.
S15. (oúk) '́тó入 $\mu \eta \sigma^{\prime}$, 'could not bring himself' to face the


 Wyse on Isae. 1. 3 I and add Dem. 21 . 174.
816. Eita. The clause is, I think, more forcible, if taken as interrogative: єira, as an interrogative particle, expresses the emotion 'vel indignantis vel admirantis vel ridentis sic tamen ut risum expresserit indignatio' (Valckenaer on Phoen. 549). Most editors, however, put a full stop at the end of 817 .
$8 \mathbf{1} 7$. Sou入ผ' $\sigma \omega v$. Elmsley pointed out that the middle voice (' sibi in servitutem redigere') might have been expected here. But the active is, of course, not incorrect, as the middle would have been in Rhes. 407 бoì $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\delta o u \lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s ~ \lambda \epsilon \grave{\omega} \nu ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi o v . ~ C f . ~ S o p h . ~$ Trach. 257.
 Wilamowitz (comment. p. xi) thinks that the challenge was intended to recall the occasion on which Hyllus lost his life at the hands of Echemus, the king of Tegea, when the Heraclidae unsuccessfully attempted to re-enter the Peloponnese.
821. oúk ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \in \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$. The asyndeton is effective: so Aesch. Cho. 288 кıขєî, тара́ $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$, Hel. 930 (n.).
822. $\lambda \alpha \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ßротє ${ }^{\prime} \omega v$. If this refers to human sacrifice, or more particularly to the death of Macaria, the abruptness of the allusion is amazing: see Introd. p. xxxiv. I agree with Vonhoff (p. 23) that the $\sigma \phi \dot{\gamma} \iota a$ of 399 and 674 are meant, and the plural number, as he remarks, points the same way. But there is no probability in his $\beta$ oci $i \omega \nu$ or Paley's $\beta$ otei $\omega \nu$ in view of the parallelism of I.A. 1084. I incline to the view that $\beta$ potecos means 'gory',' being derived from the Homeric $\beta \rho \dot{\sigma}$ tos. This suggestion is at least as old as the time of Barnes, and must have occurred to many since (e.g. England on I.A. l.c.).
ovpiov, 'propitious,' has lost its original sense. So Hel. 1588

$82_{4}$. $\pi \lambda \in u p o i s$. Without condemning the fem. plur. $\pi \lambda \in u p a l$, as Elmsley did, wherever it occurs (see Jebb on Soph. Ai. 14 10), it seems more natural here that $\pi \lambda$ evoois should be accommodated to $\pi \lambda \in \epsilon^{\rho} \rho^{\prime}($ cr. n.). Cf. Or. 223,800, Alc. 367.
826. छvuroditat is comdemned loy Phrynichus (1). 255 Rutherford), Pollux 11151 , Schol. Ar. P'ac. gog. Pollux states that Eur. employed it also in the Thesens (fr. 39t).
$8_{27}$. кai $\tau \hat{\eta}$ тeкovion. The repetition of the article is unusual, hut gives additional emphasis. There are many grood illustrations
 àôe ês картоі́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota, 23.150$, Antiph. 1. 21. Similarly Plat. rep. $3.34 \mathrm{E}, 341 \mathrm{~B}, 61 \mathrm{E}$. For the converse case see Mcd. 288 ròv obovta каi $\gamma \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ каi $\gamma а \mu о ⿱ \mu \epsilon \ell \nu \nu$, where three different persons are referred to.


828. $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ is a certain correction of $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$. For the meaning ('choose') see on 13. Argos is here the city, and not, as sometimes, the district (Jebb on Soph. El. 4).
829. Eौ $\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \sigma \in \tau 0$ does not imply that either Eurystheus or his army were wanting in courage. It only expresses the vigour of his rhetoric.
 when there is no doubt about the agent. So Or. 707 vaûs...t̂̃ot ${ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$

 $\beta o n j \sigma a s(O d$. v 400 ).

Tvpoŋ $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$. The long and straight form of trumpet is meant. It may have been called 'Tyrrhenian' either as brought to Europe by Tyrrhenian pirates, or as an invention of the Lydians, from whom the Tyrrhenians were sprung (Jebb on Soph. Ai. 17). Cf. Phoen. 1377.
832. aúXeis: think. See on 333 .

Bpé $\mu \in \tau \nu$ is the imperfect infinitive: Goodw. § rig. A good instance is Soph. Track. 70 Tòv $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi a p \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ \nu \tau ' ~ a ̈ p o \tau o \nu ~ . . . \phi a \sigma i ́ ~ \nu \iota \nu ~$入átpiv moveiv. Cf. Hel. 1078 n. Wyse on Isae. IV 3.2 shows that the extension of this idiom in Greek is largely due to careless writing.
833. Musgrave, looking for a contrast, and following Il. Iv $45^{\circ}$ oi $\mu \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ каi $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \lambda \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \bar{\nu} \nu$, wished to substitute $\epsilon \dot{u} \chi \omega \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$, which is not a tragic word, for oi $\mu \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime} \nu$. The Greeks were more exuberant than we are in the expression of emotion, and the cumulative description is not unusual: cf. Thuc. vii in $_{1}$ vinò $\mu$ âs



834．$\pi i$ irvios here is the rhythmical swing，the regularity of the impetus of the drilled soldier．In Theocr．22． 127 aici $\delta^{\prime}$
 blows of the trained boxer．In Eur．fr． 495 ，if N．ot $\delta^{\prime}$ eis tòv aúròv $\pi i \tau v \lambda o \nu \eta ँ ँ \pi \epsilon \iota \gamma o \nu$ סopós the meaning is to return a salute：see Wilamowitz on H．F． 816.

835．＇єpp $\xi^{\prime} \xi \theta^{\prime}$ ：the act．is more common，but the subjective middle occurs also in Homer：Il．xi 90.

 к．г．入．A long list of instances from Latin and Greek is given by Mayor on Juv．XIv 241 quantum dilexit Thebas．．．Menoecens in quorum sulcis etc．

836 f．For the general sense cf．Hom．Il．xiil i $30 \phi \rho \alpha \xi^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$


 pede pes，densusque viro vir．For éma入入ax $\theta$ eis $=$ locked cf．Plut．

 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu o v \sigma \iota$ ．Since $\pi$ тovs is not well accommodated to $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \ell$ ，we must assume a slight zeugma．Elmsley，whom Murray follows， preferred éкартє́ $\rho \epsilon$ цáұך with nominativus pendens．He quoted
 there does not appear to be any authority for such a use of кар－ $\tau \epsilon \rho \in i ̂ v$.

838．тov̂ $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon$ úparos is unquestionably corrupt，and L．Dindorf＇s ôúo кє入єúpara has found much favour．Murray has $\hat{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{o} \mu 0 \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́$－ $\mu a \tau a$ ，but it is perhaps more likely that rov̂ $\kappa$ ．is a gloss which has thrust out the original text than that it is an accidental depravation of it．If so，the original may have been something like $\hat{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{a} \sigma^{\prime}$


839． $\mathfrak{\omega} \tau d s$＇A0 $\quad$ vas requires oikoû̀тes or the like to be supplied from $\sigma \pi \epsilon l \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ：see on 3 rI ．

840．a＇p $\eta^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ is constructed like $\dot{a} \mu \dot{v} \nu \omega$ and $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \hat{\omega}$（Phoen．935）， c．dat．pers．and acc．rei．So Tro． $776 \pi a i \delta i \quad \tau^{\prime}$ ov $\delta \nu v a i \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ä $\nu$ Өavaтov áค $\hat{\eta} \xi \alpha \iota$ ．

8+1. Távta Spŵvtes, 'using every effort,' like the prosaic mávea $\pi о \epsilon \in$ ì (Plat. Euthyph. 8 C).
844. $\delta \epsilon \xi$ Lav. For the hyperbaton see $160,205$.

 is perhaps best to treat these as a special development of the acc. expressing the goal of motion.-It seems that Iolaus takes the place of the $\dot{\eta} v l o \chi o s ~ a n d ~ t h a t ~ H y l l u s ~ w a s ~ \pi a \rho a r ~ \beta a ́ r \eta s . ~$



к $\lambda$ v́ $\omega v$ : the present is inaccurately used: Goodw. § 28. Cf. 5.36.
848. $\delta \in \hat{v} p o$ : 'hitherto,' especially in the phrase $\delta \in \hat{u} \rho$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \in i ́($ (Hcl. 76 1).
 of the battle, but should infer from this passage that it was fought in the neighbourhood of Marathon. Pallene, the deme in which the temple of the goddess ( $\Pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu i o \nu)$ was situated, was at the northern end of Hymettus and rather less than ro miles E.N.E. of Athens (Jebb in Journ. Phil. I $\mathrm{I}_{57}$ ). It was here that the forces of Peisistratus marching from Marathon met the Athenian democrats (Herod. I 62).
$\epsilon \in \kappa \pi \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'while crossing over,' is logically subordinate to $i \delta \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$. For these awkward accumulations of participles see on Hel .597 .
$8_{51}$ f. are alluded to by Plut. Stoic. abs. poet. dic. 2 p. 1057 E


852. Siк $\eta \nu$ should be regarded as taking the place of $\tau i \sigma \iota \nu$, or, in other words, the acc. of content has become an acc. of result


 important to distinguish the double acc. in $O r \cdot .4^{2} 3 \mu \in \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta$ óv $\sigma^{\prime}$ aî $\mu \alpha$, Cycl. 695, Alc. 733 which has a different history.
853. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ : now, at this point-somewhat lighter than $\geqslant ̋ \delta \eta$.
$8_{\Sigma 4}$. $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma \grave{\omega} \ldots$..a $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$. We are reminded of the double light seen on the masts of a ship during a storm, to which the name of Castor and Pollux was given (Hcl. I 40 ). The two lights were a sign of safety; a single light was called Helena (cf. غ́ $\lambda$ évaus) and betokened the worst: see Frazer on Pausan. II s. 9.
856. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ emphasises the pronoun, and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ' belongs to the whole clause : cf. $6_{32}$.
857. ö 8 ': Iolaus.
860. $\Sigma \kappa \iota \rho \omega v i \sigma \iota:$ called after the robber Sciron, who hurled his victims into the sea and was slain by Theseus. The narrow path crossing the face of the cliffs for about six miles at a height of six or seven hundred feet above the sea was known in modern times as 'the Evil Staircase': see the description in Frazer's Pausanias II p. 546 .
863. $\pi$ ápot $\theta_{\epsilon}$ : for the inversion of the natural order cf. 617
 cf. 385 .
864. $\mu a \theta \in i v$ depends on $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \dot{\alpha}$-clear and unmistakable.
865. $\pi \rho i v ~ a ̈ v$ with preceding negative: 180 . -The famous maxim, not to consider anyone happy during his life-time, is known best in connexion with the story of Croesus (Herod. I 32). It is often utilised by the tragedians, as by Eur. in Andr. 100, Tro. 5 II, I.A. 161. Aristotle discusses its philosophical value in Eth. I ro, pointing out that Happiness does not consist in good fortune, although requiring its assistance. The saying is copiously illustrated by Mayor on Juv. $x{ }_{2} 74$ Croesum, quem vox iusti facunda Solonis respicere ad longae iussit spatia ultima vitae.
866. Tis refers back to the subject of $\lceil\eta \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu$, which is indefinite: see on 595 and cf. Soph. Ai. $9^{6} 4$ oi $\gamma$ à $\rho$ какоі $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \tau a ̉ \gamma a \theta o ̀ v ~$


 $\sigma \omega ́ s \eta$ ка入 $\omega$.
867. тротaîє: Zeus in his aspect as god of battles, not, as
 invoked in thanksgiving; in supplication before the struggle in El. 67 I.
 the word carries an innuendo suggested by the context: 'though thou hast been tardy in regarding my sorrows.' Cf. Hel. 645, inf. $94^{1 .}$
871. Sokov̂ $\sigma^{\prime}$ : imperfect participle, marked by $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in \nu$ : 385 .
 фopás, tàs ò oủ $\sigma a \phi \hat{\text { ons }}$. For $\sigma a \phi \eta$ 's see on Hel. 21.
 (Starkie on Ar. Vesp. 1265). Cf. 234, 484.


 see Jebb on Ant. 806.

то仑̂ какต̄s ódovpévov: aciursed. This borders on the colloquial
 (Ar. Ach. 916). I don't think it should be taken as involving a solemn threat. The abandon is characteristic of Alcmena, as we shall soon see her.

8- 丆. é $\mu \beta a \tau \epsilon v \in \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ is the vox propria at law for taking possession of property in pursuance of a claim of right (Isae. 9. 3, Dem. 44. 19). The usage of the word is illustrated by Wyse on Isae. III 62. 4. The legal phraseology is continued in $\kappa \lambda$ ñpous ( $=$ ' landed estates'), but in prose $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ would require $\epsilon$ is in place of the simple acc. I doubt whether there is an allusion to the legendary partition of the Peloponnese among the Heraclidae.
87. $\theta$ eois matpúors has here a double significance: (i) they would resume the family duty of sacrifice to the $\theta \in o i \pi a \tau \rho \hat{\mathrm{o}}$ or of their own household, intermitted owing to their banishment and the death of Heracles; (2) their separation from the $\theta \in o i=\pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{\omega} o \iota$ was a sign of the loss of civic rights, to which they would now be restored. Contrast the case of Leocrates (Lycurg. 25, 56), who, on emigrating to Megara from Athens, took with him his own $\pi a \tau \rho \hat{\varphi} o l$. See generally Wyse on Isae. II 1. $7 \cdot$

8;8. $\pi \lambda \alpha v \eta \dot{\eta} \eta v$ : cxiled, as being homeless vagrants. Strictly the idea is that of exclusion from the proper home, rather than that of movement from place to place. See on Hel. 934, where $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon i a v$ is used of Helen, though settled in Egypt.
879. тi kєú $\theta \omega \boldsymbol{v} \ldots \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ oфóv: 'with what cunning motive?' Cf. 762 n .
881. $\pi \alpha p^{\prime}$ ทㅆiv: in our judgment: 201, Med. 763. Cf. Ar.
 with Starkie's note. The use is common in the orators: Wyse on Isae. vil 5 . The preposition points to a locative origin (Monro H.G. $\S 145,7 \mathrm{c}$ ), but the construction has run together with the dativus iudicantis, which is derived from the true dative.
882. Here we meet with the traditional axiom of Greek morality:
cf. 940, Andr. 437 , Ion 1046, Bacch. 877, Med. 809. The sequel shows clearly enough with what disapprobation it was regarded by Euripides: see Introd. p. xxvii. It is not easy for us to realise the state of mind to which the duty of retaliation against enemies appeared as a fundamental truth, but Plato's dialogues contain the first open protest : see especially Crito 49 B, c, Thompson on Merr. 7 I e, Adam on rep. 331 e. The tone of Thucydides (vil 68. i) in referring to this maxim suggests that he agreed with Euripides.
883. ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ ois t' 'Oots: 571 .
884. кратоиิvтa is of course corrupt, and has given rise perhaps to a larger crop of conjectures than any other passage in the play. I cannot see any probability in Wecklein's vav́ovia (from Hesych. in the sense of iкєтєúovia) or Murray's dं $\sigma \hat{v} \nu \tau a$ : and any word which describes Eurystheus as humiliated by his downfall appears to be out of place (cf. 983). On the whole, Reiske's крaтоиิбa has the best claim to acceptance, for the tendency to grammatical assimilation on the part of copyists, even in defiance of the sense, is one of the most fruitful sources of error. кai, if sound, would assist the process, and is capable of defence on the principle discussed on 660. The completeness of Alcmena's triumph is emphasised by the repetition (cf. 944), and the language recalls Bacch. $879 \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \rho$ ' $\dot{\text { untè }} \rho$ корифа̂s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\ell} \chi \chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho \epsilon і \sigma \sigma \omega$ катє́ $\chi \epsilon \nu$.
885. ov่ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots \gamma$ ' is adversative ('not however '), with stress on

886. ává $\gamma \kappa \eta$ is instr. dat. The dative with $\zeta \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu v \mu \iota$ expresses either the yoke-fellow, or the sphere of constraint as here: cf. Bacchyl. io. 45. In either case it is probably instrumental in origin, in spite of the occasional appearance of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, which might suggest the locative. Contrast Med. 735 о́pкiocol...jurєis with Suppl. 1229 є̇v
 other examples were fashioned.
889. єinas: 788.
890. ${ }^{〔} \lambda \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. The sentence gains greatly in smoothness and finish by the acceptance of this emendation (see cr. n.).
$\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ is practically equivalent to $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho: H e l .54+\mathrm{n}$. For the sentiment cf. sup. 527 n .

892 ff . The Chorus moralises on the rewards of virtue, and the appropriateness of Athens appearing as the champion of the I Ieraclidae. For the metres see Appendix C.

89．3．$\lambda \omega \tau 0 \hat{v}$ ，in the sense of flute，is Emripidean，not being employed by Pind．Aesch．or Soph．（Wilamowitz on M．FF．11）． We generally find in the context an allusion to the loudness of its music，and it was used to accompany the dance and on the occasion of a welding．It is，therefore，not certain that $\dot{\nu} \nu$ ofa conceals a case of the word oais．Headlam（C．R．XV 104）suggests $\epsilon{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ăôcuv or єủ́ájetw．See also on 902.

894．ei $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ ．See cr．n．I follow Elmsley，thinking that ein is subordinate to $\epsilon i$ ，and that $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu(892$ ）is answered by $\delta \epsilon$ in 895 ． Madvig＇s ingenious $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon i a \quad \delta$＇，adopted by Weckl．，meets the difficulty in another way．For the optative cf．Soph．Ant．Io3 tò $\mu$ av $\begin{gathered}\text { ávet }\end{gathered}$
 found，where owing to an ellipse of the verb substantive no finite verb appears in the apodosis．For ev̌xapıs used carelessly with $\chi$ dots preceding see $3{ }^{15}$ ，and for the epithet cf．Med． 632.

895．â $\rho$＇is a somewhat stronger ä $\rho a=$ after all： 116,268 ．Its position in the sentence serves to emphasise $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ ：cf．El． 374


896．iठє́テ日al： 29.
897．oủ סokoúvт $\omega v$ is used absolutely＝＇insignificant．＇We must not supply a verb from єủruxiav：cf．Hec． 295 入óros．．．éк $\tau^{\prime}$


 in Aesch．Prom．${ }^{1}$ II．

900．Aićv is the passage of time externalised，or，in other words，human life as opposed to absolute time．So Suppl． 787 X $\rho o ́ v o s \pi a \lambda a i o ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \ldots \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \nu$ ：see an admirable note by Wilamo－ witz on H．F．669．K póvou（Ald．and several modern editors）is unquestionably wrong．

901．＇ЄX $\operatorname{\epsilon 15}$ к．т．入．＇Thou art steadfast in a course of justice．＇ For the fem．Dicatov cf．I．T．1202．Euripides is fond of giving only two terminations to adjectives，which are usually employed with
 oikeios（ 634 ）and others mentioned by Nionk on Hippol． 437.

902．тoû＇áф＇́ध日al（see cr．n．）is an improvement on the vulgate $\tau 0 \hat{u} \tau^{\prime}$ or $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，where the absence of a subject to the infinitive is very awkward．But the uncertainty of 893 neces－ sarily leaves a doubt as to the reading of the antistrophe．
903. тццâv $\theta$ eov́s. The Athenians were renowned for their piety (Soph. O.C. 260 тás $\gamma$ ' 'A $\theta$ ṅvas фa⿱i $\theta \epsilon 0 \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \tau a s ~ \epsilon i v a l$, Aesch. Eum. 86 ; quoted by Paley), as well as for their justice and humanity (sup. 330, Suppl. 379). Everyone will recall the testimony of Acts xvii 22.
ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\mu} \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. With Verrall's $\sigma \phi \epsilon$ in place of $\sigma \epsilon$, the meaning would be:-'he who denies the existence of the gods.' But the connexion of thought is that the craziness of those who deny the piety of Athens is made manifest by the dealings of God with the unrighteous. $-\mu \dot{\eta}$, which logically belongs to the inf. to be supplied, is attached to $\phi$ á $\sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ by the usual meiosis.
 taken from driving round the pillar in the chariot race: so Bacch.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha u ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ ( $=$ to be far advanced in wisdom). In Aesch. Cho. ro20 ff. we have the fully-expanded simile.
$\mu a \nu \omega \omega v$. The plural, expressing strictly fits of madness, is common in Attic. So Pindar and Bacchylides (Jebb on X 109).

 $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda$ доข $\tau \alpha$.
908. фроvíraтos: the partitive gen. is sufficiently defended by


 unnecessary, and moreover the plur. does not occur in Eur. with the meaning pride.
 there. For the force of the periphrastic perfect see Goodw. § 45 .
 $\beta \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ (those who occupy office), and sup. 6ro.

9ri. teòs: see cr. n. Wilamowitz, thinking that $\theta$ és is required from a comparison of Pind. Nem. 3. 22 and Soph. Phil. 726, reads $\theta$ còs $\sigma$ òs.
912. \$єúyєl $\lambda$ óyov: he is beyond the reach of the report. So Wilamowitz, with the early commentators, comparing Pind. Ol.6.6
 'it passes reason.' Elmsley substituted фér $\gamma \omega$.
914. סalo $\theta$ eis: most edd.-so far as I know, Matthiae is the
omly exception-refer this to oalw (bunh), hut there are strong reasons for connecting it with oalveres in the sense of fid on, deroured.


 that $\delta a i \omega$ (burn) is for $\delta a t /-\epsilon \omega$, cf. $\delta \in \delta a v-\mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu o s:$ and, though $\delta a u \sigma \theta c$ ls might he an analogical formation, it would be quite isolated as an aor. with $\delta$ ou- from this root. For the death of Iteracles on Mt Oeta see Soph. Trach. 1191 ff.
915. "Hßas. For the marriage with Hebe, the personification of eternal youth, see $O d$. xi 603 , Pind. Nem. r. if.


918. $\eta \xi(\omega \sigma a s:$ the verb is rarely thus employed in the sense of


919. $\sigma v \mu \phi \in ́ \rho \in \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$ к.т.. . lit. most things correspond with others; i.e. as we should say, the world is full of coincidences. Cf. E1. 527

 as dativus commodi:-'most things agree (congruunt) for many people.'
920. kal corresponds with кai before тov́oঠิє. 'As they tell us ...so did the city save' etc. Athena as the ally of Heracles is several times mentioned by Pausanias: see especially Vi 19. 12. In

 v 92 with Jebb's note.
921. єโval is imperfect infinitive : sup. 832.

924 . $u \beta p \iota v:$ see cr. n. Wilamowitz defends $\ddot{\forall} \beta \rho \epsilon \iota s$, retaining $\dot{\epsilon} \rho a \sigma \tau \partial \nu$ in $9^{1} 5$, but the plur. is very awkward.
925. $\pi \rho$ ò $\delta$ ikas: who chose passionate violence in preference
 doıckav. Passion blurs the distinction between right and wrong: Hor. Od. I 18. Io qui fas atque nefas exigzuo fine libidinum discermunt avidi, Aesch. Eum. 557 тà mo入入̀̀ $\pi a \nu \tau o ́ \phi v \rho \tau^{\prime}$ äעєv $\delta \grave{\kappa}$ as Bıalws.
926. фрóv $\eta \mu a$, 'spirit,' is the expression of the $\psi u \chi \eta$ ' in its relation towards others. It does not mean arrogance, but áкóperoos
belongs to both nouns. Cf. Soph. Ant. $175 \dot{a} \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \chi a \nu o \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi a \nu t o ̀ s ~$

928. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ is answered by $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a ́$ in place of $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, as often : 997.
930. rúx $\eta v$ : see cr. n. The reading of the mss. can only be construed by doing violence to the grammar, i.e. by supplying
 be carried forward, and both accs. are in apposition to the sentence

931. そűXєt: expected, as in 333. Cf. Hel. i621, Aesch. Ag. 511.


932. $\pi 0 \lambda \cup \pi o ́ v ఱ$ has not been satisfactorily explained. The meanings 'war-worn' (Soph. Ai. 637) and 'toiling' are alike out of place in the case of an army described as lusting for conquest. On the other hand, 'veteran' (Matthiae) is put out of court by usage. Rather, an explanation must be sought from the quasi-technical use of $\pi$ oveiv and $\pi$ óvos, as applied to the service of the common soldier (Andr. 695, Soph. Ai. III2), so that the compound means strictly consisting of many rank and fle: in other words, it is a descriptive synonym of numerous, and may be compared with $\pi \cup \kappa \nu o ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ ả $\eta \delta o ́ v \epsilon s$ (Soph. O.C. 17) or oiódp $\omega \nu \pi \epsilon \in \tau \rho a$ (Aesch. Suppl. 795). I once thought of $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \phi \dot{\nu} \omega$ in the sense of 'destructive': for фóvos and $\pi \delta \nu$ os are confused at Hec. 1197 , Soph. O. C. $54^{2}$, Ai. 61. For $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i$ is used collectively $=\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon$ ef. 276 .
933. $\mu$ eî̧ov. Cobet has shown (Nov. Lect. p. 268 ff.) con-
 corrupted by scribes in favour of the corresponding plurals. Cf. 258, 386, 979. Thus in Soph. Ai. 1120 L has $\sigma \mu \kappa \kappa \dot{d}$ against the metre. -The conjecture $\pi \delta \lambda_{\iota \nu}$ for $\pi o \lambda u ́$ with 'A $\theta$ ávas in 934 is plausible, but unnecessary.
934. $\tau \grave{v} v$ évavtiav does not agree with $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta \nu$, but is to be explained by an ellipse of $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o v$. 'Fate decided against him and altered his lot.' Cf. Plat. Lach. 184 D $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ėvavtiav ráp, ís ojpạs,

 єival ó $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ évavtlav $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ s. It is true that $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is normal, but here $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ is conceived as an absolute ruler (Soph. Ant. 60) : cf. $\nu b \mu 0 \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \nu a \iota)(\nu b \mu 0 \nu \tau l \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
937. \{orajav. For the erection of the wooden image of Zeus Tpotaios cf. Phoch. 1250, 1473 .
938. Emarteldoug'. Note the historic present following the imperfect. So in Soph. Ant. +19 $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ follows c' $\theta a \lambda \pi \epsilon$, and is followed by $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} \theta \eta$.
939. Ék...ev̉ruxoûs should be taken with סvatuxoûve': 796,
 $\sigma \alpha \tau$. For the general sense see on 88 r .
941. رíros: 52.-хро́ve : 'at last': 869.
$\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon$ : cf. Soph. El. $528 \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \Delta i \kappa \eta \nu t \nu \in i \lambda \epsilon \nu$, oúx $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\rho} \nu \eta$. The metaphor may be from the legal sense-'convicted' (so Kaibel on Soph. l.c.). Paley thinks that Justice is regarded as a hunter,
 view that $\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon$ simply means 'caught': cf. fr. $969 \dot{\eta} \Delta i \kappa \eta \ldots \sigma i \gamma a$ каi


 $\beta a \nu \epsilon$.
942. $\mu \mathrm{or}:$ dat. eth. $=$ 'prithee.'
943. Évavtiov is adverbial acc. passing to a true adverb. Cf.



946. $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ is not answered by $\delta^{\prime}$ in 95 I , but the substance of 946 ff . is resumed in 953 , and the contrasted clause comes in 954 .

тòv 'ै $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ' ö örov 'ovl vûv: the euphemism is illustrated by Alc.


948 ff . The usual order of these lines is indefensible:-(I) $94^{8,}$ with кaөvßpiซal following $\dot{\epsilon} \phi u \beta \rho i \sigma a l$, is awkwardly placed, and Nauck's
 and $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ is objectionable ; (3) it is unnatural, if not impossible, that the descent to Hades ( $\pi o^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau a \dot{\nu} H . F .428$ ) should precede 950. These objections are partly avoided by Herwerden's transposition of
 the like. But the imperfect ( $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon s$ ) would then be unintelligible. Thinking it certain that there has been some disturbance, I propose the transposition of $9+8,9 \mathrm{so}$ as to follow 95 I . The asyndeton of the words viopas...ध $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon s$ is due to the fact that they are explanatory of $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \phi \cup \beta p i \sigma a \iota$ (see Index). The relation of the two
clauses is obscured rather than assisted by the conventional modern punctuation ；but it would be equally misleading to place a note of interrogation after $\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$ ．

950．v̋סpas：the plural expresses exaggeration．Cf，I．T．I 359
 image and the priestess．The soundness of this passage is proved



949．катท⿱㇒木日⿱㇒日勺心 is used as if Eurystheus had been the direct agent．So the accuser is said $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \kappa \tau \epsilon i v \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，when his action results in the death of a criminal（Plut．Demosth．I4． 4 etc．）．The same principle is illustrated on Hel． $1 \mathbf{1 2 5}$ ．

952．щaкро̀s：tediuus，i．e．too long．Cf．Aesch．Prom． 875
 ȧ $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda a \chi \theta \in ́ v \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.

956．خєpovtas includes Iolaus，who is not referred to in 954 ．
959．кaì кєpסaveîs ämavta：and the gain will be all on your

 here．The supposition is unreal，and the fact that Eurystheus is still alive makes no difference．See Goodw．§ 422，I．
$961-972$ are distributed in the MSS．between the Chorus and the Messenger（ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma_{0}$ ），so that Alcmena speaks for the first time at 973 ． Barnes substituted Alcmena for the Messenger throughout，and Tyrwhitt gave the lines assigned to the Chorus to the Messenger． Apart from the substitution of $\Theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \omega \nu$ for＂A $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s$ by Rassow and others（Introd．p．xiv，n．），all recent editors，except Murray，have acquiesced in the alteration．Murray follows the mss．，so far as concerns the lines which they ascribe to the Chorus，gives 962 to the Servant，but $9^{6} 3$ etc．to Alcmena．But it is difficult to see how 967 could be addressed to the Chorus，who had been on the stage throughout and were necessarily ignorant of what had passed on the battle－field．

963．$\delta \eta$ emphasises the following interrogative．So Or． 10 r， Suptl．457．＇What law is it then that prevents．．．．＇




966．The question how far this principle was respected in
practice at the time of the P'eloponnesian War is discussed in the Introduction : p. xxvii.
 see Goodw. § 879 and for the aorist tense § 148 .
$968 . \mathrm{Xp} \eta \mathrm{v}$ (see cr, 12.) : Kirchhoff altered $\delta$ ' to $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ', but with the ironical oipat (5ut) no particle is required. 'I suppose he should have disobeyed this land.' ámıбтeiv in this sense is common to Herod. and the Tragg., but does not occur in Attic prose, except here and there in Plato, as at $a p o l .29 \mathrm{C} .-\mathrm{X} \theta \mathrm{ovi}=\pi \dot{0} \lambda \in \iota$, as in 1024 and often.

969 f . Alcmena argues that the decision does not apply in this case, for Eurystheus ought not to be alive. The reply is that the mere fact of a wrong having been done formerly does not justify its repetition. If Alcmena's view is to prevail, a double injustice will be inflicted upon Eurystheus: (I) he was deprived of his right to die on the battle-field, and (2) he will also lose his right to live as a captive.
970. тóтt: formerly. No definite occasion is named, but the reference is well understood. Cf. $434, \mathrm{Med} .1402 \nu \hat{v} \nu \sigma \phi \in \pi \rho \circ \sigma a v \delta a_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}$, $\nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \pi a ́ s \eta \eta, \tau 6 \tau^{\prime}$ à $\pi \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$, Soph. Ai. 650. See also on Hel. ro8r.

 (n.). غं $\nu \kappa \alpha \hat{\omega}$ is found also in prose: Plat. rep. ix 57 I B. In view of these instances, it seems unnecessary to follow Wecklein in taking the clause personally.
973. кä $\mu$ '. To render 'even I' would exaggerate the mock humility, and the force of the particle can only be given in English by the tone of the speaker.
$\tau เ v a$ : some one-of importance. Cf. Ion 596 § $\eta \tau \hat{\omega}$ tis fival,
 129 sese aliquem credens.
974. It is important to realise the nature of the situation, in order to understand Alcmena's conduct throughout the concluding scene. If the Athenians had resolved that Eurystheus was not to die, how could Alcmena have the power to kill him? Though this seems to be denied in 96 r , we have here only a mild protest, which is completely last on Alcmena ( 978 ff .). It should be observed, however, that $\sigma o c$ in 96 r is ethic dative; and the line must not be interpreted as amounting to a denial of her power to kill

Eurystheus，if she chooses to exercise it．He was the prisoner of Iolaus，and was handed over to Alcmena by him and Hyllus．But the attendant cannot bring himself to believe that she will venture to act in defiance of Athenian sentiment．He is now undeceived．

976．Xєîpas：93r．

 on two grounds：（I）$\pi$ pòs $\tau a \hat{r}$ a introduces a threat，of which he gives many illustrations，and is incompatible with $\lambda \in \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in$ ．But the
 exactly parallel．（2）The articles in $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon i a \nu$ and $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \rho o \nu o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ are wrongly added，since $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is not the equivalent of ка入єiv， after which the article normally marks a quotation．But cf．Plat．
 $\lambda$ 白 $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ ；（Kühner－Gerth § 461,1 anm．4）．

980．$\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \tau a l:$ for the future perfect see Goodw．§ 79．
98 r ．kail is equivalent to＇and yet＇：cf．Soph．Ant． $33^{2} \pi$ rod入à
 Latin：Tac．Ann．1． $3^{8}$ reduxit in hiberna turbidos et nihil ausos．
 the usual prose idiom．Cf．Dem．19．$\varepsilon_{5} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ É $\chi \theta \rho a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \rho \grave{s}$
 instances quoted by Wyse on Isae．I 17.

983．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ is due to the preceding imperative．
984．$\psi u \times \eta \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho:$ to save my life．The phrase $\pi \epsilon \rho l \psi u \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ó סpómos was proverbial（Plat．Theaet． 172 E，Ar．Vesp．376，Herod． Ix 37）：see also on Hel． 946.

985．$\delta \in \iota \lambda i \alpha v$ ó $\phi \lambda \epsilon i v: H . F$ 1348．For the force of the abstract noun see on 814．

986．ท่рá $\eta \eta \nu$ ：＇assumed，took upon myself．＇For the distinc－ tion between $\ddot{a} \rho a \sigma \theta a \iota$（with $\bar{\alpha}$ from $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \rho \omega$ ，contracted aip $\rho \omega$ ）and d $\rho \in \in \sigma \theta a \iota$（with $\check{a}$ from ăp $\rho v \mu a \iota$ ）＇to acquire，win＇see Jebb＇s Appendix on Soph．Ai． 75 ．

987．そौ $\delta \eta \gamma \epsilon$ ：＇I knew forsooth that I was．．．．＇Observe that $\gamma \epsilon$ emphasises the whole clause，which is explanatory of oủ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$ with asyndeton，and not the word $\eta_{0} 0 \eta$ alone．$\gamma \in$ never developed itself completely as a connecting particle．Cf．H．F． 631 ä $\xi \omega \lambda \alpha \beta \omega \nu \nu \epsilon$ тov́ $\tilde{o}^{\prime}$＇$\ddagger \phi \quad \lambda \kappa l o ̄ a s ~ \chi \in p o i v$, where Wilamowitz has a good note．
aúravé $\psi$ tos：the relationship existed on both sides．Their fathers Sthenelus and Electryon were both sons of Perseus，and their mothers were both daughters of Pelops．

988．＇Нраклє́tเ： 8.
989．$\gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ ：since she was a goddess，I could not help myself．
990．ка́ $\mu v \epsilon เ \nu . . . \notin \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ ：＇caused me to．＇This construction is confined to poetry：cf．Med． $718 \pi a l \delta \omega \nu$ govàs $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \bar{\rho} a i \quad \sigma \epsilon$ $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \eta \sigma \omega\end{aligned}$ （Class．Rea＇． 11 p．243），Bacchyl．3．7．The addition of the pronoun $\tau \eta^{\prime} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ is essential to the construction of the cognate acc．（Mayor on Cic．Phil．II 42），and without it vó $\sigma \omega$ кá $\mu \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ would be required （Hermann on Soph．Phil．28r）．

993．नoфьनт门̀s：contriver，plotter，as in Aesch．Prom． 62 iva
 most simple sense as a verbal noun：the meaning＇an expert in＇ would be less suitable to the context．
 striking phrase，which suggests Aeschylus rather than Euripides． The prose counterpart is in Herod．vil 12 עuкTi $\beta$ où $\eta \nu \nu \delta \delta o i s$ ，and both presuppose the proverb $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu v \kappa \tau i$ ßovdn．There was also a popular derivation of $\epsilon i \not \phi \rho o ́ v \eta$ from $\epsilon \hat{v} \phi \rho o \nu \in i ̂ \nu$ ：see Cornut．I 4 p． 18 ， 2 Lang，who quotes from Epicharmus ai ri кa jarn̂s $\sigma o \phi o ́ v, ~ \tau a ̂ s ~$
 I I5 $5 \nu \cup \kappa \tau i$ кouvá $\sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ódojv，in the sense of＇travelling by night＇：but that is merely ornate，and contains a much less strong personification than the present passage．

996．ouvokoínv ：be conversant with－a common metaphor in the tragedians．Cf．Hipp． $1220 i \pi \pi \iota \kappa 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu \ddot{\eta} \theta \in \sigma \iota \pi 0 \lambda \dot{\jmath}$ 它 $\xi u \nu 0 \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu$ ， fr． $370 \mu \in T a ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma u \chi i a s ~ \pi o \lambda ı \hat{\omega}$ rípa $\sigma u \nu o u x o i \eta \nu$ ．So in the prose


997．$\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ is out of place．The natural order would be $\dot{\alpha} \rho i \theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$
 $\beta \epsilon \beta \dot{\omega} \sigma a s, a ̆ \nu \delta \rho \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ov́ $\delta \delta^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau o \pi o \nu, A i$ ． $5^{6}$ ．It is constantly answered by $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ in lieu of $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}: ~$ sup． $928, O r .563, H i p p .47$.
ápı日⿱亠䒑口阝 is used like numerus and our cipher．Cf．Tro．+75

 et fruges consumere nati．

998．Kal goes with $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\omega} \nu$ in the sense of каimep：Med． 866 каi $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ov̂ $\sigma a \quad \delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} s$.
999. रoûv. Headlam's correction assumes that roûv was corrupted to $\gamma$ ' through its compendium. Wilamowitz inserts ' $\mu o$, and Wecklein adopts $\gamma \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau a ́$ from Mekler. With $\gamma$ оûv, áкои́бє $\tau \alpha \iota$ alone is emphasised : cf. Aesch. Ag. I $4^{2} 5 \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta \delta i \delta a \chi \theta \epsilon i s ~ o ̉ \psi \epsilon ̀$ रoûv тò $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu \epsilon i ้ \nu$.
1001. $\pi \rho o ̀ s: 77$.

 $\kappa \omega ́ \pi \eta s$ ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
1003. ктєivovta: conative present (Goodw. § 25). Cf. Phoon.

1004. Éylyver': were like to become. For this force of the im-
 participle, and is best explained as covering $\epsilon i \delta \rho \dot{\varphi} \eta \nu$. Thus $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \circ$ may be described as the oblique form of a dynamic present ( 557 n .) : $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau a \quad \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ रi$\hat{i} \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$. In primary sequence inf.
 єiцц correspond exactly. For the imperfect with resolved protasis
 with a conditional participle are apt to be overlooked, but several are quoted by Wyse on Isae. I 44. A very good illustration will be

 какоis тoîs $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \gamma i \gamma \nu \in \tau 0$, with other imperfects similarly employed in the immediate context. Gildersleeve, Syntax of Cl . Greek


1005. oükovv $\sigma \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$. The pronoun is emphasised : Hel. 125 t oüкоиข $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma^{\prime} \ldots$ 'Would not you then...?'
$\dot{\alpha} v a \lambda a \beta o v ̂ \sigma a$ is an apt word for to take upon oneself something external, to assume, and should not be changed ( $\alpha \nu \lambda \alpha \beta o v ̂ \sigma \alpha$ Reiske, ă $\nu \lambda a \chi o ̂ v a$ Wecklein). Cf. Democr. ap. Plut. quaest. conv. viri io.
 $<\dot{\epsilon} \nu>\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega$ каì $\dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon \epsilon \mu \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon เ s ~ a ̉ \nu a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu o \nu \tau a ~ \sigma v \nu-~$ $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ к.т. $\lambda$. (A 77 Diels).
1006. $\lambda$ éovtos. The metaphor expresses the bitterness of hereditary enmity: so Suppl. $1222 \pi \iota \kappa \rho o i ̀ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ aủ $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \eta ̈ \xi \epsilon \tau ', ~ \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \theta \rho a \mu$ -
 himself is compared to a lion in the fierceness of his anger.
1007. $\sigma \omega \phi$ póves is a seornful allusion to the charge of vi/ppes in 947, for the word implies the alsence of violence or excess.
1008. elagas: 'wouldst haze gizen permission,' a single act. Note the change of tense (Goodw. § 56 ).
oütเväv $\pi$ iӨots: none will belicve you-if you should advance such a plea.
1009. то́тє: 970.
1010. vórors: instr. dat. expressing cause. For the sense see 966.
 represents iepós, here takes the place of öroos: so Soph. O. C. 37
 sup. 143. Thus the words are practically equivalent to oú $\begin{aligned} & \text { ö } \sigma \text { tov }\end{aligned}$ є̇бть ктєiveєข (Dem. 23. 38).
 treated as a dynamic present, and the sentence is parallel to 557 , 1049. For the resolved protasis cf. 159, Aesch. Eum. 741 vıkậ $\delta^{\prime}$

1012. $\sigma \omega \phi$ povovora: wise in that she honoured the god far more highly than she fostered an enmity to me. Note that riovoa is subordinate to $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu o \hat{v} \sigma a$, as Pflugk pointed out. ti i is not found elsewhere in Euripides, but Cobet's strictures (N.L. p. 275), in favour of substituting $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$, are scarcely justified. ròv $\theta$ eò $\nu$ is Apollo, whose displeasure would be visited upon the homicide.
1013. Tîs ${ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \hat{\eta}_{s} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{X} \theta \mathrm{pas}$ : for the objective use of the possessive pronoun cf. Hipp. 965 סvaruevєiạ $\sigma \hat{\eta}$, Hel. 1236 vєîкos tò $\sigma$ óv.

101 _. ä $\gamma^{\prime}$ єitas : for your speech there is my answer. I have followed Hermann (see cr. n.), thinking that $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ s is likely to be a gloss. Cobet objects to $\gamma \epsilon$ as otiose, but the verbal debate appears to be contrasted with their permanent relations in the future. The antecedent of $\ddot{\alpha}$ is loosely related to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa o v \sigma a s: ~ c f . ~$




1015. тòv тробтрómalov: 'henceforth you must invoke me as the Avenger and the Gracious One.' These words appear to express the attitude, in which Eurystheus will hereafter present
himself to the Heraclidae and the Athenians respectively. For a discussion of the details see Appendix.
1016. $\gamma \in \mu$ évтol: 267 . He reverts to his own position.
1018. Murray thinks that there is a lacuna before this line. His grounds are (I) that there has been no previous mention of giving up Eurystheus, and (2) that vv. 1020-1025 do not agree with vv. $9^{61}-97^{2}$. He concludes that a passage has fallen out, in which a fresh messenger arrived with a definite decree of the city for the surrender of Eurystheus. It will be observed, however, that the speaker does not make a peremptory demand: he only ventures to give 'a little advice.' And the words $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \pi \iota \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ echo $96_{4}$, 968 . In particular, áфєíval does not introduce a new idea. The only alternatives considered as possible are either to kill the captive or to let him go, that is, to spare his life. No attempt is made to force Alcmena to choose between keeping him as a slave or surrendering him to Athens. Thus, á $\phi \in i v a l$ corresponds to $\epsilon \xi \not \xi \iota \rho \eta \eta^{-}$ $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota(977)$. Throughout the episode it is admitted that Eurystheus is Alcmena's prisoner, and that she can work her will on him: but still, if she kills him, she will incur the reprobation of Athenian sentiment (974). Observe that the pretended compromise (1022 f.) is offered in irony.
1019. áфєival: the infinitive is explanatory of or in apposition to $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \delta \nu^{2}$.
${ }^{102} 2^{4}$. $\tau \mathbf{o} . . . \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu$ ' is an acc. of reference-probably exclamatory in origin (see F. W. Thomas in Class. Rev. xi 375). Cf. Ar. Nub.


 Eur. Hel. 684. This construction should not be confused with the acc. of respect, which is strictly limited in its usage. For $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \mathrm{cf} .968$.
1025. Her resolution is not one whit abated. She is just as determined to have Eurystheus' life as in $976-980$, and in 1050 ff.
$\theta a v \omega \dot{v}$ : coincident in time with the main verb, denoting that in which its action consists: Goodw. § 150 . Some describe this as instrumental ('by his death'): see Class. Rev. v pp. 3, 248, Wyse



1026．кTeiv＇：slay on．The present denotes that her purpose is already formed．Cf．Andr．459 ктelvess $\mu^{\prime}$ ．aimbктew＇．But this force is not necessarily involved in the employment of the tense （Hec．1281）．

1027．кarndé $\theta_{\eta}$ ：shounk from，simpled to（Hicl．So $)$ ．The inf．takes the place of the direct object：thus Hipp． 772 oalmova


1028．$\delta \omega \rho \eta$ ทopat construed like Lat．donare＇to present，＇as in Or．117，Suppl．1167．

1029．$\mu$ eithov，as preferred by the earlier editors，is supported
 authority of the mss．on such a point is of little moment．
$\eta$ グ Sokeiv（see cr．n．）would give the meaning＇too great to be thought of＇（Goodw．§ $76_{4}$ ）．But then $\chi \rho o \nu^{\omega} \omega$ loses force，and the sense required is＇will in the latter days profit you more than you now believe．＇For these reasons I have adopted Wecklein＇s emendation．

1031．There was more than one traditional tomb of Eurystheus． Pausan．I 44 ad fin．places it on the road from Megara to Corinth， whereas Strabo（viil p．377）states that he was buried at Gargettus． It is probable that Euripides alludes to the latter site，for the temple of Athene Pallenis cannot have been far from Gargettus： see on 849 ．

1032 f ．The case of Oedipus is exactly similar（Soph．O．C． 616－623）．Cf．especially his concluding words ：койтот＇Oiठimouv
 $\psi$ cúrovai $\mu \epsilon$ ．The like is told of the tomb of Orestes at Tegea （Herod． $16_{7}$ ）．
ooi：addressed to the leader of the chorus，as representing the citizens．Holding that thus каi mó入є is tautologous，Usener suggests $\sigma \hat{\eta}$ for $\sigma o i$ ，and compares Soph．Ant． 212 Tòv $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \delta_{i} \sigma \nu o u v$ каi $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ．

1033．$\mu$ étotкos：a denizen．For the application of this technical term to the dead the edd．refer to Aesch．Pers． $322 \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta p a \hat{s}$
 $\theta$ а́ттєเข．

1035．öтav：not＇as often as＇nor＇when（if ever），＇making the enmity conditional upon the invasion，but simply＇at that time when they shall come．＇I have endeavoured to illustrate this use
of ötay in Class. Rev. xvil p. 249 ff . There is a good example
 тoloútoss èvtú $\chi \omega \sigma \iota$ 入órols. -The reference is to the Peloponnesian invasions of Attica at the beginning of the war: see Introd. p. xxxi, and cf. 313 .
1036. $\pi \rho 0$ סóvtєs : being false to the boon they have received. So $\pi \rho o \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$; (Suppl. 265).

тoเoút $\omega$ connects. 'Such are the friends whom ye have championed.'
1037. Tav̂т': the purport of the oracle.
1038. $\mathfrak{\eta} \leftrightarrows \frac{\rho}{\mu} \eta \boldsymbol{v}$ (see cr. n.) is closer to the Mss. reading than Tyrwhitt's $\eta \dot{\eta} \delta o \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ and appropriate in sense ( $=\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota)$.
rofof. The spirit of the murdered man is to remain unappeased, until he has signalised his vengeance by the disasters of his enemies.
 be dripped on my tomb.' It is not usual or necessary to express the subject to the inf. : see on Hel. $14 \% 4$ and cf. Tro. 1133 ff . But aúroîs in $104^{2}$ shows that the speaker has the Heraclidae in mind. $\mu o l$, for which Weil would read $\nu \iota \nu$, is of course ethic dative.-With Xoàs, $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \hat{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ must be supplied from $\sigma \tau a ́ \xi \alpha \iota$ by zeugma : see on 3 II.The locus classicus on the ritual of hero-worship is Plut. Arist. 21. For the blood-drinking see Hec. 536, Pind. Ol. 1. 94, Pausan. x 4.7. Libations were of wine, water, milk, honey and oil or some of them: Aesch. Pers. 610, Eur. I.T. 158 , Or. 114 etc.-For the confusion of $\tau$ áфov and $\tau \not \sigma \pi o \nu$ cf. Hel. 556.
1042. vóvтov is the return of the Heraclidae to the Peloponnese (310), and the allusion is to the difficulty with which it was effected. The parallel passage in Suppl. 1208 f. фóßov $\gamma$ à $\rho$ aủroîs, ク้̈ $\pi 0 \tau^{\prime}$ ย̀ $\lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu, \delta \epsilon \iota \chi \theta \epsilon i \sigma a$ $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ каi какò $\nu \nu \delta \sigma \tau o \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ should not be used in favour of referring $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ ó $\sigma$ tov to the Spartan invasion of Attica.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ : in requital for my present treatment.
1043. $\delta เ \pi \lambda$ ov̂v: the antithesis is false, since he has not promised any other benefit to the Athenians than the discomfiture of the Heraclidae. Yet we cannot explain the words as applying merely to the double aspect of the same action viewed from opposite sides (cf. Suppl. 333) ; for, while the benefit to the Athenians is prospective, the injury to the Heraclidae will be immediate.
 катєрүа́бабөац бокєіттє.

 101 I .

10:0. Kualv. Much ingenuity has been spent (e.g. Housman's кópee) in attempts to reconcile this with 1023 . We have already olserved that in 1020 ff . Alcmena did not intend to relax. The insulting 'compromise' was a hollow sham, an affected concession to new-fangled humanitarianism. Ever since the appearance of Eurystheus, Atcmena has been forgetting her deht to Athens. Thus the words roîs $\mu \epsilon \tau e \lambda \theta$ oûcuv $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ (1023) are intended for the Athenians (cf. 1030), and are fraught with iromical intention. 'Are you going to be his friends and to tend his worthless corpse? If I may not wreak my will, as you tell me, on his body, anyhow I will have his life.' If this is right, there is no particular difficulty in the outspoken frankness of her present attitude. Irony is discarded, and she says openly that, so long as Eurystheus dies, she cares nothing for his corpse or for such scruples as the Athenians have suggested. Vindictive passion makes her reckless of consequences.
1051. ő $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ : in indirect quotation: Goodw. $\& 706$. Cf. Soph.

1052. $\zeta \hat{\omega} v$ is the emphatic word:- ' Don't hope that you will live to cast me out a second time.' For the stress on the participle see on Hel. 1214 .
1053. Taúrà סokeî $\mu \mathrm{ol}$. These words have no relevance to the context, and I follow Hermann in marking a lacuna. Murray remarks:-'videtur post rixam unum Hemichorium Alcmenae scelus

1054. Tג̀ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ к. $. \tau . \lambda$., lit. the acts which proceed from us shall not implicate our princes in blond-guilt. For the adv. cf. 369 , and
 this does not apply to the suppliant Eurystheus. For $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ see on 23 : it would be possible, but, I think, less natural to take $\tau \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi$



## APPENDIX A.

The following are the passages which are referred to the Heraclidae by citation, but cannot be identified with any part of the existing text.
I. Orion, flor. Eur. 7 (fr. 852 Nauck $=848$ Dind.)





The first two lines are also quoted by Stob. flor. 79, 2, with the
 error for $\mathrm{K} \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$. The sentiment of the lines is appropriate to the character of Macaria, and Vonhoff (p. $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ) and Wilamowitz hold that they formed the conclusion of the speech describing her sacrifice.
3. $\tau \grave{\omega}$ фи́ба prefers $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ фúcavia. Note the subjunctive without ẵ : Goodw. § 540 .

4, 5. The presence of the impious man would vitiate the sacrifice, and bring disaster on the voyage: Aesch. Theh. 602, Eur. El. $1355 \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \circ \rho \kappa \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon i t \omega$, Antiph. 5. 82, Hor. od. 3. 2. 26. In $v_{0}+$ the mss. have roîs $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s$, for which Meineke substituted $\pi 0 \tau \epsilon$.
II. Stob. flor. I, 8 (fr. $853 \mathrm{~N}=219$ D.)

$\theta \epsilon o u ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̂ \nu ~ \tau o u ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \theta \rho e ́ \psi a \nu \tau a s ~ \gamma o v \epsilon i ̂ s, ~$ $\nu$ дó $\mu$ ous $\tau \epsilon$ коıขoùs 'E入入áסos' каì тaûta $\delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$

The lemma is given as E $\dot{\rho} \rho \iota \pi i \delta \eta s$ 'Hрaк $\lambda \epsilon l \delta a \iota s$ by the mss. of Stobaeus known as MA, 'A $\nu \tau$ เóт $\eta$ by ed. Trinc. In v. I tàs
 If this passage belonged to the Horaclidue, it may have been addressed to Demophon. Thus rtuâv $\theta$ eoús is the peculiar glory of Athens ( 902 ), and Demophon has already shown his respect for his father's memory (325) and for Panhellenic custom (131, 200 : cf. Suppl. 526).

1I1. Stob. flor. 79, 3; Orion, flor. Viur. 10 (fr. 949 N. $=943$ D.) каl тоі̂s тєкоиิбเข $\dot{\alpha} \xi l a \nu ~ \tau \iota \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon t \nu . ~$
See on 2\%. 297. Stob. flor. 88, 7 quotes 20. 297-304 without this addition, and it is clear that it should be separated from the context in which it appears in flor. 79, 3. It is therefore only a possibility that the line comes from the Heraclidae, since the corruption in Stobaeus admits of various explanations.

 847 D.

See Introduction pp. xxx , xxxvii .
V. Stob. flor. 7,9 (fr. 854 N. $=849$ D.)

The lemma is Eujpıniסףs 'Нраклє̂ for which Nauck restores 'Hpaк入eioals. The passage is cited à $\nu \omega \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \omega s$ by Plut. de virt. mor. 7 p. 447 E , from whom $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \sigma_{\nu}$ has been restored for $\delta{ }^{\prime}$ oú $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \nu$. For the sentiment see on Hel. 30 r.

## APPENDIX B.

## 1. On vv. 479-483.

The difficulty of this passage will be better appreciated from a conspectus of the various opinions which have been held concerning it ; a careless reader might easily pass it over without perceiving the intricacy of the problem.

It will be convenient to divide the commentators into the following classes:-
I. Those who supply $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota$ with $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \sigma \phi o \rho o s$. Thus BauerWecklein ( 188 5), with a comma after $\gamma$ fevous, treating $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ as elliptical (sc. $\dot{\xi} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$ ) and the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ clause as correlative to oú $\tau a \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma a$, standing in the place of a participial $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \sigma \phi o \rho o s ~ o \hat{v} \sigma a$. This may be regarded as the view generally accepted (Musgrave, Paley, Beck, Jerram), though the punctuation varies in different editions between a full-stop and a comma after $\gamma \in ́ v o u s$, and a colon and a comma after $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi o \rho o s$. It then becomes necessary to place a comma after $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ in 48 I ; to take кai as connecting $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ with $\theta \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \omega$; and (though this does not appear to be recognised), in order to make $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota$ tolerable, to treat the $\mu \dot{\eta}$ clause as subordinate to $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$ as well as to $\pi v \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
II. Those who supply $\pi v \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ with $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi \circ \rho o s$. So Elmsley, but with a curious reason 'propior scilicet ostio templi quam avia aut sorores'; since $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} ~ \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ is not elliptical, $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ must be the principal verb, and the каi of к $\dot{\alpha} \mu a v \tau \hat{\eta} s$ cannot be copulative: being at hand, and interested for my brothers, I wish to ask on my own account as well. Wecklein (1898) puts a full-stop at révous, no stop after $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi o \rho o s$, and commas after $\dot{\alpha} \hat{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\pi v \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$; and substitutes $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu 0 l$ for $\delta \epsilon \mu_{0<}$ in 480 . The advantages of this are obvious, but the full-stop in 479 wrongly severs $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \ldots \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ from ou $\tau a \chi \theta \epsilon i \sigma a$. Murray follows Wecklein, except that in 480 he has commas after $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ and $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi o \rho o s$, and retains $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu 0$.
III. P'llugk, who refuses to supply any definite word with $\pi$ póvgopos, must be left to justify himself in his own words. 'Ego certum vocabulum nullum subaudiendum censeo: ceterum si requiras quod ad sententiam declarandam adhileas, intellige quasi scriptum sit $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi \quad \rho o s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu . ~ V i d e l i c e t ~ m a s c u l a ~ v i r g o ~ s u o ~ q u o d a m ~$ iure in partem virilium curarum venire sibi videbatur.' For practical purposes his interpretation coincides with that of those who supply $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \cup ́ \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.

## 2. On v. 533.

The doctrine that $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the participle is always conditional seems to require more consideration than it usually receives ${ }^{1}$. It is of course generally recognised that it does not apply to constructions with the article, or to cases where the participle is subordinate to a verb which would itself require to be negatived by $\mu \eta$. This accounts (e.g. ) for Hipp. 997 є̇ $\pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \mu a l ~ \gamma \dot{a} \rho \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \nu, \phi i \lambda o l s$ $\tau \epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon i \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. Further, the supplementary participle after verba sentiendi is occasionally negatived by $\mu \eta$ :
 $\beta i a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu 0 \hat{u}$ is a well-known example. But such combinations are determined by the principles applicable to indirect discourse, and are irrelevant to the present issue.

If the general trend of its usage outside the participial clause be taken into consideration, there does not seem to be any reason for confining $\mu \dot{\eta}$ to the sphere of an implied condition. That $\mu \dot{\eta}$ negatives the thought and oú the fact would be generally conceded ${ }^{2}$. Thus Jebb on Soph. O.C. 1154 allows that $\dot{\epsilon} \delta i \delta a \check{\zeta}$ as ws $\mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon i \delta o ́ \tau a$ could mean 'you instructed me on the supposition that I knew not,' but holds at the same time that 'usage indicates that $\dot{\omega} s$ ouv cióóra would then have been preferred.' He appears to have


${ }^{1}$ The notes of Paley, Jerram and others are sufficient warrant for this statement. Since the above was printed, I have had an opportunity of consulting W. F. Gallaway's dissertation on this subject (Baltimore, 1897) ; but have found no reason for modifying what I had already written.

[^13]This exception is instructive. So strong was the leaning towards the negative of fact in the classical era that it dominated almost exclusively under conditions-that is, in combination with $\dot{\omega}$-where the negative of concept would on a priori grounds have been expected to prevail. Thus ov is retained even after a preceding imperative. But the conceptual $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is not altogether ousted: in Soph. Phil. 935 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ '̀s $\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \pi o \theta^{\prime}$, $\hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{o} \rho \hat{a} \pi \alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ it can only be justified in the generic sense, 'ut qui nunquam sit omissurus.' In later Greek, as is well known, a counter movement in favour of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ set in, with the result that the distinction between $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and ov with the participle was entirely blurred (Holden on Plut. Them. 9, 2). The beginnings of this tendency may be traced in writers of the earlier period, but $\mu \dot{\eta}$ never appeared where the only relation between the participle and the governing verb was that of sequence in or coincidence of time; it always marked a dependency of conception,-causal, adversative or consecutive. The following list makes no pretence to completeness ${ }^{1}$, but contains such examples as I have collected from time to time.

The generic or characterising clause which is attached by the participle may express either a cause, an impediment or a result of the main action. Of these the causal class is by far the largest, and will be reserved until the others have been dealt with.

1. The participle expresses a result. Aesch. Theb. 426 tis



 $\lambda u \pi \sigma^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0$, ; (Here we are concerned with the second participle $=$

 oîtoc $\vec{\eta}$ ồ' 'Avópoti $\omega \nu$ ' á $\phi \epsilon i \eta \sigma a \nu$. I quote Thuc. III 16 $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$

 it as hypothetical, which it certainly is not, but the negative may be due to the dependence of the participle on the infinitive. So viri 44
${ }^{1}$ Except in the case of Thucydides, and most of the Attic Orators (except Demosthenes), where I have made use of the recently published Indices.




 must be consecutive，as Forbes takes it．

2．The participle expresses an impediment．Xen．mem．iv 8.5


 hardly have subordinated к由入úwv to $\lambda \in \gamma \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，if the prejudice in favour of hypothetical $\mu \dot{\eta}$ had not existed．Dem．40． 33 rotaút $\eta \nu$
 the Argives blamed the conclusion of a truce without reference to

 a better opportunity）．The meaning is clear，but the negative may be due to the influence of $\nu o \mu i s \omega$ in or．obl．，although of course it normally takes ou．

3．The participle expresses a cause．Herod．inl 65 toútou $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$





 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \nu$ ：this is a very clear case $=$ since the enemy did not attack．


 Antiph．tetr．A．$\gamma .8 \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \epsilon \delta \eta \lambda \omega \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu$ ảmoкт $\epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．Ar．Eccl． 855．Isocr． $17.5^{2}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{\omega} \tau \epsilon$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ тapóvtos тoútov．Isae．5． 16

 Aeschin．2． 66 入ór $\omega \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu . . . o u ̉ k ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \hat{\eta} \nu$ єimeîv．Lys．4．4； 19．29．In Demosthenes I find ten instances，and to save space will simply give the references： $3.8 ; 21.97$（condemned by Goodwin in his recent edition）； $23.42 ; 24.189 ; 33.29 ; 36.6 ; 39.35 ; 44.28$ ； 46．13；55．20．Most of these are cited by Wyse on Isae．l．c．，
where 40．I3 is a mistake for 46 ．13．In Thuc．I 77 oủ $\tau 0 \hat{\text { a }} \pi \lambda$ éovos
 by the use of $\epsilon i=o ̈ \nleftarrow \iota$ after certain verbs of emotion，and compared with Soph．O．T． $289 \pi \alpha \dot{d} \lambda a \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\omega} \nu$ Өavpá乡єral．Soph．Phil．
 ticiple being part of the address stands extra sententian；but the negative is clearly generic．

In many cases the true explanation is that the participle is attributive，so that a generic $\mu \dot{\eta}$ can stand just as if an article were added．So I should take Soph．O．T． $\mathbf{5 7}$, O．C． 73 ，but it is not always easy to draw the line between the attributive and circum－ stantial uses．

## 3．On 777 ff ．

The Great Panathenaea were held in the month of Hecatombaeon every four years in the third Olympian year．After various musical and athletic $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon$ extending over several days，the feast culminated in the celebrations of the 28 th，when the procession escorting the Peplus and the great sacrifice（ $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v} \theta u \tau o s ~ \tau \tau \mu \alpha^{\prime}$ ）took place．The night immediately preceding this day was kept as a holy vigil ：C．I．A．

 $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon\left[\begin{array}{lll}\iota \nu & \ddot{a} \mu \alpha & \dot{\eta}\end{array}\right] \lambda \dot{\prime} \dot{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \nu \iota o ́ v \tau \iota \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．Part of the ceremonies of the Pannychis consisted of the chants and dances of maidens $(782,3)$ ． ỏ $\lambda 0 \lambda$ ú $\gamma \mu a \tau a$ are their cries expressed in ritual form－short litanies in which the girls responded to the leading recital of the priestess．Cf．
 the night they prayed to the goddess，and hailed her advent as the moon appeared；for at this festival，as we shall see，Athena was worshipped as a moon－goddess．The words vé $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ datoal refer to the $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \circ s$ of Ephebi，who accompanied the procession of the Peplus；their songs appear to be contrasted with the elaborate performances of the cyclic chorus（Xор⿳亠丷厂 $\tau \epsilon \mu \circ \lambda \pi \alpha i$ ，for which cf．［Xen．］Ath．Pol．III 4）．Perhaps，as Mommsen（Feste d．Stadt Athen 1．105）suggests，they were such tunes as had been familiar to them from boyhood（Ar．Nub．967）．Heliodorus（Aethiop．I ıо）


 revioas к．т．A．We may compare the к仑̂भos of youths who greeted the athletic victor on his return home（Bacchyl．xil 190 with Jebb＇s note）．

The chief difficulty of our passage centres round the interpretation of $\mu \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \theta_{2} \nu \dot{s}{ }^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha$（7ク9）．Mommsen conjectures that this is to be identified with the 28th Hecatombacon，which was regarded as the birthday of Athena．ì $\phi \theta$ wàs $\dot{\eta} \mu t \rho a$ is，then，the day of the watning moon，i．e．the day on which the sickle－shaped moon last appears immediately before sumrise．Cf．Schol．on Il．vill 39

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ióvtos，Schol．on Plat．rep． 327 A ．There is however some evidence which camnot easily be reconciled with this．Hesych．s．v．
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ．It is probable that $\tau \rho \iota \tau о \mu \eta \nu i \delta a$ is concealed here，for this word is explained by Harpocration as follows：$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu \tau o u ̂ \mu \eta \nu o ̀ s$


 There is thus a double tradition concerning the date of Athena＇s birthday，and it has been suggested that Istros（c． 230 B．c．），or someone who copied from him，may have altered the older legend with the idea that it was unreasonable to assign the birth of the moon－goddess to a day when her crescent disappears in the morning－ twilight（Gruppe Griech．Mythologie p． $1219^{3}$ ）．

It is right to mention the interpretation of Wilamowitz－ Möllendorff（Hermes xvir p． 356 f ．），who holds that a monthly festival is meant and that Athena is not referred to at all．He lays stress on $\mu a ́ r \eta \rho$（ 77 I ），which he takes as an appeal（qu．$\mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \rho$ ）， and thinks that it could not have been applied to the virgin goddess． But $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ is employed with great freedom in the metaphorical sense （see a strong instance in Tro．1222）；and it is hard to believe that the appeal in 770 ff ．is addressed to anyone but Pallas．However， Wilamowitz believes that Demeter Thesmophorus is meant，and relies on the legend that Erichthonius was the son of Earth and consecrated an altar to her（Suidas s．v．кovporpó申os）．

## 4. On ข. 1015.

This difficult line has puzzled all the editors, and the meaning is still in doubt.

The word $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\pi} \pi a l o s ~ a p p e a r s ~ t o ~ m e a n ~(1) ~ p r o p e r l y, ~ o n e ~ w h o ~$ having shed blood appeals to the god for purification-a suppliant; (2) by a natural extension, one guilty of bloodshed-a sinner; (3) by transference to other persons or things connected with blood-guiltiness-guilt-conveying, as in Ion $1260 \kappa a ̂ \nu ~ \theta a ́ \nu \eta ŋ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ e ̀ v \theta a ́ \delta ' ~ o v ̂ \sigma a, ~$

 $\lambda \epsilon i \psi \epsilon \iota$; (4) by a special application of the last meaning, dealing with blood-guiltiness by way of vengeance, either indirectly, when used of the murdered man, as in Antiph. tetr. A. $\gamma$. Io $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \mu e ̀ ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi \alpha l o s ~ o ́ ~$
 employed as an attribute of his avenging spirit, as in Antiph.



 it is not certain whether the word is masculine or neuter. I think it can hardly be doubted that the last meaning is that which is most appropriate to our passage, and to Paley, so far as I can ascertain, belongs the credit of having been the first so to interpret it.

If then $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi \alpha c o s$ in the sense of avenger adequately expresses the relation in which Eurystheus will hereafter stand to the Heraclidae, what are we to make of róv $\tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a \hat{o} \rho$ ? Now, it must be observed that the Athenians will be in a delicate position, if Eurystheus is put to death without more ado. Although not his actual captors, they have been instrumental in effecting his capture ; and, if they are not able to rescue him from death, it is difficult
${ }_{1}$ This passage determines the point which Tucker (on Cho. 286)
 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \pi о \mu \notin \nu$ ov $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \nu$ in Plat. legg. 866 B. Rohảe, Psyche ${ }^{3}$


to see how they can avoid the pollution $(\mu i a \sigma \mu a)^{1}$ which was so far-reaching in its consequences that it would attach even to the jurors who gave an unjust verdict in a case of homicide (Antiph. titr. I. 8. rо, ef. 559). The concluding words of the play show the sensitiveness of the Chorus on this score, and their anxiety to avoid any possible danger proceeding from their own action. The only means of escape open to the Athenians in general was for the prospective victim to absolve them from any of the consequences involved in his death (Den. 37.59 ằ ó $\pi a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ aútòs $\dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\eta}$ Toû $\phi o ̛ v o u$,
 тठ $\dot{\rho} \eta \mathrm{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a}$ ). That Eurystheus, while expecting to receive honour as a hero at their hands, actually does absolve. Athens is plain from the context (1012 f., 1032 f .) ; and it is suggested that this gracious act is indicated by the word $\gamma \in \nu v a i o s$, which the Athenians might aptly use of him in recognition of his goodwill. That $\gamma \in \nu \nu a i o s ~ w a s ~ a ~ v o x ~$ propria in such a case I am not in a position to prove, but it is perhaps significant that when Hippolytus voluntarily releases Theseus from any stain of blood-an exactly parallel situation-the same word is used in acknowledgement of his generosity: see Hipp. 1448-1452





It remains to notice the views of previous editors.
I. Those who attempt to explain the text may be classed as follows:-
r. Paley, interpreting $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\pi} \pi a \iota o \nu$ as above, sees in $\gamma \in \nu \nu a i ̂ o \nu$ a description of the courage shown by the speaker in facing death. But the sense 'you must acknowledge my bravery, however vindictive I may prove' is very unsatisfactory.
2. Barnes and Elmsley explain $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi a \iota o \nu$ as suppliant, but differ as to $\gamma \in \nu \nu a i o \nu$; the former makes it mean innocent ('veteri innocentiae quasi restitutus'), and the latter 'timidum (per ironiam).' Both of these views seem impossible.
${ }^{1}$ The $\mu i a \sigma \mu \alpha$ of the murderer corresponds to the $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \pi \alpha c o s ~ o f ~$ the murdered man (Pausan. II 18. 2).
3. Pflugk treats $\tau \epsilon$ as=uel: 'you may call me either a sinner or a brave man.' If this is the meaning, the words are singularly ill-chosen.
II. Many think that the text is corrupt. The earliest suspicion came from Musgrave, who proposed $\tau \dot{\delta} \nu \tau$ ' 'A $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \iota o \nu$. Hartung took another line, substituting ктаעєì for калєiv. This was adopted in the Bauer-Wecklein edition ( 1885 ) : i.e., if you kill me, you will kill one who is under the protection of the gods, and whose purpose was noble, and so make yourself guilty of impiety and baseness. But in 1898 Wecklein proposed ov $\pi a \lambda a \mu \nu a \hat{o} o \nu$ by way of improvement on rò $\nu \pi a \lambda a \mu \nu a i ̂ o \nu$, which had been suggested by Kirchhoff. Herwerden attempted, by reading $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \nu \iota \nu \dot{a} \pi о к а \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, to restore the sense which Elmsley sought to elicit from the text.

## APPENDIX C.

## THE CHORIC METRES.

It is now established that the choral parts of tragedy were written continuously by their first transcribers, being in no way distinguished from prose. The colometry of our mss., including such texts as the Bacchylides papyrus, is due to the labours of the Alexandrian grammarians; and to what extent they were guided by tradition we have no means of ascertaining. Apart from the evidence afforded by the mss., modern scholars have to rely on the assistance of such metrical treatises as have been preserved : most valuable, but regrettably scanty, are the fragments of Aristoxenus of Tarentum, a pupil of Aristotle; next in order is the compendium of Hephaestion of Alexandria, who belonged to the age of the Antonines. Unfortunately, the external evidence is entirely insufficient to support a firm conclusion on the correct division into cola and feet of most lyrical metres, or on the time-ratios of the 'mixed' systems; and on these points there has been a great diversity of opinion in recent times. Thus, while it is seldom difficult in a survey of any particular ode to form a correct impression of its metrical character, the scansion even of such well known cola as the Glyconic is quite uncertain, and in the less familiar combinations it is often impossible to determine with certainty the cola themselves.

> vv. 73-110.

The metre of the parodos is mainly dochmiac, the basis of which is the dochmius --- - either pure, as in 87 ; with the first long syllable resolved $-\sim^{-}$-, as in 75 ; with the two first long syllables resolved $-\sim-\sim-$, as in 96 ; or with the second short syllable irrational $--->-$, as in 83. Combined with the
dochmiacs are iambic elements, as is often the case. Besides the ordinary iambic trimeters, we have iambic pentapodies in $8 \mathrm{r}=102$; and in 9I, where the antistrophic verse is lost, we have a dochmiac preceded by an iambic monometer. For this combination cf. Soph. Ai. 396, Phil. 400 . It should, however, be observed that the MSS. make $v .90$ end with $\tau 0 \hat{v}$, in which case, $\pi o \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\nu} \sigma \hat{q}$ forms a dochmiac.

In J. H. H. Schmidt's analysis the passage is not treated as antistrophic, but divided into seven short dochmiac commata. Three introductory lines ( $8 \mathbf{1}, 90,102$ ) he scanned as trochaic pentapodies catalectic with anacrusis. This view should be rejected, as it fails to recognise the lacunae in the text, the existence of which is unquestionable.

$$
\text { vv. } 353-380 .
$$

The strophe opens in Ionic (choriambic) measure thus:-


This is followed by a series of Glyconics, and similar combinations will be found in H.F. 637 ff ., and Hipp. 732 ff . The first verse (vv. 353,4 ) is a choriambic tetrameter catalectic. Hephaestion c. 9.p. 52 G. quotes Sappho (fr. 60) :-

Such a line is called the greater Sapphic, and is adapted by Horace in od. I 8 with a lengthening of the third syllable. The final clause - - - - occurs elsewhere at the close of an Ionic period (Alc. 910, Aesch. $A g .45 \mathrm{I})$. Observe how this phrase is echoed in the last line of the ode. There is also a reminder of the Ionic opening in $v .373$, which should perhaps be scanned $-\simeq ー$ - --.

If the lines are divided as printed in the text, vv. 358-360 $(=367-369), 37 \mathrm{I}, 372,374,375$ are Glyconic dimeters of the type :-

This is called the second Glyconic, because the apparent dactyl stands in what used to be considered the second foot. Metricians are still at issue on the question of the subdivision of such cola ; and there is at present a strong reaction against the method of scansion
made familiar to English students from the Metrical Analyses in Jebb's Sophoilis. A full discussion of the subject will be found in Goodell's Chapters on Giock Metric pp. 212-244, who adopts a conservative attitude. The other view is conveniently summarised by Gleditsch in Mueller's Mandluch vol. it, abt. 3, p. if3 ff. (ed. 3, 1901).
z. 361 ( $=370$ ) and $\because \cdot 37^{6}$, if $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau w$ is omitted with many editors, are Pherecratics, i.e. Iogaoedic dimeters with catalexis of the second metron :-


27: $377-379$ are a variation of Glyconics, not uncommon and sometimes called the logaoedic paroemiac:-

```
----1----
```

But it is worth observing that, if the last syllables of $v \% .376-379$, with $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ retained in the first line, are attached to the lines next following, we have a series of second Glyconics concluding, as is usual, with a Pherecratic.

ขข. 608-629.
The scansion of this dactylic ode presents no difficulty. There are very few spondees, and these only at the end of a verse or period. The lengthening of the last syllable of $\beta a p u ́ \pi o \tau \mu o v$ apparently within the period has given rise to suspicion: Murray suggests $\phi \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ for $a ̆ \nu \delta \rho \alpha$ in 609 . The absence of synaphea shows that a new period begins at $610 . v .617$ is probably a tetrapody with $\mu а к \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \mu o s:-$

$$
\text { vv. } 748-783 .
$$

The metre is logaoedic, with iambic and dactylic phrases interspersed. Its general character is simple, and, when read aloud, the rhythmical movement will be easily followed.

In the first strophe $\tau v .748,749,752,753,755,756$ are second Glyconics:-

$$
==-\sim \mid--\sim-
$$

Note the variation of stress on $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ in two successive lines ( 755 f .), and cf. ка入入iota in H.F. $\sigma_{+7}$. For vv. 750 and 757 we have
 （cf． 774 ）is the enhoplius（or prosodiacus），which has played a prominent part in recent metrical discussions．If we follow Blass， it will be scanned－$-\|_{-}-$．It should be noticed that the livelier rhythm of these lines prepares the way for the longer succession of dactyls in the second strophe．v． 754 （Гגvкஸ́vetov $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \phi a \lambda o \nu)$ ends a period and has catalexis in its concluding syllables：－
v． $75^{8}$ is a logaoedic trimeter with catalexis．It is known as Фалаiкєєоע $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \dot{u} \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \circ \nu$ ，and was often employed by Sappho and Anacreon．Cf．Suppl．962，Soph．Ai． 633 ：－

$$
=\simeq--|\smile-\cup-| \smile-.
$$

The second strophe opens with three Glyconics of the same type as before．vv． 772 and 776 present the combination of an iambic dipody followed by an Ithyphallic－$-\sim_{-}$．There is the same conclusion to a period in Suppl．785，and elsewhere．The slowly－ sinking cadence of the conclusion is fitly preceded by the livelier dactylic movement of $v v .774 \mathrm{f}$ ．

$$
v v .892-927
$$

The prevailing character of the metre is logaoedic，with Glyconic and Pherecratic cola．But the proper distribution of the metrical units is not always clear．

The first strophe opens with an iambic trimeter catalectic．In v．893，if the stop－gap $\epsilon i v i$ oaitl is provisionally accepted，corre－ sponding either to $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ or $\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ in 902 ，we have a logaoedic paroemiac（as in 377）．If the double dactyl is admitted， it gives one of the forms of the enhoplius．v． 894 is a second Glyconic，and 895 is probably parallel to 893 ．
v． 896 f．are Pherecratics．v． 898 was scanned by Schmidt －ーーー－$\wedge$ ，an arrangement which few will accept．If $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ，corresponding to $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s$ mapa - in 907 ，is taken as a single cretic，i．e．as forming a metrical unit by itself（cf．H．F．792： Wilamowitz II，p．167），the system closes naturally with a Priapeus， i．e．a Glyconic together with a Pherecratic．

Strophe $\beta^{\prime} 910-913=919-922$ ．With the traditional cola，we have a first Glyconic followed by two logaoedic paroemiacs and
a Pherecratic. By transferring the last syllables of the three first lines to the succeeding line, Murray obtains a regular succession of Glyconics.
vv. 914-916 are logaoedic paroemiacs.
2. 917 could be scamned as a Pherecratic, followed by a lograoedic paroemiac ; but this is a very unusual ending, and it seems better to treat the first syllable of maîjas ( $\psi v \chi a ́)$ as belonging to the first colon, which thus becomes a first Glyconic with an irrational long syllable in the second metron. For this Gleditsch quotes Ilipp. i4s and Soph. Phil. 1151. Thus the closing rhythm is:-
$-\cdots-\left.\right|_{--} ^{-}$
-ーー - |--.
One of the inferences which have been established from the Bacchylides papyrus is that the Alexandrian metricians limited as far as possible the division of a word between two verses, probably from a regard for calligraphy.

## INDEXES

## I GREEK

äßou入os， 152
ä $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ елоs， 656
àvós， 1011
a $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{b} \nu \eta,{ }_{2} 4^{6}$
＇A $\theta \dot{1} \nu \alpha, 35^{\circ}$
$\dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o i j \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 122$
aideढiodat， $8 \mathrm{I}_{4}$
aiocus，6， 460
aǐ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$（ $\kappa i v \delta \nu \nu 0 \nu), 504$
aí रúvך， 200
aix $\mu \eta, 276$
Aíur， 900
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota, 51$
áरǐ $\epsilon(\nu, 403$
à $\lambda \kappa \eta \eta^{2}, 711$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \prime$＇${ }^{\prime}, 425$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇oư $\ldots \gamma^{\prime}, 689$
à入d， 807
, after $a \lambda \lambda_{0} \theta \epsilon \nu, 540$
，，in appeals， 343,565
，，$\gamma \alpha \rho, 480$
， ．v．$\mu \epsilon \nu$
à $\lambda$ 入os＝＇besides，＇ 368,669
à $\mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} s, 459$
ä $\nu$ repeated， 415,721
，，v．optative
дд $\nu a \lambda \alpha \beta \in i v, 1005$
àveє $\mu$ évos， 3
äv $\operatorname{\epsilon } \iota \mu, 209$

$, \quad,=\varepsilon i s, 807$
$\dot{\alpha} \nu i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$ غ่s， 59
ăข $\downarrow \in \sigma \theta a<, 365$
àv $\mathfrak{i}$ redundant， 58
ä้นлоs， 168
a $\xi \cdot \hat{\omega}=$ honour， 918
ȧँalтєîv， 220
ä $\pi \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon, 67$

аंтเซтєîv， $968,{ }^{1024}$
аंто入ıлєิे， 103
äpa， 65
â $\rho \alpha, 116,268,640,895$
ă $\rho a \sigma \theta a \iota,{ }^{88}$
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \eta ่ \gamma \omega, 840$
á $\rho \iota \mu$ ós ）（ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s, 669$
，＂＝＇cipher，＇ 997
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \hat{\omega},{ }_{576}$
ápx́̇тas， 753
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\omega}, 3^{22}$
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i s, 685,819,932$
$\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu=\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ a $\dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, 143$
aủ $\chi \hat{\omega}, 333,832,93$ I
àфıย́vat，8ıo
Balveıv c．acc．，168， 802
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$＇̇s $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha p i a \nu$, p．xxi
$\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa$ éval with $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ ，62，610，
910
$\beta \epsilon \beta \eta$ лоs， 404
Вопбрбиоя， 339
Bрう́telos， 822
$\beta \dot{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ， 33,196
$\gamma \alpha ́ \rho$ and $\mu \dot{e ́ v}$ confused， 18 I
，，assents， 716
，，explicative， 303
，，in questions， $656,658,672$
introductory，12， 800
$\gamma \epsilon$ corroborates，499，792， 794
$\gamma \epsilon$ qualifies whole clause， 632 ， 987
，，$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau о \iota, 267,593,637,1016$ revpaîos，1oI 4, p． 149
$\gamma^{\text {E }} \mathrm{\nu}$ OS， 45
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{u} \mu \beta$ os， 166
$\gamma$ f $\boldsymbol{\gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma \iota}$ impersonal， 149

ү入аuкб́s， 754

$\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \iota \mu \alpha \chi \epsilon i \nu, 706$
סau $\sigma \theta \epsilon i s, 914$
$\delta \epsilon$ ）（ $\tau \epsilon, 401$ $=\gamma a ́ \rho, 70,480 \mathrm{ff} ., 890$
，＂postponed，39， 439
，， $\boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon}, 109$
$\delta \in \xi เ a ́, 307$
$\delta \in \hat{\rho} \rho o, 48,848$
$\delta \dot{\prime}$ temporal，203，33I，442， 665,856
，，with $\nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i, 484$
＂，，＂тo入ús， 53
＂，，＂pronoun， $132,632,963$ ， 965
，，，，superlative， 794
ठิทтa，${ }_{51} 6$
$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu, 422$
סıá $\epsilon \iota \nu, 788$
бıакעаiєєข， 296
$\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma o v$ construction， 132
סiкatov（sb．），138，253， 368
סikalos， 142,776
бікท， 460
סis tó $\sigma \dot{a}, 293$
бокєìv， 897
бокทิбаข， 186
о́кюбเs， 395
ббиоя， 486
$\delta \delta \xi \bar{\alpha})(\kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \rho s, 623$
§ $\delta \rho v, 396,803$
סopuббoûs， 774
$\delta \rho a ̂ \nu$ with $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, 538$
，，$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \omega, 424$
ठ $\omega \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota, 1028$
$\epsilon \in \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}, 516$
E＇roús， 37
$\epsilon l=o ̈ \tau \iota, 435$

єl $\delta \dot{\eta}, 408,437,739$
$\epsilon l \mu \eta \gamma^{\prime}, 272$
єi $\mu l$ omitted， 502
with adv．， $358,369,1055$
єîs（ $\epsilon \nu \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda 0 i ̂ s), 327$
with $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \omega \nu, 8$
єľouat， 269
єіта，816
$\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa=$ changing from，796， 939
，，$\chi \in \rho \omega \hat{\omega}, 414$
$\epsilon \kappa \delta \iota \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \omega,{ }^{\epsilon} 4$
є́к $\delta \ell \delta \omega \mu \ell, 97,319$
є́кєर̂， 594
$\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{i} \theta \epsilon \nu=\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{l}, 141$
є́ктєірєєข， 801
є́ $\lambda$ aúvєเข є̇ $\gamma \gamma$ ús， 904
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon і \bar{\nu}, 94 \mathrm{I}$
é $\lambda \in \nu \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega s$＇（ $\theta a \nu \in i v), 559$
＂ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu={ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o ́ s$, I 30
${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \tau \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \nu, 876$
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \varsigma, 285$
$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu=$ in the judgment of，223， 510
，，кал⿳⺈⿴\zh11⿰一一 97 I
，，$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \omega,{ }^{2} 73,184$
ėvàtion， 943
Є̇ $\nu \delta \epsilon \eta \eta_{s}, 17 \circ$

$\epsilon \quad \nu \delta \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega, 543$
$\not \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \delta o \nu \quad \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega ̄ \nu, 709$
$\hat{\epsilon} \xi=\dot{v} \pi \dot{\prime},{ }^{\circ}, 587,769$
モ̇ $\xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi a ́ \nu \omega \nu, 148$

$\epsilon \xi \xi a \mu \eta \chi a \nu \epsilon i \nu, 495$
$\epsilon \in \xi a \nu \in \chi \neq \mu a \iota$ with partic．， 967
€ $\epsilon^{\xi}$ óv， 7
єє६ораَбөaı， 675


$\epsilon \in \pi a \iota \nu \hat{\omega}$ c．inf．， 300

$\epsilon \pi \pi \alpha \lambda a \chi \theta \epsilon i s, 836$
є̇тei тot кal，507， 744
ย̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \gamma \epsilon, 732$
$\epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \epsilon, 8_{4} \sigma$
$\epsilon \pi \kappa \beta \omega \mu \iota \circ \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon i ̄ \nu, 44$
＇є $\rho \epsilon \mu \nu$ о́s， 218
t＇s， $147,387,8$ II
，，$\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta 0 \lambda \alpha \dot{s}, 270$
＇s tò $\pi a ̂ \nu, 575$
，1，$\chi$ єîpa， 429
éorávat èv dózots， 145
そ̇ $\tau \iota, 500,652$
हैтог $\mu$ os without $\epsilon i \mu \ell, 502$

єűxapıs， 894
＇＇$\phi$＇оโбt， 135

＇̇фtéval， 393

$\epsilon^{\star} \chi \omega$ c．aor．part．， 436
కєúgvupu c．dat．，886， 937
Zeìs à $\gamma o p a i ̂ o s, ~ 70, ~ p . ~ x ~$
，，тротаîos， 867
ī redundant， 297
ij．．．$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, 729$
i．$\pi \mathrm{ov}, 55$
，，тăpa， 651

$\dot{\eta} \lambda$ ıкla， 706

Нра́клєוos， 192

$\theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega, 13,134,200,828$
$\theta \in \circ \mathrm{L} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{̣} 0 \iota, 877$
$\theta \epsilon$ és（ $=\ddot{\eta} \lambda$ cos $), 749$
$\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\tau \epsilon \rho, 608$
$\theta u \eta \pi$ олеітаи， 401
láx $\omega, 7{ }^{2} 2$
ióé $\sigma$ Aal，29， 896
ídos ）（ olкєîos， 146
iovávaı ßoŋ̀p，73，128， 656
ZT $\tau, 455$
каӨapŵs， 1054
кӓiऽ $\omega, 66_{4}$
кal epitatic， $351,660,745,884$
,,$=$＇and yet，＇ 98 r
，，＝каimєр， 998
$\ldots \gamma \epsilon, 64 \mathrm{I}, 683$
$\delta \dot{\eta}, 67 \mathrm{I}$
．．．кal， 435
$\mu a ́ \lambda a, 386$
$\mu \eta^{\nu} \ldots \gamma^{\prime}$, I 30
kakbs， 259
какผิs аккои́єเข， 718
блочце́̀vov， 874

кал入іхороя， 359
ка入入аive，to
ка́pa， 539
карбіа， $5_{8} 8$
ката̀ бтоца，80ı
катаเঠєїөal， 1027
ката́р $є \epsilon \sigma \theta a t, 529,601$
катабтє́申єtv， 124
＂$\quad$ хєpoiv， 226

катє́ Хєเข， 83
катךүорєї， 417
кєктทй $\nu, 282$
кєр $\delta \alpha i \nu \in \iota \nu, 959$
кєย́ $\theta \in เ \nu, ~ 762,879$
$\kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon i v, 7.5$
$\kappa \lambda a i \omega \nu, 270$
к $\lambda$ ทिро८，876
$\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \varphi \quad \lambda a \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu}, 3^{6}$
коміјєєข， $9{ }^{1}$
Kи́p $\eta, 408$
коб $\boldsymbol{\epsilon і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota , ~} 568$
краі̀є七̀ סікая， 143
крірєเข， 197
ки́pıos， 143
$\kappa ข \rho \omega \hat{\omega}$ c．acc．， 374
$\lambda а \mu \pi \rho \delta s, 280$
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota=$＇to command，＇ 496
，，with $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \cup ́ s \iota \nu ; 182$

$\lambda \sigma \gamma \omega \mu \mu \theta \epsilon i v, 5$
$\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \quad \tau v \chi \in i ̀ v, 95$
$\lambda \omega \tau$ ós， 893
макро́s， $95^{2}$
$\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{}, 302$
mavial， $90_{+}$
$\mu \epsilon)\left(\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}, 45^{6}\right.$
$\mu$ é $\gamma$ as $\lambda$ dó os， 535
$\mu \in \theta \iota \in ́ v a \imath$（ $\nu \in i ̂ \kappa o s)$ ）， 160
$\mu \epsilon i ̄ \zeta o \nu$ фро这， 933
$\mu \epsilon \overline{\lambda \epsilon \iota}(\pi \epsilon \rho \ell), 480 \mathrm{ff}$ ．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ， 96,354
$\mu \epsilon ̂ \lambda \lambda \omega$ c．aor．inf．， 7 Io
$\mu^{\prime} \nu$ and $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ confused， 181
in questions， 793
．．．ả入入á，464，928， 997
，，．．．ס́́ with anaphora，491， 626
，，．．．$\delta \epsilon \ldots . . . \delta \epsilon, 207$
，，．．．tє，238， $34^{\circ}$
$\mu \in \tau \alpha i \chi \mu$ оу， 803
$\mu \in \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \omega, 629$
не́токкоя， 1033
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ final，28， 338
，generic， 328,527
, ，in indirect questions， 482
，，in prohibitions， 654
，，with participles，533，693，

$$
\text { pp. } 143-146
$$

$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega, 35^{8}$
$\mu \eta ่ \tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \epsilon, 454$
$\mu i a \sigma \mu a, 558$
$\mu$ о́v оs $\mu$ о́v $\varphi, 807$
ขєкро́s， 165
$\nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega \tau \eta \mathrm{s}, 8_{4}$
Niкп＇ $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu \mathrm{a}, 35^{2}$
$\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}, 786$
ขó́ттоs， 3 10， $587,644,10_{4}$
$\nu o u ̂ s$, divine origin of， $54^{\circ}$
$\nu \cup к \tau i ~ \sigma u \nu \theta a \kappa \epsilon i v, 994$
$\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta}, 234,873$
ö $\delta \epsilon$ and $\omega \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ confused， 188
，，for reflexive，${ }_{5}{ }^{2}$
with pers．pron．， 785
оiкєiv＝סьоוкєiv， 245

ot $\mu \alpha \iota, 511,968$

§入о入úquata， 782
д̀ $\mu \lambda i ́ a, 581$
отліітทs，699，800
ötcus after vb．of fearing， 249
$\epsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega, 1051$
＂áv＂final， 335
öp $\quad$ Ls， 730
öpos， $3^{8}$
$0^{\prime} s=\epsilon l \tau t s, 299$
$\partial^{\circ} s=o ̈ \sigma \tau \iota s, 135$
8 $\sigma$ cos， 719
ö $\sigma \tau \iota s, 409$
öтav， 1035
oú $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \tau \iota, 193,3^{8} 4$
，$\delta$ हो $\tau^{\prime}, 507$
＂$\mu \dot{\eta}, 377,384$
，$\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \ldots \gamma, 556,885$
oủסє́．．．$\tau$ ， 780
ойк ї $\sigma \mu \in \nu, \sigma_{5} 8$
оช̈коиข．．． $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$＇，І9І， 1005
ойคเos， 822
ойтє．．．тє， 605
ойтоц．．．$\gamma \epsilon, 64,43^{8}$
oü $\omega$ S， 374
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu, 209$
，，aथ̂өاs， 487,708
$\pi a \nu o \pi \lambda l a$（of orphans）， 171
$\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \quad \delta \rho a ̂ \nu, 8_{4} \mathrm{I}$
$\kappa \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \pi \hat{\epsilon} \tau \rho \circ \nu, 1002$
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{c}$ c．acc．， 6 II
，＂c．dat．，201，370，881
，，$\mu<\kappa \rho \grave{\partial} \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu, 295$
$\pi a \rho a \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu, 907$
$\pi а р а \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ ， 88
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \epsilon \nu 0 s(\mathrm{adj}),$.
$\pi \alpha \rho i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta a l, 502,56_{4}$
$\pi \in i \sigma \epsilon \tau a l, 104$
$\pi \epsilon$ रोas（oi）， 2

$\pi \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \eta s, 639$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ，p．xxiv f．
$\pi i \tau u$ дos， $83+$
$\pi \lambda a \nu \eta$ ท̇ $\eta$ s $\beta$ los， 878
$\pi \lambda \epsilon_{0 \nu}(\tau i), 466$
，＂фоо⿱㇒⿺𠃊⿻丷木斤，${ }_{2} 58$
$\pi \lambda$ evpai， 824
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu=\ddot{\eta}, 231$
$\pi \nu 0 \eta$ and $\pi \nu o i \eta, 43 \circ$

，，（ $\epsilon \xi \xi \omega \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ є้ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu)$ ， 109
тоla， $39^{6}$
то入ıós， 758
тódes， $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{4}}, 142$
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}, 170$
$\pi 0 \lambda u ́$ with superl．， 599
тодuктброs， 217
то入и́тоуоs， 932
$\pi 0 \hat{v}=h o z v, 369,510$
$\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon, 437$
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu, 479$
$\pi \rho i \nu d u, 180,865$
$\pi \rho о \delta t \delta \omega \mu, 1036$
$\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu, 618$
$\pi \rho o ́ s$ с．acc．， 116,982
，，c．gen．， 682
，，$=\dot{u} \pi 6,77,244,1001$
，，тaûta， 978
＂，тঠ סєเwд̀v léval， 562
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon$ וтєiv， 573
$\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\kappa}<\boldsymbol{\nu}, 214$
$\pi р о \sigma к о \pi \epsilon і \nu, 47^{\circ}$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau$ t日̇̀va， 474
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \delta \pi a t o s, 1014$, p． $\mathrm{I}_{4} 8$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \rho о \pi \eta$ и́， 108
$\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mu a \tau a, 573$
$\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} 0 \nu \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}, \mathrm{r}_{3}$
$\pi$ úpros， 46
$\pi \nu \rho \gamma o u ̂ \nu, 293$
＇Paסauáv日vas Siкalov， 424
pं $\eta \dot{\xi} \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha, 835$
¢ $/ \pi \tau \epsilon \mathrm{i} \nu, \mathrm{I}_{4} 4$
$\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu \grave{s} \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \omega \nu, 130$
бa申ढ̂s， 872
бeautóv， 635
okalós， 458
$\sigma \mu \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu \quad$ фрогєîv， 386
$\sigma$ os $=$ iste， 284
бофıтйs， 993
бтєциатои̂̀， 529
$\sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \phi \eta, 71$
$\sigma \dot{u}$ not emphatic， 257,565
бú ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o s, 32$
$\sigma \cup \gamma к р и ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, 721$
$\sigma u \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \theta a t,{ }^{2} 52$
бvитолїтаи， 826
$\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota, 919$
бицфора́，236，607，662
oúv qualifying object， 247,710

$\sigma v \nu \in \sigma \chi$ б $\quad \eta \nu, 634$
бขvotieiv， 996
бúvrouos ）（ ка入ós， 784

$\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha{ }^{\text {＇}}$＇$\omega \nu<\kappa \dot{\partial} \nu, 63,172$
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha, 89,528$
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma u ́ v \eta$ ，p．xxviii

Td $\epsilon \xi \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, 1054$
т $\dot{\alpha}$ Өє $\omega \bar{\nu}, 618$
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}, 155$
$\tau \alpha \delta \epsilon, 793$
rá̀as， 433
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta=\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \dot{\partial} \dot{\delta} \epsilon, 279$
таvồ тád $\overline{,} 6+1$
$\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \in \mu 0 \hat{0}, 23$
tá申os confused with tomos， 1041
$\tau \epsilon=0 r, 21$
，，traiectum， 622
，，..$\tau \epsilon$ ，of alternatives， 153
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a, 899$
$\tau \ell$ oủ c．aor．， 805
ті хрŋิна，633，646， 709
$\tau \iota \theta \in \nu a \iota$ c．inf．， $99^{\circ}$
$\tau t s=\pi \hat{s} s \tau t s, 82 \tau$
，，emphatic， 973
＂＝＇many a one，＇ 738
，，for personal pronoun，595， 866
$\tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu, 57 \circ$
тò $\lambda \hat{\mu} \sigma \tau \circ \nu, 169$
，$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \gamma เ \sigma \tau 0 \nu, 238$
„ $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu, 167$
тоしoûtos，266， 334
$\tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{\omega}, 8 I_{5}$
тоббббє，156，305，316， 411
то́тє，434，970， 1009
$\tau \rho\left(\beta \epsilon \iota \nu, 8_{+}\right.$
тротаîa， 786
$\tau \rho \circ \pi \eta \nu \nu \tau \theta \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, 744$
ти $\gamma \chi \alpha{ }^{\nu} \omega$ ， 5 II
ن̇ $\pi a ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, 35^{1}$
$\dot{v} \pi a \sigma \pi i \dot{\zeta} \omega \nu, 216$
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa o o s ~ c . ~ d a t . , ~} 286$
$\dot{u} \pi \dot{\prime}$ c．dat．after $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\nu}, 23 \mathrm{I}$
，＂，，of musical accom． paniment， 782
，，with intransitive verb， 599
，，$\pi \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \hat{\mathrm{i}}, 10$

фаєб $\{\mu \beta \rho о т о s, 75 \circ$
фаірєб $\theta a \iota, 663,694$
$\phi^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ ád $\boldsymbol{\nu} i \theta \in s$ रáp， 153
$\phi \in \hat{v}, 535,55^{2}$


$\phi \theta \epsilon$ lpou， 284
$\phi \theta$ เvàs ท̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho a, 779, \mathrm{p} .{ }_{4} 4$
фóßos $\epsilon i, 791$
$\phi \rho \eta \eta^{\nu}, 54^{\circ}$
фроעєiv，258，386， 933
фоо́v $\eta \mu a, 908,926$
фро⿱亠䒑⿱亠䒑⿱亠⿱八乂力， 620
фиソท่， 39
$\chi^{\chi \lambda \lambda \nu \beta \delta ı к o ́ s,} 160$
$\chi \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu,{ }_{2}{ }^{\ddagger} \mathrm{I}$
，＂є̈ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu, 767$
$\chi$ व́pıs，438， $54^{8}$
$\chi$ д́рıтєs， 379
$\chi \in i \rho, 1_{5} 6,337,1035$
Хєípas iкє́ $\theta$ बal， 93 I ， 976
$\chi$ хทŋ́sஸ， 565
хрท̂ข，449， 959

$\chi$ ро́ขф，869， 941
$\chi^{v} \mu \in \nu \circ s, 76$
$\psi \in \cup ́ \delta \omega, 3^{8} 4$
$\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu \tau \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu a l, 93+$
$\psi u \chi \eta ิ s ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho \rho, 984$
๙i $\tau \hat{\alpha} \nu, 32 \mathrm{I}, 688$
ढ้̈ $\nu$ omitted $21,198,32 \mathrm{I}, 332$ ， $377,386,510$
$\dot{\omega} s=\ddot{\circ} \pi \omega \mathrm{s}, 588$
,,$=$ öт七 oüt $\omega$ s， 53
，，eimeì ètros， 167

，$\mu \dot{\prime}$ c．part．， 693
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon=\dot{\omega} \varsigma, 423$
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \bar{\nu}, 68 \mathrm{I}$
$\omega ̈ \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \varsigma$ c．aor．inf．， 247

## II ENGLISH

abstract for concrete, 39, 108, $459,580,706$
nom, use of, $81_{4}, 985$ accusative absolute, $7,177,186$, 506, 693
adverbial, 597, 792, 678
after $\beta$ aiveiv, 168,802
", ," noun, 65
," ,, vbs. of speaking to, 600,657
cognate, $38,55,77$, $95,374,394,492$, $67 \mathrm{I}, 990$
,, double, $195,845,852$ ,, in apposition to sentence, 169,930 of reference, $10 \mathrm{I}_{4}$, 1024,1054
", ,, respect, 202
", ", result, 533, 852
adjective, adverbial, 33,196 , 324,589
for gen. of proper name, 192
noun as, 699, 753
,, predicative, $119,55^{\circ}$
,, proleptic, 322, 575
,, with two terminations, 143, 634, 901
Aeschylus, Heraclidae of, p. xiii
n. 2, p. xvi

Agias, 217
Alcathous, 278
Alcmena, character of, p. xxix f. anacoluthon, $320,480 \mathrm{ff} ., 497$, $5^{24}$
anaphora, $225,307,491,626$, 874
Anaxagoras, 540
Anthemocritus, 271

Antiope, 217
antithesis, 182, 1043
aorist, gnomic, 300, 613
ingressive, $\mathbf{1 7 2}^{2}, 31 \mathrm{It}, 740$
momentary, 232, 797
)( imperfect, 1008
v. participle
aposiopesis, 227
Argos, 187,828
Aristotle, pp. xxiii-xxy armour in temples, 698
article as demonstr., 291
,, marks quotation, $97^{8}$
,, omitted, 562, 579
," order of words with, 616 , 863
repeated, 827
asyndeton, 49, 207, 335, 557
," explanatory, 399, 408, $436,948 \mathrm{ff}$.
", rhetorical, 224, 230, 306, 82 I
Athena helps Heracles, 920
Athenian democracy, antiquity of, 424
Athenians (aủtox $\theta$ oves), 69
Athenians, humanity of, 176, 329, 457, p.xxviff.
," piety of, 903 , p. xxvii, p. 141
attraction in relative clause, 759
bisection of iambic trimeter, 62 bloodguiltiness, 558 , p. 148 f .
brachylogy, 279
Ceyx, 193
Chalybes, 160
comparative, contrasting, IIO co-ordination by antithesis, 182
co－ordination of clauses， 701
Copreus， 54 ，p．x n． 3
Corcyreans， 748 ff ．
Cresphontes，p．xxxii
cretic rule， $303,45^{6}, 5^{2} 9,640$
dative causal， $529,474,541$ ， 650，701， 775 ， 789
，，，，balancing part．， 6， 195
ethic， $9+2$
instrumental，392， 886
iudicantis， 315
locative， $77,339,360$ ， $75^{2}$
，，of agent， 39
death，593， 595
Dionysia and full moon， $7 \not+8$
double question， 66 I
enemies，Greek view of，882， p．xxvii f．
Erechtheum， 754
euphemism， 320,946
Eurystheus， 457

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { tomb of, } 103 \mathrm{I}, \\
\text { p. xxii }
\end{gathered}
$$

eyes，emotion expressed by， $3^{81}$
fut．indic．combined with delib． subj．， 515
＂，＂，in protasis，197，243， $418,652,763$
＂，＂，＂．questions， 439
，＂middle in passive sense， 334

Gargettus， 1031 ，p．xxii genitive，abl．of comparison， 233， 293
absolute balancing nom．， 520
after $\nu \circ \mu i \zeta \omega, 68$
＂，causal，232，447， 644
＂，double， 797
，＂objective， 470
＂，of definition， $62 \mathbf{1}, 797$
＂，relation， 95
genitive，partitive，46，397， 567， 908 quasi－partitive with adv．，213， 379

Hebe， 915
Hecataeus，193，p．xvi
Hera and Argos， 349
Heracles，apotheosis of，9，540， 910
death of， 914 expedition of to Sparta， 741 labours of， 217
Heraclidae，legend of，p．xvi
return of， 587,819 ， $104^{2}$
Heraclitus， 576
heralds， 292
hero－worship， 1040 ff
Herodotus，similarities in style of， $3,77,213,313,334$,
$394,403,498,53$ I，549， 565 ， 587，706， 968
Hesiod， 625
Hippolyte， 217
Homer，reminiscences of，77， 192，327， $711,740,750,836$, 931
human sacrifice，408， 822
hypallage， 44 I
hyperbaton，160，205， 844
imperative expresses assumption， 264
in relative clause， 451 imperfect expressing likelihond with conditional par－ ticiple， 1004
v．infinitive，participle
infinitive after adj．，4， 21,864
，vb．of fearing， 559
alôєî̃ $\theta a l, 43$（cf． 1027）
ait⿳亠二口， 220
$\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega, 228$
є̇ $\pi a \iota \nu \hat{\omega}, 300,81_{2}$
${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \omega, 49^{8}$
infinitive after $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega, 345$
$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ нккрд̀ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda$. $\theta \epsilon i v, 295$
", " $\tau 1 \theta \eta \mu, 99^{\circ}$
,, aor. after $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega, 710$
,, for imperative, 3 r 3
". imperfect, 832, 921
" of purpose, 34, 345
subject omitted, 1040 f .
Iolaus, restoration of, p. xxi
Justice, $94^{1}$
Lacedaemonian invasions, 281, 1035, p. xxxi
libations, $v$. hero-worship
lot, antiquity of, 36
"Athenian conception of, 545
Lysidice, 21 I
Macaria, story of, pp. xviiixxi
character of, p. xxix
Marathon, 32, 393, 401, p. x
n. 2, p. xxxi
meiosis, 653,903
messengers in Euripides, p. xiv

## n. I

rewards of, 784, 789
metaphors, 10, 226, 486,562, $610,613,625,656,904,941$, 1006
middle voice, 122, 256, 340, 397, 664, 808
Mycenae, 187
negative qualifying finite vb . and part., 8 I3
nominative in apposition to sentence, 72, 402
nominativus pendens, 40
optative, general, 19
in primary sequence, 894
with ăv expressing determination, 344, 547
optative with $\alpha \ddot{\nu}$ of present time, 212
oracles, 404
overpraise, 204
oxymoron, $6+8$
Pallene, 849, ro3ı
Panathenaea, 777 ff., p. 146 f.
participle, aor. 'timeless,' 121 , 338,1025 balancing dat., 6 , 195 ," finite vb., 43 bears main stress, 11I, $263, \quad 573$, 1052
double, 21, 204, 849 imperfect, 871
with sb. = abstract noun, 468
perfect middle, 42
,, periphrastic, 910
Persephone, 408
personalised construction, 142, 213, 480 ff., 576,68 м, 776 , IOII
Pherecydes, p. xvii
Phocylides, 160
pleonasm, 531, 884, 944
plural, allusive, $99,294,367$, 950,1055
of predicative adj., 507
Polyxena, ${ }^{5} 6 \mathrm{I}$, p. xxix
poverty, Greek view of, 84
pregnant construction, 59
preposition used $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ коเข $75^{6}$
prepositional phrase qualifying noun, 140,321
prepositional phrase qualifying object, 249, 710
present, conative, $19,139,369$, 1003
dynamic, 159, 4 19, 557, IOII, 1049
", historic, with aor., 83
", ", ,, imperf., 938
,, registering, 208
, $\quad(=$ perfect $), 15,786$
present of $\kappa \lambda \hat{v} \omega$ etc., $\mathbf{5}^{26,8} 84$ imperative, 1026
prodelision, 610
pronoun, possessive, 1013 used ámò коьขой, 158
prosperity, danger of, 204 proverbial expressions, 109, 180, $269,327,387,612,722,865$, 994
rank, importance of in marriage, 299
redundancy, 297, 426, $57 \mathrm{I}, 803$, 833,883
relative for indirect interrog., 135
,, with suppressed antecedent, $6_{32}$
repetition of words, careless, $315,388,405,894$
reprisal, ${ }^{25} 2$
sacrifices, 529
529
before battle, 400, 673
Sciron, 860
singular predicate with plural zeugma, 311, 836, 839, 104r subject, 468
singular used distributively, 800
Socrates, 413, 746
Sthenelus, $3^{61}$
subject omitted, 830,835 , 1040 f.
subjunctive expl. preceding subj., 178
first sing. hortative, 559
in relative clause without $a \nu, \mathrm{p}$. 140
suppliants, 124,440
Supplices, p. xxviii
Temenus, p. xxxii
Theseus, 217,860
Trachis, 193
Tricorythus, p. xx
Tyrrhenian trumpet, 830
verb, intrans., in passive, 401 ", used causatively, 296, 949
women, at Athens, 476 f .

## THE PITT PRESS SERIES

## AND THE

# CAMBRIDGE SERIES FOR SCHOOLS AND TRAINING COLLEGES. 

Volumes of the latter scries are marked by a dagster $t$.
COMPLETE LIST.

## GREEK.

| Author | Work | Editor P | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aeschylus | Prometheus Vinctus | Rackham | 2/6 |
| Aristophanes | Aves-Plutus-Ranae | Green $\quad 3 / 6$ | $3 / 6$ each |
| ", | Vespae | Graves | 3/6 |
| " | Acharnians | " | 3/- |
|  | Nubes |  | 3/6 |
| Demosthenes | Olynthiacs | Glover | 2/6 |
| Euripides | Heracleidae | Beck \& Headlam | -3/6 |
| " | Hercules Furens | Gray \& Hutchinson | son 2\%- |
| , | Hippolytus | Hadley | $2 \%$ |
| " | Iphigeneia in Aulis | Headlam | 2/6 |
| " | Medea |  | 2/6 |
| " | Hecuba | Hadley | 2/6 |
| " | Helena | Pearson | 3/6 |
| " | Alcestis | Hadley | 2/6 |
|  | Orestes | Wedd | 4/6 |
| Herodotus | Book IV | Shuckburgh | $4 /$. |
| " | " v | " | 31. |
| " | " VI, Vili, ix | 41. | 4). each |
| " | ,", VIII 1 -90, ix i-89 | ,, $2 / 6$ | $2 / 6$ each |
| Homer | Odyssey Ix, x | Edwards 2/6 | $2 / 6 \mathrm{each}$ |
| " | " XXI |  | 21. |
| " | , XI | Nairn | $2 /-$ |
| " | Iliad vi, xxir, xxili, xxiv | Edwards 2/- | 2/- each |
|  | Iliad IX, x | Lawson | 2/6 |
| Lucian | Somnium, Charon, etc. | Heitland | $3 / 6$ |
|  | Menippus and Timon | Mackie | 3/6 |
| Plato | Apologia Socratis | Adam | $3 / 6$ |
| " | Crito | ", | $2 / 6$ |
| " | Euthyphro | J. \& A, M, Adam +/6 |  |
| " | Protagoras |  |  |

THE PITT PRESS SERIES, ETC.
GREEK continued.
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## LATIN continued.



THE PITT PRESS SERIES, ETC.
GREEK continued.

| Author | Work | Eatitor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plutarch | Demosthenes | Holden | $4 / 6$ |
| " | Gracchi | " | 6\% |
| " | Nicias | " | $5 \%$ |
| " | Sulla | " | 6\% |
| " | Timoleon | , | 6/. |
| Sophocles | Oedipus Tyrannus | Jebb | 41- |
| Thucydides | Book III | Spratt | 51. |
| " | Book VI |  | 6/- |
| ', | Book VII | Holden | 5\% |
| Xenophon | Agesilaus | Hailstone | 2/6 |
| " | Anabasis I, II | Pretor | $4 /$. |
| " | " I, IH, IV, V | " | 2/- each |
| + " | " II, VI, VII | Edinards | 2/6 each |
| † , | (Ẅth complcte Vocabular | Edwards <br> es) | 1/6 eack |
| ' | Hellenics I, II | "' | 3/6 |
| " | Cyropaedeia I | Shuckburgh | 2/6 |
| " | , II |  | 2/- |
| " | " III, IV, V | Holden | 51. |
| ', | " VI, VII, VIII |  | 5/- |
| " | Memorabilia I | Edwards | $2 / 6$ |
| " | , II | " | 2/6 |
| LATIN. |  |  |  |
| Bede | Eccl. History III, IV | Lumby | 7/6 |
| Caesar | De Bello Gallico |  |  |
|  | Com. I, III, Vi, Vill | Peskett | 1/6 each |
| " | " II-III, and VII | " | 2\%-each |
| " | 1, I-III | " | 31. |
| " | " IV-V | 0 | 1/6 |
| † | ,H, I, 11, III, IV, V,VI, VII Shuckburgh $\quad 1 / 6 \mathrm{cac} / \mathrm{z}$ (With complete Vocabularies) |  |  |
| " | De Bello Civili. Com. I | Peskett | 31 |
| " | ,", Com. III |  | 2/6 |
| Cicero | Actio Prima in C. Verrem | Cowie | 1/6 |
| " | De Amicitia | Reid | $3 / 6$ |
| " | De Senectute |  | 3/6 |
| " | De Officiis. Bk III | Holden | 2/- |
| ', | Pro Lege Manilia | Nicol | I/6 |
| " | Div. in Q. Caec. et Actio |  |  |
| " | Ep. ad Atticum. Lib. II | Pretor | 31. |
| " | Orations against Catiline | Nicol | 2/6 |
| (With Vocabulary) |  | Flather | I/6 |
| " | Philippica Secunda | Peskett | $3 / 6$ |
| " | Pro Archia Poeta | Reid | $2 /$ - |
| " | ", Balbo | " | 1/6 |

THE PITT PRESS SERIES, ETC.

## LATIN continued.



THE PITT PRESS SERIES, ETC.

## FRENCH.

The Volumes marked * contain Vocabulary.

| Autho, | Work | Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| About | Le Roi des Montagnes | Ropes | 2/- |
| *Biart | Quand j'étais petit, Pts I, II | Boielle | 2/-each |
| Boileau | L'Art Poétique | Nichol Smith | 2/6 |
| Cornellle | La Suite du Menteur | Masson | 2\% |
| " | Polyeucte | Braunholtz | $2 \%$ |
|  | Le Cid | Eve | $2 /$ |
| De Bonnechose | Lazare Hoche | Colbeck | 2/- |
| * " | Bertrand du Guesclin | Leathes | ${ }^{2}$ \% |
|  | , Pr Part II |  | 5/6 |
| Delavigne | Louis XI | Eve | $2 \%$ |
|  | Les Enfants d'Edouard |  | $2 \%$ |
| De Lamartine | Jeanne d'Arc | Clapin \& Ropes | 1/6 |
| De Vigny | La Canne de Jonc | Eve | 1/6 |
| *Dumas | La Fortune de D'Artagnan | Ropes | $2 \%$ |
| *Enault | Le Chien du Capitaine | Verrall | $2 \%$ |
| Erckmann-Chatr | rian La Guerre | Clapin | 3/- |
| " | Waterloo | Ropes | 3/- |
| ", | Le Blocus |  | $3 /$ |
| ", | Madame Thérèse | ," | 3/- |
| " | Histoire d'un Conscrit |  | $3 /$ |
| Gautier | Voyage en Italie (Selections) | Payen Payne | $3 \%$ |
| Guizot | Discours sur l'Histoire de la Révolution d'Angleterre | Eve | 2/6 |
| Hugo | Les Burgraves |  | $2 / 6$ |
| ${ }^{*}$ Malot | Remi et ses Amis | Verrall | $2 \%$ |
|  | Remi en Angleterre |  | $2 \%$ |
| Merimée | Colomba (Abridged) | Ropes | $2 \%$ |
| Michelet | Louis XI \& Charles the Bold |  | 2/6 |
| Molière | Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme | Clapin | $1 / 6$ |
| " | L'Ecole des Femmes | Saintsbury | $2 / 6$ |
| " | Les Précieuses ridicules | Braunholtz | 2\% |
| " | , ${ }^{\text {( Abridged Edition) }}$ | " | I/. |
| " | Le Misanthrope | , | 2/6 |
|  | L'Avare |  | 2/6 |
| *Perrault | Fairy Tales | Rippmann | 1/6 |
| Piron | La Métromanie | Masson | $2 \%$ |
| Ponsard | Charlotte Corday | Ropes | 2\% |
| Racine | Les Plaideurs | Braunholtz | $2 \%$ |
| " | ", (Abridged Edition) |  | $1 \%$ |
|  | Athalie | Eve | 2\% |
| Saintine | Picciola | Ropes | $2 \%$ |
| Sandeau | Mdlle de la Seiglière |  | 2\%. |
| Scribe \& Legouve | é Bataille de Dames | Bull | 2\% |
| Scribe | Le Verre d'Eau | Colbeck | 2\% |
| Sédaine | Le Philosophe sans le savoir | Bull | $2 \%$ |
| Souvestre | Un Philosophe sous les Toits | Eve | 2/- |
| " | Le Serf \& Le Chevrier de Lorr | aine Ropes | 2\% |

## THE PITT PRESS SERIES, ETC.

FRENCH contimued.

| Author | Work | Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Souvestre | Le Serf | Ropes | 1/6 |
| spencer | A Primer of French Verse |  | 3/- |
| Staël, Mme de | Le Directoire | Masson \& Prothero | \% |
| " | Dix Années d'Exil (Book II chapters I-8) | " | $2 \%$ |
| Thierry | Lettres sur l'histoire de France (xili-xxiv) | , | 2/6 |
| " | Récits des Temps Mérovingiens, I-III | Masson \& Ropes | 3/- |
| Villemain | Lascaris ou les Grecs du X ${ }^{0}$ Siècl | cle Masson | $2 \%$ |
| Voltaire | Histoire du Siècle de Louis |  |  |
|  | XIV, in three parts Mass | son \& Prothero 2/6 | each |
| Xavier de | \{La Jeune Sibérienne. Le\} | Masson | 1/6 |

## GERMAN.

The Volumes marked * contain Vocabulary.
*Andersen
Benedix
Freytag
Goethe
""
"Grimm
Gutzkow

Hackländer
Hauff
*"
Immermann
*Klee
Kohlrausch
Lessing
Lessing \& Geller
Mendelssohn
Raumer
Riehl

* ,

Schiller

Eight Fairy Tales
Dr Wespe
Der Staat Friedrichs des Grossen
Die Journalisten
Knabenjahre (1749-1761)
Hermann und Dorothea
Iphigenie
Selected Tales
Zopf und Schwert
Der geheime Agent
Das Bild des Kaisers
Das Wirthshaus im Spessart
Die Karavane
Der Scheik von Alessandria
Der Oberhof
Die deutschen Heldensagen
Das Jahr $\mathrm{I}_{13} 3$
Minna von Barnhelm
t Selected Fables
Selected Letters
Der erste Kreuzzug
Culturgeschichtliche
Novellen
Die Ganerben \& Die Gerechtigkeit Gottes
Wilhelm Tell
" (Abriaged Edlition)

Rippmann
2/6
Breul 3/-
Wagner 2/-
Eve $\quad 2 / 6$
Wagner \& Cartmell 2/-
" " 3/6
Breul " $\quad 3 / 6$

Rippmann 3/-
Wolstenholme $3 / 6$
E. L. Milner Barry 3/-

Breul 3/-
Schlottmann
\& Cartmell
Schlottmann
Rippmann
$31-$

Wagner
Wolstenholme
Cartmell $2 /$ -
Wolstenholme 3/-
Breul 3/-
Sime 3/-
Wagner $2 \%$
Wolstenholme 3/-
Breul" $\quad 3 / 6$
2/6
1/6
the pitt press series, etc.


THE PITT PRESS SERIES, IETC.
ENGLISH continued.

\left.| Author Work | Editor |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Scott | Marmion | I'rice |
| Masterman |  |  |$\right) 2 / 6$

## MATHEMATICS.

| Ball <br> + Blythe | Elementary Algebra |  | $4 / 6$$2 / 6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Geometrical Diawing |  |  |
|  | Part I |  |  |
|  | Part II |  | 2/- |
| Euclid | Books I-VI, XI, XII | Taylor | $5 \%$ |
|  | Books I-VI | " | 41. |
| " | Books I-IV | " | $3{ }^{\circ}$ |

Also separately
Books I, \& II; HI, \& IV ; V, \& VI; XI, \& XII $/ 6$ cach Solutions to Exercises in Taylor's

Euclid
W. W. Taylor ro/6

And separately
Solutions to Bks I-IV
Solutions to Books VI. XI

THE PITT PRESS SERIES, ETC.

| Author | MATHEMATICS continued. <br> Work <br> Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hobson \& Jescop | Elementary Plane Trigonometry | $4 / 6$ |
| Loney | Elements of Statics and Dynamics | $7 / 6$ |
|  | Part 1. Elements of Statics | 416 |
|  | " 11. Elements of Dynamics | $3 / 6$ |
| " | Elements of Hydrostatics | 416 |
| ," | Solutions to Examples, Hydrostatics | 5/- |
| " | Solutions of Examples, Statics and Dynamics | 716 |
|  | Mechanics and Hydrostatics | $4 / 6$ |
| +Sanderson | Geometry for Young Beginners | 1/4 |
| Smith, C. | Arithmetic for Schools, with or without answers | 3/6 |
| ," | Part I. Chapters I-viII. Elementary, with or without answers | 2\% |
| " | Part II. Chapters Ix-xx, with or without answers |  |
| Hale, G. | Key to Smith's Arithmetic | 7/6 |

## EDUCATIONAL SCIENCE.

| $\dagger$ Bidder \& Baddeley Domestic Economy |  |  | 4/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dagger$ Bosanquet | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { The Education of the Young } \\ \text { from the Republic of Plato } \end{array}\right\}$ |  | 2/6 |
| $\dagger$ Burnet | Aristotle on Education |  | $2 / 6$ |
| Comenius | Life and Educational Works | S. S. Laurie | 3/6 |
| Farrar | General Aims of the Teacher |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Hope \& Browne A Manual of School Hygiene |  | vol. | 6 |
| Locke | Thoughts on Education | R. H. Quick | 3/6 |
| $\dagger$ MacCunn | The Making of Character |  | 2/6 |
| Milton | Tractate on Education | O. Browning | $2 \%$ |
| Thring | Theory and Practice of Teaching |  | $4 / 6$ |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ v. 85 r .
    ${ }^{2}$ See mn . on 849 , 1030 .
    ${ }^{3}$ v. 860 : for the rival legend see supra p. xviii.

[^1]:    1 vข. 470,1005 sqq.
    2 poet. c. 13, 2. I452 b 30.
    ${ }^{3}$ c. 10,2 . 1452 a 15.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ ILaigh, Tragic Drama, p. 292; Murray, Ancient Greek Literasure, p. 253.
    ${ }^{2}$ vข. 62, 113, 198, 287. $\quad 3$ v. 182.
    4 v. 243 sqq.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gomperz, Greek Thinkers, Eng. tr. 11, p. jor.
    ${ }^{2}$ Murray, Greek Lit. p. 263.

    * The Hecuba is thought to belong to the year 425 or $4^{2} 4$.
    ${ }^{4}$ v. 560 .
    ${ }^{5}$ ข. 527 n .

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ This view is derived ultimately from Aug. Boeckh (de trag.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ See A. Church in C. $R$. Xiv $43^{8 .}$
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Bacchae: see the comm, on $\%$. 330 .

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ See supr. p. xxi and n. I. It is significant that the description of the honours paid to Macaria's tomb recalls the companion picture of Polyxena's sacrifice: Hec. 573 sqq.
    ${ }^{2}$ Vonhoff (p. $2_{4}$ ) is of the same opinion, but for different reasons. He also lays stress on the fact which has often been noticed, that, with the exception of the Rhesus and Cyclops, this play is the shortest of those which are attributed to Euripides.
    ${ }^{3}$ See note in loc.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note in loc.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The other plays belonging to this group are the Cycl., Suppl., H. F., Ion, El., Iph. T., Hel., Bacch., Iph. A.
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[^11]:    $282 \kappa є \kappa \tau \eta \mathfrak{n} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ Brunck: кєкт $\dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ LP

[^12]:    
    $550 \pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{v} \mu \varphi$ Barnes:

[^13]:    ${ }^{2}$ Hermann on Viger 804.

